IMPORTANCE OF DETAILED CONSIDERATION OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE IN INSTALLATION OF RETAINING WALLS WITH EXCAVATION:

A CASE STUDY

P.G. Deepika Chathurangani

168952M

Supervised by Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka

M.Eng. in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

(2016/2017 BATCH)

IMPORTANCE OF DETAILED CONSIDERATION OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE IN INSTALLATION OF RETAINING WALL WITH EXCAVATION:

A CASE STUDY

Piyadi Gamage Deepika Wasanthi Chathurangani 168952M

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science / Master of Engineering in Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2022

DECLARATION

I, the undersigned P.G. Deepika Chathurangani hereby declare that I am the sole author of this thesis. To the best of my knowledge this thesis contains no material previously published by any other person except where due acknowledgement has been made. This thesis contains no material which has been accepted as part of the requirements of any other academic degree or non-degree program, in English or in any other language.

This is a true copy of the thesis, including final revisions.	
Signature of the candidate:	Date:
P.G. Deepika Chathurangani	
Under my direction, the aforesaid candidate conducted dissertation.	research for her Master's
Signature of the supervisor:	Date:
Professor. S.A.S. Kulathilaka	
BSc.Eng.Hons(Moratuwa), PhD(Monash), CEng, MIE(SI	.)
Department of Civil Engineering	
University of Moratuwa	
Sri Lanka	

ABSTRACT

Earth retaining structures are a key component in most engineering constructions. The present design practice as per the standard procedure is limited to analysis the structure under anticipated permanent design loads. However, in reality, the structure undergoes numerous loading patterns depending on the construction methodology as well as construction sequence that might be vary in type, magnitude, direction and distribution to that of design loads. The recent construction experience of some projects in Colombo indicates that these unaccounted loading patterns in construction stages could lead to failure modes that were not expected during design phase. In this study, a detailed forensic analysis is carried out for one case study by means of numerical modeling of different loadings undergone during various stages of the construction stage and root cause of failure for each case is identified. A gap analysis is carried out to ascertain that why the root causes had not been taken in to consideration during the design phase and recommendations are proposed to the design procedure currently practiced to avoid future such consequences.

Key Words – Construction Sequence

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka, my study supervisor, deserves special thanks for his patient

supervision, passionate support, and helpful criticisms of my research project. The

various comments, suggestions, and help in keeping my efforts on track, as well as my

extensive knowledge in the field of geotechnical engineering, all contributed

significantly to the success of my study.

The course coordinator, Senior Lecturer, Dr. L.I.N. De Silva, deserves special thanks

for his support with the Finite Element Analysis using Plaxis 2D software. His

invaluable assistance enabled me to focus my studies in the right direction.

Mr.H.L. Prasanga, Project Engineer, thank you very much for your kind assistance in

providing essential data which helped my study to get succeed. The encouragement

you provided was extremely helpful in completing this study on time.

I would also like to extend my thanks to all the academic staff of Geotechnical

Engineering, Prof. U.G.A. Puswewala, Dr. U.P. Nawagamuwa and Dr. (Mrs.) A.S.

Ranathunga for helping in various ways to clarify the issues related to my academic

works.

P.G. Deepika Chathurangani

01st September 2022

V

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 General Site Conditions	3
1.4 Scope and Objectives of the Thesis	4
1.5 Thesis Outline	5
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Importance of considering construction sequence in urban environme	nts8
2.3 Case Studies	9
2.3.1 Case 1 - Structural Damage to Adjacent building during removal of gabion construction in Ambathale , Sri Lanka	_
2.3.1.1 Case details.	9
2.3.1.2 Nature of Damage	14
2.3.2.3 Relevance of the case on this study	18
2.3.2 Case Study 2 – Structural Damage to Adjacent building during co	onstruction of
North Lock Pumping Station in Sedawattha, Sri Lanka	18
2.3.2.1 Case details.	18
2.3.2.2 Nature of the damage.	22
2.3.2.3 Relevance to this study.	27
2.3.3 Case Study 3 – Structural Damage to Adjacent buildings during construction Sheet Pile Canal bank protection near Galle Road bridge of Well-	
Sri Lanka	
2.3.3.1 Case details	27
2.3.3.2 Nature of Damage	31
2.3.3.3 Relevance of the case on this study.	

