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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a new low cycle fatigue model to predict life of steel bridges. It consists of Coffin-Manson strain-life curve with a new strain based damage index. The damage variable is based on a modified von Mises equivalent strain to account for effects of loading non-proportionality and strain path orientation in low cycle multiaxial stress state. The proposed model was verified by comparing with experimental test results of two materials. Then, it was applied an existing riveted wrought iron railway bridge to estimate fatigue life due to usual traffic and earthquake loadings. The obtained results verify the importance and effectiveness of the proposed model over commonly used Miner’s rule model in fatigue life estimation of steel bridges.
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5. Introduction

High cycle fatigue (HCF) caused by low amplitude traffic loading is one of the main safety considerations of steel bridges. In addition, there are certain situations that a bridge may be subjected to high amplitude loading such as earthquake or unexpected stress concentrations during its service life. When such an event occurs, some members may undergo inelastic stresses. These inelastic stresses may cause low cycle fatigue (LCF) damage during the high amplitude loading while subjecting to HCF in service conditions. This combined damage of HCF and LCF may be a reason for a much reduced life (Kondo and Okuya 2007).

The von Mises equivalent strain and Coffin-Manson strain-life curve are used with Miner’s rule as the general method to estimate the life for LCF conditions (Suresh 1998). The Miner’s rule is the simplest and the most widely used fatigue life prediction technique. One of its interesting features is that life calculation is simple and reliable when the detailed loading history is unknown. However under many variable amplitude loading conditions, Miner’s rule based life predictions have been found to be unreliable since it cannot capture loading sequence effect (Siriwardane et al. 2008). Further, von Mises equivalent strain cannot capture the effects due to non-proportional loading and orientation of strain path which are the key features of multiaxial LCF stress state (Borodii and Strizhalo 2000). von Mises strain generally predicts a lesser strain value than the actual strain of the material that undergoes. Due to these reasons, LCF life estimation by Miner’s rule based model may be inaccurate in multiaxial variable amplitude loading. Therefore, it is...
necessary to have a different model, which is based on commonly available material properties, to estimate more accurately the life for LCF due to variable amplitude loading.

The objective of this paper is to propose a new model to accurately estimate the LCF life (crack initiation life) due to a high amplitude loading. Initially, the proposed model is presented and then the verification of the proposed model is discussed. Finally, the proposed model is applied to an existing wrought iron railway bridge to estimate fatigue life.

6. Proposed fatigue model

This section proposes the new low cycle fatigue model to estimate life of steel structures. Initially, the details relevant to proposed damage variable, Coffin-Manson strain-life fatigue curve are discussed. Finally, it clearly describes the proposed damage indicator.

6.1. Damage variable

The proposed damage variable for low cycle multiaxial stress state is given as (Borodii and Strizhalo 2000),

\[
e_{\text{eq}} = (1 + \alpha \phi)(1 + k \sin \phi) e_{\text{VM}}
\]

where \( e_{\text{eq}} \) is the equivalent strain amplitude in multiaxial stress state, \( \alpha \) is the material parameter for loading non-proportionality, \( \phi \) is the cycle non-proportionality parameter, \( k \) is the material parameter for strain path orientation, \( \phi \) is the angle measured from the principal direction to the applied strain path and \( e_{\text{VM}} \) is the von Mises equivalent strain as given,

\[
e_{\text{VM}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+\nu)}} \sqrt{(e_{xx} - e_{yy})^2 + (e_{yy} - e_{zz})^2 + (e_{zz} - e_{xx})^2 + \frac{3}{2} \left( \gamma_{y}\gamma_{y} + \gamma_{z}\gamma_{z} + \gamma_{x}\gamma_{x} \right)}
\]

where \( \nu \) is the Poisson’s ratio. \( e \) and \( \gamma \) are the axial and shear strain amplitudes in respective planes.

