STUDY AND ANALYSE THE EXCESSIVE CAMBER DEVELOPMENT IN PRECAST PRESTRESSED SLAB PANELS

Madiwala Don Damith Gamage

168910J

Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2021

STUDY AND ANALYSE THE EXCESSIVE CAMBER DEVELOPMENT IN PRECAST PRESTRESSED SLAB PANELS

Madiwala Don Damith Gamage

(168910J)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2021

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning. And to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa, the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print electronic or another medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

M. D. D. Gamage

Date:

The undersign hereby certify that I have read and recommended the thesis for the acceptance in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering

Dr K. Baskaran

Date:

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartiest gratitude to Dr K. Baskaran, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for his immense support, guidance and supervision provided throughout this research project to make this a success.

I would like to thank our research project coordinator for all the valuable guidance and for organizing this module in a very decent manner.

Furthermore, my sincere appreciation goes to the Head of the Department and all the lecturers of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for all the guidance given for us in past 4 years which paved our way to the Structural Engineering field.

I am also grateful for the valuable support given by the Non-academic staff of the Building Materials Laboratory and Structural Testing Laboratory of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

I owe my deepest gratitude to all my batchmates who helped me in this research project. If not for their help and courage gave to me this research won't be a success.

M. D. D. Gamage Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa.

Abstract

In Prestressed concrete, the initial compression is applied to the concrete before applying any external load so that stress from external loads is counteracted in a favourable way. Camber in precast prestressed slab panels can be defined as the upward deflection that is caused due to the moment caused by the eccentric prestressing force. Excessive camber development in precast prestressed slab panels can lead to several problems such as needing extra amount of topping concrete meaning extra cost and extra dead load. In addition, cracking of top surface of slab leads to durability problems. Therefore, accurate prediction of camber is essential to minimize these problems. The objective of this research is to identify the causes for the difference between design and actual camber and to propose suggestions to minimize excessive camber in precast prestressed slab panels.

To achieve the research objective, a literature review was carried out to identify camber calculation methods in precast prestressed slabs and to identify the reasons for difference between calculated and actual camber. Then did manual calculations for designing of sample precast prestressed slab panel. Electronic strain gauges were installed to high strength strands to measure the strain developed in the strands during stressing and destressing processes and obtained the data logger readings. Then comparative analysis of literature review findings, theoretical calculations and practical observations were done, and the conclusion was derived based on above analysis results. From the recalculation process by using the material properties and parameters obtained by experimental data, it is shown that it is adequate to use 5 number of strands instead of 6 number of strands.

From the experimental values obtained from concrete cylinder tests, the actual Modulus of Elasticity in concrete used is lower than the values considered in design. When the Modulus of Elasticity of concrete decreases, the upward deflection also increases. Because of excessive camber development we had to put extra amount of topping concrete thickness to maintain the minimum topping concrete layer thickness of 75 mm and to maintain levelled floor surface. This increases extra 5.27% of topping concrete material cost.

Stress releasing process of strands was done one by one and is not symmetrical. Therefore, the stress at strands varies during the releasing process. Due to this reason, there can be a twist in the precast prestressed slab panel and the camber value also varies along a cross section considered. Therefore, it is suggested to release all strands simultaneously.

Table of Contents

De	clarationii
Ac	knowledgementiii
Ab	stractiv
Та	ble of contentsv
Lis	st of figuresvii
Lis	st of tablesix
Lis	st of notationsxi
1.	Introduction1
	1.1 Background1
	1.2 Research
	Problem2
	1.3 Objectives
	1.4 Summary of Research Methodology
	1.5 Significance of research4
	1.6 Outline of the Thesis4
2.	Literature Review
	2.1 Literature Review Findings
	2.2 Summary of the Literature Review
3.	Research
	Methodology10
4.	Design Calculation and Laboratory Tests14
	4.1 Design Calculation for Precast Prestressed Slab14
	4.2 Tensile Test of Prestressing Strands15
	4.3 Concrete Cylinder Test
5.	Field
	Tests
	5.1 Strain readings obtained at site before stressing the strands25
	5.2 Readings obtained at site after stressing the strands
	5.3 Readings obtained at site before releasing the strands
	5.4 Readings obtained at site after releasing the strands
	5.5 Readings obtained at site after placing the topping concrete on top of prestressed
	slab32
6.	Results and Discussion

	6.1 Comparison of Material Properties
	6.2 Analysis of strain values and prestress losses observed at site40
	6.3 Analysis of deflection values obtained at site during and after releasing of
	strands45
	6.4 Redesign calculation for precast prestressed slab according to experimental data
	obtained46
7.	Conclusions
	7.1 Comparison of Literature Review Findings, Theoretical Calculations and Practical
	Observations42
	7.2 Conclusion
	7.3 Recommendation for further work

