ETHICAL E-MARKETING FOR COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE

By

A. K. G. R. D. Attanayake



The Dissertation was submitted to the Department of Computer Science & Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

Department of Computer Science & Engineering
University of Moratuwa
December 2005

004:65(043)

University of Moratuwa

86342

86342

DECLARATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any University to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations"

Signature of the Candidate

<u>16 - 01 - 2006</u>

Date



To the best of my knowledge, the above particulars are correct.

UOM Verified Signature

Supervisor

Table of Contents

PART I – Research Preparation

| 1 | Intro | oduction | . 1 |
|---|-------|---|------|
| | 1.1 | Background | . 1 |
| | 1.2 | Why I selected this Research Topic | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Problem Statement | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Research Objectives | 5 |
| 2 | Lite | rature Review | . 6 |
| _ | 2.1 | What is E-Marketing? | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Types of E-Marketing | . 7 |
| | 2.2 | Internet Technologies | 8 |
| | | Ethical Concepts | 9 |
| | 2.4 | Legal Background | 12 |
| | | Measuring Ethical Interactivity | 14 |
| | 2.6 | Measuring Competitive Advantage | 17 |
| | 2.7 | Measuring Competitive Advantage | 17 |
| | 2.8 | Importance and Benefit of the Study | 1, |
| P | ART I | I – Research Formulation | |
| 2 | D | | 20 |
| 3 | Res | earch Formulation Formulating Research Objectives | 20 |
| | 3.1 | Formulating Research Objectives | 20 |
| | 3.2 | Preparing Questionnaire | 22 |
| | 3.3 | Collection of Data Samples | 22 |
| 4 | San | nple Characteristics | 24 |
| · | 4.1 | General Sample Characteristics | . 24 |
| | 4.2 | Local Sample Characteristics | . 25 |
| | 4.2. | | . 26 |
| | 4.2.2 | | . 26 |
| | 4.2.3 | | . 27 |
| | 4.2.4 | | . 27 |
| | 4.2.: | | . 28 |
| | | South Asian Sample Characteristics | . 28 |
| | 4.3. | | . 29 |
| | 4.3. | | . 29 |
| | 4.3. | | . 30 |
| | 4.3. | | . 30 |
| | 4.3. | | . 31 |
| | 4.4 | European Sample Characteristics | . 31 |
| | 4.4. | | . 32 |
| | 4.4. | | . 32 |
| | 4.4. | | . 33 |
| | | , . | |

| | 4.4.4 | Buying Frequency | 33 |
|----|------------------|--|----|
| | 4.4.5 | Average Internet expenditure per month | 34 |
| | 4.5 Nor | th American Sample Characteristics | 34 |
| | 4.5.1 | Sex | 35 |
| | 4.5.2 | Age | 35 |
| | 4.5.3 | Buying Duration | 36 |
| | 4.5.4 | Buying Frequency | 36 |
| | 4.5.5 | Average Internet expenditure per month | 37 |
| P. | ART III – | Designing Research Methodology & Data Analysis | |
| 5 | Effectiv | veness of Customer Profiling process | 39 |
| J | 5.1 Pre | paring questionnaire | 39 |
| | 5.1 Fie | fining weights | 40 |
| | 5.2.1 | Weights on Likert Scale | 40 |
| | 5.2.1 | Weights on Indicator Questions | 41 |
| | | nstructing Equation for Effectiveness | 41 |
| | 5.3 Cor | nple Analysis | 42 |
| | 5.4 San 5.4.1 | | 42 |
| | 5.4.1 5.4.2 | | 44 |
| | | E-Purchasers of European Region | 45 |
| | 5.4.3 5.4.4 | E-Purchasers of North American Region | 46 |
| | | Effectiveness – Summary | 47 |
| | 5.4.5 | | |
| 6 | Ethical | E-Marketing for Competitive Advantage | 49 |
| • | 6.1 Me | thodology | 49 |
| | 6.2 Eth | ical Interactivity | 49 |
| | 6.2.1 | Conceptualization | 49 |
| | 6.2.2 | Preparing Questionnaire | 52 |
| | 6.2.3 | Determining Relative Position Among Other Factors | 55 |
| | | mpetitive Advantage | 56 |
| | 6.3.1 | | 56 |
| | 6.3.2 | Preparing Questionnaire | 57 |
| | | fining Weights | 58 |
| | 6.4.1 | Weights on Likert Scale | 58 |
| | 6.4.2 | Weights on Indicator Questions | 59 |
| | 6.4.3 | Weights on General Attributes | 61 |
| | 6.4.4 | Relative Weights on Visible Forms | 62 |
| | 6.4.5 | Weights on Response Behaviors | 63 |
| | 6.4.6 | Weight on Relative Position of Ethical Interactivity | 63 |
| | | nstruction of Functions | 64 |
| | 6.5.1 | Ethical Interactivity | 64 |
| | 6.5.2 | Competitive Advantage – Positive Response Behavior | 66 |
| | 6.5.3 | Relative importance of EI | 66 |
| | | | |