The first expression in parentheses of Eq. (1) is the degree of additional strain hardening depending on the cycle geometry (to account for non-proportional loading). The second expression in parentheses is strain hardening depending on the orientation of the cyclic strain path (proportional loading). The material parameters \((\alpha, k)\) have to be estimated by additional testing of the material. \( \phi \) and \( \phi \) can be estimated for given strain path considering cycle geometry and its orientation, respectively (Borodii and Strizhalo 2000).

The parameter, \( \phi \), is estimated by the orientation of the applied strain path (measured angle) with respect to the principal direction. The principal direction of a material is the direction that gives the highest live and usually it is the torsion axis for most of materials. However, this parameter does not represent the characteristics of material and presented by the parameter, \( k \). The parameter, \( k \), is estimated by at least three fatigue tests. In fact, the parameters, \( k \) and \( \phi \), collectively represent the effect of proportional loading.

The parameter, \( \phi \), is estimated from the ratio of areas of a given non-proportional cycle path to a circular cycle path. As this parameter is related to cycle geometry, a different parameter is necessary to represent material characteristics. It is represented by the parameter, \( \alpha \), and three fatigue tests are necessary to estimate the parameter, \( \alpha \). These two parameters \((\alpha, \phi)\) collectively represent the effect of non-proportional loading.
6.2. Strain-life curve

The strain-life curve used in this study is the Coffin-Manson relationship as given,

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = \frac{\sigma_f}{E} (2N)^b + \varepsilon_f (2N)^c$$

(3)

where $\varepsilon_{eq}$ is the equivalent strain amplitude in multiaxial stress state, $N$ is the number of cycles to failure, $\sigma_f$ is the fatigue strength coefficient, $b$ is the fatigue strength exponent, $\varepsilon_f$ is the fatigue ductility coefficient, $c$ is the fatigue ductility exponent and $E$ is the elastic modulus of the material.

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of the Coffin-Manson strain-life curve](image)

The ultimate strain of low cycle fatigue $(\varepsilon)_{ULCF}$ which is the strain amplitude corresponding to failure in half reversal (a quarter of a cycle) is obtained from Eq. (3) as,

$$(\varepsilon)_{ULCF} = \varepsilon_f$$

(4)

Most of pure metals and alloys, fatigue properties are available in the literature and therefore corresponding Coffin-Manson strain-life curve can be obtained easily.

6.3. Damage indicator

The proposed damage indicator considers damage of LCF due to variable amplitude loading. Consider, a component is subjected to a certain equivalent strain amplitude of $(\varepsilon)_{i}$, $n_i$ number of cycles at load level $i$, $N_i$ is the fatigue life (number of cycles to failure) corresponding to $(\varepsilon)_{i}$ (Figure 1). Therefore, the reduced life at the load level $i$ is obtained as $(N_i-n_i)$. The damage equivalent strain $(\varepsilon)_{i(eq)}$ (Figure 1), corresponding to the failure life $(N_i-n_i)$ is defined as $i^{th}$ level damage equivalent strain. Then, the new damage indicator, $D_i$ is stated as,
\[
D_i = \frac{(\varepsilon)_{i+1} - (\varepsilon)_i}{(\varepsilon)_u - (\varepsilon)_i}
\] 
(5)

where the \((\varepsilon)_u\) is given in Eq. (4)

At the end of \(i^{th}\) loading level, damage \(D_i\) has been accumulated (occurred) due to the effect of \((\varepsilon)_{i+1}\) loading cycles, the damage (same damage given in Eq. 5) is transformed to load level \(i+1\) as below:

\[
D_i = \frac{(\varepsilon')_{i+1} - (\varepsilon)_{i+1}}{(\varepsilon)_{u} - (\varepsilon)_{i+1}}
\] 
(6)