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Precast prestressed slab panels rest on beams without having falsework for				
slab1				
Figure 4.2.1: Universal testing machine				
Figure 4.2.2: Computer screen connected to universal testing machine				
Figure 4.2.3: Cross section of prestressing strand14				
Figure 4.2.4: Universal testing machine with prestressing strand sample inserted14				
Figure 4.2.5: Output data recorded in the computer connected to universal testing				
machine				
Figure 4.2.6: Failure of prestress strand during tensile testing				
Figure 4.2.7: Stress Vs Strain diagram obtained for Prestressing Strand Sample1 &				
216				
Figure 4.3.1: Compression testing machine				
Figure 4.3.2: Prepared concrete cylinder specimen for				
testing				
Figure 4.3.3: Compression testing machine with specimen placed in				
Figure 4.3.4: Digital screen of compression testing machine				
Figure 4.3.5: Dial gauge connected to concrete cylinder specimen				
Figure 4.3.6: Compression testing machine with crushed specimen19				
Figure 4.3.7: Stress vs Strain graph for Concrete Cylinder Sample 01,02,03 & 0420				
Figure 4.3.8: Stress vs Strain graph for Concrete Cylinder Sample 05,06 & 0720				
Figure 5.1.1: Laying of strands on long line precast bed				
Figure 5.1.2: Electronic strain gauge installed to strand				
Figure 5.1.3: Data logger connected to electronic strain gauge				
Figure 5.1.4: Observation of readings from data logger before stressing the strands23				
Figure 5.2.1: Hydraulic jack connected to strand24				
Figure 5.2.2: Application of jacking force to strand				
Figure 5.2.3: Observation of applied jacking force from jacking dial gauge25				
Figure 5.2.4: Initial strand location marked at the jack end				
Figure 5.2.5: Hydraulic jack connected to strand25				
Figure 5.3.1: Taking spot levels on prestressed panel before releasing the strands				
Figure 5.3.2: Spot level locations on prestressed panel				
Figure 5.3.3: Record data logger readings before releasing the strands				
Figure 5.4.1: Data logger set on a platform, not to disturb during strand releasing process31				

Figure 6.3.1: Spot level locations on pre-stressed panel	40
Figure A.1: Magnel diagram for transfer stage and topping concrete placing stage	53
Figure A.2: Magnel diagram for transfer stage and service stage	55
Figure A.3 - Stress strain curve for the prestressing strands	.59
Figure B.1: Magnel diagram for transfer stage and topping concrete placing stage	.70
Figure B.2: Magnel diagram for transfer stage and service stage	72
Figure B.3: Stress strain curve for the prestressing strands	76

List of Tables

Table 4.2.1: Prestressing strand sample data14
Table 4.2.2: Modulus of Elasticity of prestressing strand samples
Table 4.3.1: Concrete cylinder sample data
Table 4.3.2: Modulus of Elasticity for concrete cylinder Samples 1,2,3 and 4 (at 2 days of
age, Transfer stage)21
Table 4.3.3: Modulus of Elasticity for concrete cylinder Samples 5,6 and 7 (at 28 days of
age)21
Table 5.1.1: Data logger readings before stressing the strands
Table 5.2.1: Data logger readings after stressing the strands
Table 5.2.2: The strain values developed at strands after stressing the strands
Table 5.2.3: The strain values developed at strands after stressing the strands (Using manual
elongation measurements)
Table 5.3.1: The strain values developed at strands before releasing the strands
Table 5.4.1: The staff readings before and after releasing strands
Table 5.4.2: The strain values developed at strands after releasing the strands
Table 5.5.1: The staff readings after placing the topping concrete on prestressed slab32
Table 6.1.1: Comparison of Prestressing Strand Properties
Table 6.1.2: Comparison of Concrete Properties
Table 6.2.1: The summary of strain values developed at strands after stressing and before
releasing strands
Table 6.2.2: The summary of strain values developed at strands after stressing and before
releasing strands
Table 6.2.3: The summary of ultimate limit state shear capacity along slab pannel with 6
strands and with 5 strands
Table 6.3.1: The summary of upward deflection values developed during and after releasing
of strands40
Table 7.1.1: Comparison of Concrete Properties42
Table 7.1.2: Comparison of Prestressing Strand Properties
Table 7.1.3: The summary of strain values developed at strands after stressing and before
releasing strands
Table 7.1.4: The summary of strain values developed at strands after stressing and before
releasing strands45

Table A1: Summary of shear capacities and shear force at ultimate limit state (6 strands).	62
Table A2: Summary of shear capacities and shear force at ultimate limit state (5 strands).	66
Table B1: Summary of shear capacities and shear force at ultimate limit state	79
Table C1: Readings obtained for strand Sample 01	80
Table C2: Readings obtained for strand Sample 02	82
Table C3: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 01	84
Table C4: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 02	85
Table C5: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 03	86
Table C6: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 04	87
Table C7: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 05	
Table C8: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 06	89
Table C9: Readings obtained for concrete cylinder Sample 07	90

List of Notations

А	=	Cross section area of precast prestressed slab
Aps	=	Area of prestressing strands
b	=	Width of the precast prestressed slab
Eci	=	Elastic modulus of concrete
Es	=	Elastic modulus of prestressing strands
e	=	Eccentricity of prestressing strands
\mathbf{f}_{cu}	=	Characteristic compressive strength of concrete
\mathbf{f}_{pu}	=	Characteristic strength of prestressing strands
\mathbf{f}_{max}	=	Maximum permissible stress in concrete at service condition
\mathbf{f}_{min}	=	Minimum permissible stress in concrete at service condition
f _{maxt}	=	Maximum permissible stress in concrete at transfer condition
\mathbf{f}_{mint}	=	Minimum permissible stress in concrete at transfer condition
Ι	=	Second moment of area of precast prestressed slab
M_0	=	Moment due to self-weight of slab
\mathbf{M}_{s}	=	Moment at serviceability limit state
\mathbf{P}_{j}	=	Jacking force
$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{i}}$	=	Initial prestress force
Pe	=	Effective prestress force
Psr	=	Loss due to steel relaxation
Psc	=	Loss due to creep of concrete
Pss	=	Loss due to shrinkage of concrete
V_{co}	=	Design ultimate shear strength of uncracked section
Vcr	=	Design ultimate shear strength of cracked section
Vc	=	Critical shear strength of concrete section
Z_1	=	Section modulus at bottom of precast prestressed slab
Z_2	=	Section modulus at top of precast prestressed slab
α	=	Loss ratio
δ_{ps}	=	Deflection due to prestress force
δ_{sw}	=	Deflection due to self-weight of slab
$\gamma_{_{\rm m}}$	=	Partial factor of safety for material strength