| | 6.6 Da | ata Analysis – Regression Analysis | |
|---|---------------|--|---------------|
| | 6.6.1 | Local Samples | 67 |
| | 6.6.2 | South Asian Region | |
| | 6.6.3 | European Region | |
| | 6.6.4 | North American Region | |
| | 6.6.5 | Distribution of Ethical Interactivity among e-Purchasers | 83 |
| | 0.0.3 | Distribution of Edinous moradon to a unong of a unonestimated | |
| 7 | Impac | et of Internet Laws on Unethical E-Marketing Practices | 87 |
| ′ | | | |
| | 7.1 Ba | ackground | 89 |
| | 7.2 G | rowth and Distribution of Internet Population | |
| | 7.3 G | rowth of unethical practices | 89 |
| | 7.4 La | aws affecting Ethical E-Marketing behavior | 90 |
| | | ountries Position in Setting-up of E-Marketing Laws | |
| | | aws Affecting E-Mail Marketing | |
| | 7.7 L | aws and Practices Against Unethical Web Advertising | 98 |
| | 7.8 La | aws Affecting Customer Profiling and Privacy | 101 |
| | | aws Affecting online Children Protection | |
| | | rends in Unethical Practices | |
| | 7.11 R | easons behind unethical practices | 109 |
| | 7.11.1 | | 109 |
| | 7.11.2 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | 7.11.3 | | |
| | | 1.01.a.ng mannam outstands to outstands | |
| P | | - Verifying Objectives Electronic Theses & Dissertations | |
| 8 | Concl | lusionswww.lib.mrt.ac.lk | 112 |
| Ŭ | | ffectiveness of Customer Profiling Process | |
| | | thical Interactivity for Competitive Advantage | |
| | 8.2.1 | Local and South Asian e-Purchasers | |
| | 8.2.2 | | |
| | 8.2.3 | | 116 |
| | | Other Findings | |
| | 8.3.1 | E-Marketing Practices Ethical Analysis | 118 |
| | 8.3.2 | Reasons behind creating Competitive Advantage via EI | |
| | | Lieu Compatitive Advertage combanyation liver account of the country of the count | 110 21 110 |
| | 8.3.3 | How Competitive Advantage can be automatically created through I | |
| | | mpact of Internet Laws on Ethical E-Marketing Practices | |
| | 8.5 D | Designing Ethically Interactive Site | 124 |
| | | , | |
| F | Reference | es and Webliography | 127 |
| | | | |
| A | APPEND | IX I | 130 |
| - | | | |
| , | י די אינומט א | JV II | 124 |
| F | ALLEND | IX II | 134 |
| | | *** | 120 |
| F | APPEND | ·IX III | 139 |