Then, \((\varepsilon)_{i+1}^{'eq}\) is the damage equivalent strain at loading level \(i+1\) and it is calculated from Eq. (6) as,

\[
(\varepsilon)_{i+1}^{'eq} = D_i[(\varepsilon)_u - (\varepsilon)_{i+1}] + (\varepsilon)_{i+1}
\] 
(7)

The corresponding equivalent number of cycles to failure \(N_{i+1}'_{R}\) is obtained from the strain-life curve as shown in Figure 1. The \((\varepsilon)_{i+1}\) is the strain at the level \(i+1\) and supposing that it is subjected to \(n_{i+1}\) number of cycles, then the corresponding residual life at load level \(i+1\), \(N_{i+1}R\) is calculated as,

\[
N_{i+1}R = N_{i+1}'_{R} - n_{i+1}
\] 
(8)

Therefore, strain, \((\varepsilon)_{i+1}^{'eq}\) which corresponds to \(N_{i+1}R\) at load level \(i+1\), is obtained from the strain-life curve as shown in Figure 1. Then the cumulative damage at the end of load level \(i+1\) is defined as,

\[
D_{i+1} = \frac{(\varepsilon)_{i+1}^{'eq} - (\varepsilon)_{i+1}}{(\varepsilon)_u - (\varepsilon)_{i+1}}
\] 
(9)

This procedure is carried out until \(D_i\) is equal to 1. The proposed damage indicator calculation is shown in the flow chart given in Figure 2.
7. Verification of the proposed model

This section explains the verification of the proposed LCF model by comparing experimental fatigue test results of two materials which were obtained from the literature. Two materials are pure titanium and S304 stainless steel. During these tests, axial (A), torsional (T), in-phase (I) and 90° -out-of-phase (O) loadings were used in different sequences. Strain variations of strain-controlled fully reversed axial, torsional, in-phase and out-of-phase loadings are shown in Figure 3.

7.1. Verification for Pure Titanium

Block loading fatigue tests performed by Shamsaei et al. 2010 were used verify the proposed fatigue model. In the block loading test, axial (A), torsional (T), 90°-out-of-phase (O) loadings were applied in different combinations as shown in Table 1. Applied wave forms were sinusoidal as shown in Figure 3.

Table 1 Experimental summary and predicted fatigue lives of pure Titanium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>First load level</th>
<th>Second load level</th>
<th>Experimental life (cycles)</th>
<th>Previous model</th>
<th>Proposed model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>von Mises Strain amplitude</td>
<td>No of cycles (n₁)</td>
<td>von Mises Strain amplitude</td>
<td>No of cycles (n₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA1</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA2</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA3</td>
<td>0.0110</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT1</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>1357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT2</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OA</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>0.0112</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAOTOA</td>
<td>Strain amplitudes = 0.0073, 0.0070, 0.0088, 0.0073, 0.0088, 0.0070</td>
<td></td>
<td>Each loading mode with number of cycles = 50</td>
<td>1050 (3.5 blocks)</td>
<td>1160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further, authors (Shamsaei et al. 2010) have published constant amplitude fatigue test results. From that, parameters, \( k \) and \( \alpha \) are estimated as 0.04 and 0.08, respectively. Fatigue lives were predicted using the proposed and previous models as given in Table 1.

Percentage variations of predictions from experimental results were estimated for previous and proposed models. The previous model has a percentage variation of 27.7 \% while the proposed model has a value of 17.7 \%. Therefore, the proposed model based fatigue lives are more accurate than previous model predictions for the pure titanium.

