COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MILLING OF COPPER AND GRAPHITE ELECTRODES FOR EDM AND IDENTIFYING MACHINE CAPABILITIES

Kalumarakkalage Chaminda Jayampath De Silva

(09/8602)



Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2012

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).



The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis/Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date

Abstract

Copper and graphite are the two mostly used materials in Electrical Discharge Machining electrodes in injection mould making. This work is done in collaboration with a local company and a foreign tool maker. Though several research papers found on comparative analysis of copper and graphite milling, they are not applicable to the selected foreign tool maker, since the machines used are different and it is totally applications-driven and so much depends on what has to be done on the shop floor in the way of support equipment. In this work, a tool is developed to be used on the shop floor for a collaborated work done by a local company and a foreign tool maker for the comparative analysis of milling of copper and graphite electrodes for EDM.

Machine capabilities of copper and graphite milling are analyzed by experimental methods with the optimum parameters set in the machines. Material removal rate for rough milling and surface finishing rate for fine milling are found to be indicators of machine capabilities. An experienced tool maker can provide the necessary inputs to calculate the cost and time of producing electrodes, leads to a proper comparison of EDM electrode milling in economical terms rather than a guess, which is the current practice.

With the use of the calculator the costs and time of producing electrodes are analyzed and recommendations made based on the data available with EDM machines in general. The cost calculator improved by EDM analysis is to be integrated with the existing costing system of the company. Also the flexibility is provided in the cost calculator to change the values fed in the calculator, so that it is be by the by the based of the value of the cost calculator is of the cost calculator.



Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Keywords: sinking EDM, graphite and copper electrodes, machine capabilities, CNC milling, cost calculator.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. M A R V FERNANDO for guiding and supervising me in this work. I also wish to thank the course coordinator Dr. R.A.R.C. Gopura, the head of the department Dr. V.P.C. Dassanayake and the staff of the Department of Mechanical Engineering in the University of Moratuwa for their support.

Further, I would like to acknowledge the cooperation extended to me by the operators of the three milling machines, management and the staff of both foreign tool maker and the company in Srilanka for providing me necessary facilities to carry out on the job experiments and giving me the access to some internal data.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidate & Supervisor		i	
Abstract			
Ack	Acknowledgements		
Tabl	e of content	iv	
List	of Figures	vii	
List	of Tables	viii	
List	of abbreviations	viii	
1.	Introduction	1	
2.	State of the Art and Motivation of the		
	Present Work	3	
	2.1. Injection Mould Making Process	4	
	2.2. Electrode Materials	4	
	2.2.1. Graphite Electrodes	5	
	2.2.1.2. Grades of Graphite in EDM University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 2.2.2. Copper Electrodes Electronic Theses & Dissertations	5 6	
	2.3 Electrode Material Selection 1k	6	
	2.3.1. Advantages of EDM Copper	6	
	2.3.2. Advantages of EDM Graphite	7	
	2.4. Effects of Machining Parameters in Milling	8	
	2.4.1. Methods used in setting up Machining Parameters	9	
	2.5. Graphite and Copper Behaviour in EDM	10	
3.	Objectives	11	
4.	Methodology	12	
	4.1. Machines	13	
	4.2. Software	16	
	4.3. Milling Tools	16	
	4.4. Milling Parameters	18	
	4.5. Material	19	
	4.5.1. Graphite for EDM	20	

	4.5.2. Copper for EDM	20
	4.6. Factors for Sample Electrodes Selection	21
	4.7. Experiments and Data Collection	23
5.	Study of MRR for Rough Milling	24
	5.1. Graphite Milling	24
	5.1.1. Calculation of MRR	25
	5.1.2. Calculation of Reduction Percentage	26
	5.1.3. Variation of MRR	26
	5.2. Copper Milling	28
	5.2.1. Calculation of MRR and % Reduction	28
	5.3. Comparison of Copper and Graphite Rough Milling	31
6.	Analysis of Fine Milling	33
	6.1. Graphite Fine Milling	36
	6.2. Copper Fine Milling	38
	6.3. Comparison of Copper and Graphite Fine Milling	42
7.	Cost Calculator	43
	7.1. Inputs for the Cost Calculator Electronic Theses & Dissertations 7.2. Developing the Cost Calculator	44 44
	7.2.1. Calculation of the Cost of an Electrode	45
	7.2.1.1. Cost of Graphite	45
	7.2.1.2. Cost of Copper	46
	7.2.1.3. Cost of an Electrode Holder	47
	7.2.1.4. Calculation of Milling Time and Machine time	47
	7.2.1.5. Machine Cost	49
	7.2.1.6. Milling Tool Costs	50
	7.2.1.7. Milling Cost	52
	7.2.1.8. Electrode Design and Programming Cost	52
	7.2.1.9. Other Costs	52
	7.3. Excel Sheet for Cost Calculator	53
	7.4. How to Use the Cost Calculator	54
	7.5. Outcomes and Further Improvements of the Cost Calculator	54
8.	Results & Discussion	55