Table of Figures

| Figure 1.1: Research topic relation to business and engineering disciplines | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 2.1: Current online marketing efforts | 9 |
| Figure 2.2: Customer sovereignty Vs Intrusiveness | .15 |
| Figure 2.3: Measuring Ethical Interactivity | |
| Figure 4.1: e-Purchasers Regional Count Chart | .24 |
| Figure 4.2: e-Purchasers Country count chart | 24 |
| Figure 4.3; e-Purchasers Sex count chart | .25 |
| Figure 4.4: Sex count chart – Local e-Purchasers | .26 |
| Figure 4.5: Age group chart – Local e-Purchasers | .26 |
| Figure 4.6: Buying Duration chart - Local e-Purchasers | 27 |
| Figure 4.7: Buying Frequency Chart – Local e-Purchasers | 27 |
| Figure 4.8: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart - Local e-Purchasers | .28 |
| Figure 4.9: Sex chart – South Asian e-Purchasers | 29 |
| Figure 4.10: Age Group chart – South Asian e-Purchasers | .29 |
| Figure 4.11: Buying Duration chart – South Asian e-Purchasers | .30 |
| Figure 4.12: Buying Frequency chart – South Asian e-Purchasers | |
| Figure 4.13: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – South Asian e-Purchasers | .31 |
| Figure 4.14: Sex chart – European e-Purchasers | |
| | |
| Figure 4.15: Age Group chart – European e-Purchasers | .32 |
| Figure 4.16: Buying Duration chart – European e-Purchasers | .33 |
| Figure 4.17: Buying Frequency chart – European e-Purchaser | .33 |
| Figure 4.18: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – European e-Purchasers | .34 |
| Figure 4.19: Sex chart – North American e-Purchasers | .33 |
| Figure 4.19: Age Group chart – North American e-Purchasers | 33 |
| Figure 4.20: Buying Duration chart – North American e-Purchasers | .30 |
| Figure 4.21: Buying Frequency chart – North American e-Purchasers | .36 |
| Figure 4.22: Monthly Internet Expenditure chart – North American e-Purchasers | 31 |
| Figure 5.1: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Local e-Purchasers | |
| Figure 5.2: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling - South Asian e-Purchasers | .44 |
| Figure 5.3: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – European e-Purchasers | 45 |
| Figure 5.4: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – North American e-Purchasers | 46 |
| Figure 6.1: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (Local e-Purchasers) | 69 |
| Figure 6.2: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (Local e-Purchasers) | 69 |
| Figure 6.3: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{EM} (Local e-Purchasers) | 70 |
| Figure 6.4: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (Local e-Purchasers) | .70 |
| Figure 6.5: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (South Asian e-Purchasers) | 72 |
| Figure 6.6: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (South Asian e-Purchasers) | 73 |
| Figure 6.7: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{EM} (South Asian e-Purchasers) | 73 |
| Figure 6.8: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (South Asian e-Purchasers) | 74 |
| Figure 6.9: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (European e-Purchasers) | |
| Figure 6.10: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{WA} (European e-Purchasers) | 79 |
| Figure 6.11: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (European e-Purchasers) | |
| Figure 6.12: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI (North American e-Purchasers) | |
| Figure 6.13: Scatter Plot – R vs rEI _{CP} (North American e-Purchasers) | |
| Figure 6.14: Frequency Distribution of rEI – Local e-Purchasers | |
| Figure 6.15: Frequency Distribution of rEI – South Asian e-Purchasers | 84 |
| Figure 6.16: Frequency Distribution of rEI – European e-Purchasers | |
| Figure 6.17: Frequency Distribution of rEI – North American e-Purchasers | |
| Figure 6.18: Frequency Distribution of rEI – Total e-Purchasers | 85 |
| Figure 6.19: Frequency Polygon Comparison | |
| Figure 7.1: Type of attacks and Misuse of Internet | |
| TABLE C. L. A CON OI HILLIONS HIM MAISHES OF HILLSHIP | |

Table of Tables

| Table 3.1: Countries Selected form Each Region | |
|---|-------|
| Table 5.1: Weights on Likert Scale | 40 |
| Table 5.2: Weights on Indicator Questions | 41 |
| Table 5.3: Calculation of Effectiveness in Customer Profiling – Local e-Purchasers | 42-43 |
| Table 5.4: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Regional Based | |
| Table 5.5: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Age Groups | 47 |
| Table 5.6: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Sex | 47 |
| Table 5.7: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Buying Duration | 48 |
| Table 5.8: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Buying Frequency | 48 |
| Table 5.9: Effectiveness of Customer Profiling – Based on Monthly Internet | |
| Expenditure | 48 |
| Table 6.1: Conceptualization of Ethical Interactivity | 51 |
| Table 6.2: Definitions of Indicators | |
| Table 6.3: Questions based on the El indicators | |
| Table 6.4: Determining relative positions among other Purchasing Attributes | 55 |
| Table 6.5: Conceptualization of Competitive Advantage | |
| Table 6.6: Response Behaviors | 57 |
| Table 6.7: Weights on Likert Scale 1 | |
| Table 6.8: Weights on Likert Scale 2 | 58 |
| Table 6.9: Weights assigned - Web Advertising | |
| Table 6.10: Weights assigned – Email Marketing | |
| Table 6.11: Weights assigned – Customer Profiling | 60 |
| Table 6.12: Weights assigned – General Attributes | 61 |
| Table 6.13: Statistics on E-Mail Surveyw.lth.mu.nc.lt. | 62 |
| Table 6.14: Weights Assigned - Visible form of E-Marketing | 62 |
| Table 6.15: Weights on Response Behaviors | 63 |
| Table 6.16: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Local Samples) | 67-68 |
| Table 6.17: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | |
| (Indian Samples) | 71 |
| Table 6.18: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | |
| (Pakistan Samples) | 72 |
| Table 6.19: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | |
| (UK Samples) | /5 |
| Table 6.20: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | 70 |
| (Netherlands Samples) | /0 |
| Table 6.21: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | 76 |
| (Sweden Samples) | /0 |
| Table 6.22: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior (Poland Samples) | 77 |
| Table 6.23: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | / / |
| (Canadian Samples) | 80 |
| Table 6.24: Calculation of Ethical Interactivity and Response Behavior | |
| (USA Samples) | 81 |
| Table 7.1: Growth of Internet population | 88 |
| Table 7.2: Distribution of Internet Population | 89 |
| Table 7.3: Countries with updated Laws | 92 |
| • | |

Acknowledgement

This research report bears the imprint of many people. First of all I would like to give my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Mr. Kithsisri Samarasinghe. This dissertation could not have been written without him who not only served as my supervisor but also encouraged me throughout the research.