### 7.2. Verification for S304 steel

Fatigue tests performed by Chen et al. 2006 were used verify the proposed fatigue model. Axial (A) torsional (T), in-phase (I) and 90°-out-of-phase (O) loadings have been applied in different sequences. Applied wave forms of axial and torsional loadings were triangular and in-phase and 90° out-of-phase loadings were sinusoidal as shown in Figure 3. Parameters, \( k \) and \( \alpha \), were obtained as 0.20 and 0.80, respectively (Borodii 2007). Fatigue lives were predicted using the proposed and previous models as given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>First load level</th>
<th>Second load level</th>
<th>Experimental life (cycles)</th>
<th>Predicted life (cycles)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>von Mises strain amplitude</td>
<td>No of cycles ( (n_1) )</td>
<td>von Mises strain amplitude</td>
<td>No of cycles ( (n_2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>2994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO1</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1228</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO2</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1965</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO3</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>2456</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO4</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>3685</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI1</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>3572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI2</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>2574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI3</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>2481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OI4</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>1093</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>2165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA1</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1559</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>1310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>3117</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>4676</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The percentage variations of predictions from the experimental results were estimated for the previous and proposed models as 11.3 and 6.9 \%, respectively. Therefore, the proposed model based predicted fatigue lives are more accurate than previous model predictions for S304.

### 8. Case study: fatigue life estimation of a bridge member

The proposed method was applied to find the fatigue life of a wrought iron railway bridge member. The
selected bridge (Figure 4a) is one of the longest railway bridges in Sri Lanka located near Colombo and the considered member is shown in Figure 4b (Siriwardane et al. 2008). The evaluations are especially based on secondary stresses and strains, which are generated around the riveted connection of the member due to stress concentration effect of primary stresses caused by usual traffic and earthquake loadings. Schematic representation of primary and secondary stress areas of the considered member is given in Figure 4(c).

The damage due to LCF is evaluated based on the state of strain when all rivets are active (tight rivets) while they have no clamping force. The clamping force is generally defined as the compressive force in the plates which is induced by the residual tensile force in the rivet. Since this study assumes that the riveted locations have no clamping force (value of clamping force is zero), the connected members are considered to subject to the biaxial stress state. Therefore, a critical member without rivets can be considered to analyze the biaxial state of stress of a 2D finite element analysis. The nine node isoperimetric shell elements were used for the FE analysis.

Earthquake is considered to occur at different times (10, 50, 75 and 100 years) of the bridge life. It is assumed that usual traffic load is followed after the earthquake. The fatigue damages due to earthquake and usual traffic loadings were estimated using the proposed model and the HCF model given in Siriwardane et al. (2008), respectively. Obtained fatigue lives are given in Table 3 (column 4). In addition, the previous model (Coffin-Manson curve with the Miner’s rule) was also used in life estimation and the corresponding results are given in Table 3 (column 2).

Table 3 Fatigue life of the member for different earthquake occurrences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time of earthquake* (years)</th>
<th>Previous model (Miner’s rule)</th>
<th>Proposed model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fatigue life (years)</td>
<td>Percentage reduction of life (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No earthquake</td>
<td>134.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The results indicate that LCF damage by earthquake loading causes a considerable reduction of bridge life. For the proposed model, percentage reduction of life is higher when the earthquake occurs at the 50 years compared to those occurring in other times. The relative amplitude difference between traffic and earthquake loadings determines the year at which maximum fatigue life is reduced. For the previous model, the reduction of service life is constant irrespective of time of earthquake occurrence. Comparison of fatigue life reveals that the proposed model predictions differ from the previous model predictions.

The obtained results verifies that the Coffin-Manson strain-life curve with new damage indicator better represents LCF damage than the Coffin-Manson relationship with Miner’s rule. The differences of case study results confirm the importance of accurate LCF model to estimate the fatigue life of existing steel bridges.

9. Conclusions

A LCF model was proposed to predict the fatigue life of bridges due to high amplitude loading. A verification of the model was conducted by comparing the predicted lives with experimental lives of two materials. It was shown that the proposed fatigue model gives a more accurate fatigue life for damage of LCF situations where detailed stress histories are known. The proposed fatigue model was utilized to estimate the fatigue life of a bridge member. Case study realized the importance and effectiveness of considering the earthquake induced LCF damage in addition to HCF damage due to usual traffic loading in steel bridges.
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