CHAPTER 3 - BACKGROUND OF FAILURE AND RESE.	ARCH
METHODOLOGY	35
3.1 Background of failure	35
3.1.1 Canal bank protection design of the project area	36
3.1.2 Temporary shoring arrangement used at the site.	36
3.1.3. Construction Methodology used at the site	37
3.1.4 Condition details of House Ref. No. P62.	39
3.1.3.1 Observations	39
3.1.4 Condition details of House Ref. No. P61.	41
3.1.4.1. Observations	42
3.2 Methodology	44
CHAPTER 4 MODELLING ALTERNATE CONSTRUCTION PROCESS	ES.48
4.1 Soil Condition along the canal bank protection area	48
4.2 Boundary Conditions	53
4.3 Water table.	53
4.4 Sequence of Analysis	53
4.4.1 System 01: 6m long sheet piles with 1 prop at existing ground level	54
4.4.2 System 02: 6m long sheet piles with props at 1.5m interval	55
4.5 Results.	58
4.5.1 System 01	58
4.5.2 System 02	62
4.5.4 System 04.	71
CHAPTER 05: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	77
5.1 Conclusion	77
5.2 Recommendations.	78
REFERENCES.	80
Annexure I	82

Annexure II	83	
Annexure III	84	
Annexure IV	85	
Annexure V		
Annexure VI	87	,
Annexure VII		,
Annexure VIII		,
List of Figures		
Figure 1.1: The n	ature of wall and floor crack of a building	
Figure 1.2: Locat	ion Map4	ļ
Figure 2.1: Locat	ion of the site10)
Figure 2.3: Cross	sectional view of the canal bank protection design used at the site.1	1
Figure 2.4: Temp	orary shoring system used at the site and surrounding building detail	ls
		,
Figure 2.5: Plan	of the temporary shoring arrangement followed at site	
Figure 2.6: Initiat	ion of Ground Cracks14	
Figure 2.7: Crack	locations at "House"	
Figure 2.8: C1 cr	ack	
Figure 2.9: C2 an	d C4 cracks16	,
Figure 2.10: C3 v	vall crack16	,
Figure 2.11: C5 f	loor crack16	
Figure 2.12: Com	pleted canal bank protection as seen now	,
Figure 2.13: Loca	tion of damaged dwelling house	,
Figure 2.14: Plan	view of project area and distances to the damaged house 19	١
Figure 2.15: Cros	s section showing the design of retaining walls in inlet channel area	a.
	20	1

inlet channel area.	
Figure 2.17: Plan Showing Sheet pile Arrangement Used at the site to constr	ruct the
inlet channel area	
Figure 2.18: Ground Cracks at front side of T13 House	22
Figure 2.19: Seperation of boundary wall of T13 House	23
Figure 2.20: Ground Floor Crack Locations of T13 House.	23
Figure 2.21: C1 crack on 19.12.2018.	24
Figure 2.22: C2 crack on 11.09.2018 and 19.12.2018	24
Figure 2.23: C3 crack on 11.09.2018 and 19.12.2018.	24
Figure 2.24: C4 crack on 11.09.2018 and 19.12.2018.	25
Figure 2.25: C5 crack on 11.09.2018 and 19.12.2018	25
Figure 2.26: Location of the Damaged Building.	28
Figure 2.27: Plan view of the project area and distances to damaged building	28
Figure 2.28: Cross sectional view of the canal bank protection design	29
Figure 2.29: Plan view of the design.	30
Figure 2.30: Damaged building condition during design stage and constrution sta	age31
Figure 2.31: Location of canal bank instability initiated.	32
Figure 2.32: Photographs of cracks in the lower basement.	32
Figure 2.33: Photographs of cracks in the upper basement.	33
Figure 2.34: Cross sectional view of the canal bank protection design used at t	the site
after damage.	33
Figure 2.35: Project site as seen today after the remediation sheet piles	34
Figure 3.1: Layout Map showing the damaged houses and distances to the canal	l bank.
	35
Figure 3.2: Design Section of Canal Bank Protection.	36
Figure 3.3: Section showing the Temporary Shoring Arrangement Used at the significant state of t	te 37

Figure 3.4: Plan view snowing the Temporary Snoring Arrangement Used at	
Figure 3.5: Ground movement at the back side of the House Ref No. P61	
Figure 3.6: Front view and back view of P62 house.	39
Figure 3.7: Ground Floor Plan of the damaged P62B house and detached locati	ons.40
Figure 3.8: Detachment -1occured in the floor.	40
Figure 3.9: Detachment – 2 observed in the wall.	41
Figure 3.10:Front view of P61 house from Nagalagam Street.	41
Figure 3.11:Back view plan of P61 house from Canal side	42
Figure 3.12: C1floor crack.	42
Figure 3.13: Comparison of the C2 crack recorded in the Pre-Condition Su	rvey on
26.11.2017 and the Condition Survey on 23.01.2019 of the House Ref I	No. P61
	43
Figure 3.14: Schematic diagram showing the System 02 arrangement	44
Figure 3.15: Schematic diagram showing the System 03 arrangement	45
Figure 3.16: Schematic diagram showing the System 04 arrangement	45
Figure 4.1: Soil Profile of the site	50
Figure 4.3: Plaxis model showing the arrangement of System-01	54
Figure 4.4: Proposed system 02.	55
Figure 4.5: Proposed system 03.	56
Figure 4.6: Proposed system 04.	57
Figure 4.7: Actually adopted Shoring system used for the Plaxis Model	58
Figure 4.8: Deformed mesh of stage 05 of System 01	59
Figure 4.9: Ground settlement profile of System 01	60
Figure 4.10: Ground Settlement Profile of stage 05 of System 01	60
Figure 4.11: Maximum Horizontal displacement at damaged building location stage for System 01.	

Figure 4.12: Horizontal displacement Profile of stage 05 of System 01
Figure 4.13: Schematic Diagram of System 02 used for the Plaxis Model 62
Figure 4.15: Maximum ground settlements along the Distance for System 02 64
Figure 4.16: Vertical Settlement Profile of stage 05 of System 02
Figure 4.17: Maximum Horizontal displacement at damaged structure location for each stage
of System 02
Figure 4.18: Horizontal displacement Profile of stage 05 of System 02 66
Figure 4.19: Schematic Diagram of System 03 used for the Plaxis Model . 67
Figure 4.20: Deformed mesh of stage 03 of System 03
Figure 4.21: Maximum ground settlements along the Distance for System 03 69
Figure 4.22: Vertical Settlement Profile of stage 03 of System 03
Figure 4.23: Maximum Horizontal displacement at damaged structure location for
each stage
of System 03
Figure 4.24: Horizontal Displacement Profile of stage 03 of System 03
Figure 4.24: Horizontal Displacement Profile of stage 03 of System 03
Figure 4.25: Schematic Diagram of System 04 used for the Plaxis Model
Figure 4.25: Schematic Diagram of System 04 used for the Plaxis Model
Figure 4.25: Schematic Diagram of System 04 used for the Plaxis Model
Figure 4.25: Schematic Diagram of System 04 used for the Plaxis Model
Figure 4.25: Schematic Diagram of System 04 used for the Plaxis Model

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Soil condition of the project area	. 13
Table 2.2: Soil condition of the project area.	. 21
Table 2.3: Summary of the bore hole information done on the berm	. 30
Table 3.1: Details of damaged houses.	. 35
Table 4.1: Typical values for Soil friction angle and cohesion	. 49
Table 4.2: Typical values for Young's modulus and Poisson's ratia	49
Table 4.3: Typical values for bulk and saturated unit weights	. 50
Table 4.4: Input parameters for the soil layers for the MC model	. 51
Table 4.5: Input parameters of sheetpile wall	. 52
Table 4.6 : Input parameters of steel props	. 53
Table 4.7: Construction sequence used for system - 1	. 59
Table 4.8: Construction sequence used for system - 2	. 63
Table 4.9: Construction sequence used for system - 3	. 68
Table 4.10: Construction sequence used for system – 4	72