Then I would like to give my special thank to Dr. Sanath Jayasena, Mr. Chathura de Silva and Mr. Prasanna Perera Who lectured me on the subject matters related to this research.

Also I want to give special acknowledgment to Dr. Claire Gauzente, Assistant Professor of Marketing and Organization at the University of Angers, France and Dr. Ashok Ranchhod, Professor of Marketing at the Southampton Business School, UK for helping me by providing with models to carry out this research and helping me to clarify issues encountered during the research.

Also I would like to thank Parry Aftab, Esq., Privacy Lawyer, head of Aftab Internet legal consultation firm, who provided me with large amount of Internet legal resources.

Then I would like to thank Mrs. Brigit Scharder – Poland, Mr. Peter Borg – Sweden, Mr. Koert Bontjer – Netherlands, Mr. Baddis Bonkchilli – UK, Mr. Charlie Goldman - USA who coordinated the collection of sample data from each country.

Also I would like to take this opportunity to thank my colleagues, company friends and other people who helped me to fill the research questionnaire and providing me with invaluable suggestions and feedbacks.

Last but not least I like to give special thank to loving wife for helping me throughout this research. This wouldn't have been success without her help.

ABSTRACT

Marketing practice is now busy integrating the potential of information and communication technologies through the utilization of databases and Internet marketing tools. Billions of potential consumers can now be reached this way. Therefore rivalry firms are in a big competition to increase their market share by attracting maximum portion of potential e-Purchaser. A brief observation in the Internet shows that these firms go beyond the ethical limits and adapt unethical and aggressive E-marketing practices to win from the competition.

The observation of marketing practice on the Internet can give an idea of the types of unethical practices that are either already in place or recommended by certain marketing consultancy sites. A simple analysis of many sites highlights two important issues. The first one is concerned with the gathering and selling of consumer information and the second one is concerned with the utilization of various marketing techniques such as popup banners, deceiving banners and hyperlinks and other forms of intrusive mechanisms, by which make e-Purchasers discomfort and impinge on their personal privacy. Meanwhile advent of new powerful Internet Technologies and Tools would make the unethical practices to be carried out seamlessly in the Internet.

Whereas the potentialities of ICT are endless, the very interactiveness of the Internet creates new and serious ethical problems reflected by the use of short-term aggressive actions. Given the fact that such powerful tools and techniques will become the norm within two to five years, experts advocate that firms that wish to differentiate themselves from their competitors will have to turn to E-marketing ethics in order to gain and keep consumers. Firms that follow ethical marketing stances are likely to be more Competitively Advantaged in the future.

Adhering to these facts the possibility of creating Competitive Advantage through Ethical Interactivity in E-Marketing was investigated in this research. The Ethical Interactivity in E-Marketing was evaluated through three visible forms of e-Marketing namely Web Advertising, E-Mail marketing and Customer Profiling. Ethical Interactivity in Web Advertising and E-Mail marketing is judged against three criteria (Nwachukwu model): Consumer sovereignty, Individual Autonomy and Harmfulness of product. To measure the Ethical Interactivity in Customer Profiling process (through information gathering), Gauzente and Ranchhod's seven-factor model (notice, choice, access, contact, security, horizon, and intrusiveness) has been used.

The importance paid to factors in each criterion can reflect the degree of ethical interactivity that the firm is willing to exhibit. In fact correct interpretation of these practices leads to empowered e-Purchasers creating Competitive Advantage for the firm and greater benefits for the e-Purchasers themselves.

The room for creating Competitive Advantage is determined through the intensity of **Positive Response Behavior** of the e-Purchasers towards the **high Ethical Interactivity**. To find out relationship between Ethical Interactivity and the Positive Response Behavior, the research has been carried out separately for Local, South Asian, European and North American e-Purchasers. Positive results found for European and North American regions. Hence there's a possibility in creating Competitive Advantage through Ethical Inactivity in these regions.

Meantime the Effectiveness of the Customer Profiling process was examined too. This process is found to be highly effective among European e-Purchasers. Then the impact by the Internet laws towards the Ethical E-marketing practices was investigated. It was observed that the impact is low on unethical marketing practices due to difficulties in executing such laws (cross country issues) and lack of Internet governing body. In that perspective, this makes the Competitive Advantage through Ethical Interactivity a sustainable one. Finally some of the important factors were determined for designing an ethically interactive site, based on all the research findings.