	8.1. Recommendations for Future Work	58
9.	Conclusions	59
	References	60
Appe	endix A - Sample Electrodes and Block Sizes	62
Appendix B - Experimental Data		64
Appendix C - Magaforce Tool Price List		76
Appe	endix D - Cost Calculator	77
Appe	endix E - CAD models	79



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

 Figure 4.2: MakinoV55 copper milling machine Figure 4.3: SNC64 Makino graphite milling machine Figure 4.4: Magaforce ball end mill cutters Figure 4.5: Magaforce tip radius (corner radius) end mill cutters Figure 4.6: Graphite blocks Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for samereduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % negative for graphite good size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling Figure 7.2: Two types of electrode holders 	Figure 4.1: Röders milling machine	13	
 Figure 4.4: Magaforce ball end mill cutters Figure 4.5: Magaforce tip radius (corner radius) end mill cutters Figure 4.6: Graphite blocks Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same reduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MBR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite milling 	Figure 4.2: MakinoV55 copper milling machine	14	
 Figure 4.5: Magaforce tip radius (corner radius) end mill cutters Figure 4.6: Graphite blocks Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same reduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.3: SNC64 Makino graphite milling machine	15	
 Figure 4.6: Graphite blocks Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for samereduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.4: Magaforce ball end mill cutters	17	
 Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for samereduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 6.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.5: Magaforce tip radius (corner radius) end mill cutters	17	
 Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same eduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.6: Graphite blocks	20	
 Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same reduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Electronic Theses & Dissertations Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.7: Copper bars and blocks	20	
 Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same reduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 4.8: Variations of graphite electrode block sizes	21	
 Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same reduction % for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.5: Comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling 	Figure 5.1: Initial graphite block, rough milled and fine milled electrodes	24	
for Röders and SNC 64 machines Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Electronic Inceses & Dissertiations Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 5.2: Variation of MRR with tool diameter for graphite milling	26	
Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size for Röders and SNC 64 machines University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Figure 5.5: Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6: MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 5.3: Variation of MRR with initial block size for same eduction %		
Figure 5.5: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.1: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 6.7: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	for Röders and SNC 64 machines	27	
Figure 5.5. Figure 5.6. HER comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6. HER comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 5.4: Variation of MRR with % reduction for same initial block size		
Figure 5.5. Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool Figure 5.6. MRR comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling		28	
Figure 5.6 MER comparisons in graphite and copper rough milling Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 5.5. Comparisons of MRR for copper rough milling tool	30	
Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling		32	
Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 6.1: Electrode of HIGH complexity	34	
Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 6.2: Tool path with many leads and links	34	
Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 6.3: Electrode of LOW complexity	35	
Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	Figure 6.4: Tool path with less leads and links	35	
	Figure 6.5: Milling rate comparisons in graphite and copper fine milling		
Figure 7.2: Two types of electrode holders	Figure 7.1: Cost calculator for Röders graphite milling	43	
	47		

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Milling parameters for graphite	18
Table 4.2: Milling parameters for copper	19
Table 5.1: Variation of MRR with percentage reduction and initial volume for	
Röders and SNC64 machines	27
Table 5.2: Rough milling of V55 Hyper 5 machine	29
Table 5.3: Rough milling of Röders copper	30
Table 5.4: Variation of average MRR with tool diameter	31
Table 6.1: Variation of fine milling rate for Röders graphite	36
Table 6.2: Variation of fine milling rate for SNC 64 graphite	37
Table 6.3: Variation of fine milling rate for Röders copper	39
Table 6.4: Variation of fine milling rate for Hyper5 copper	40
Table 6.5: Variation of average R_f with tool diameter	41
Table 7.1: Tools cost per milling second	51
Table 8.1: Validation of cost calculator for Röders graphite machine	55
Table 8.2: Validation of cost calculator for SNC 64 graphite machine	55
Table 8.3. Validation of results obtained using cost calculator for	
Hyper5 copper machine	56
Table 8.4: Validation of results obtained using cost calculator for	
Röders copper machine	56
Table 8.5: Comparison of copper milling	57
Table 8.6: Comparison of graphite milling	57

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
MRR	Material Removal Rate
CAD	Computer Aided Design
CAM	Computer Aided Manufacturing
EDM	Electrical Discharge Machining
WEDM	Wire EDM
ECM	Electro Chemical Machining
DC	Direct Current
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
SEK	Swedish Kroner



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk