# "IMPACT ON PUBLIC REALM BY URBAN INTENSIFICATION OF PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC BUILDINGS"

Special Reference to Major Public Spaces in Colombo

**B D N Cooray** 

198586V

**Master in Urban Design** 

**Department of Architecture** 

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka.

February 2022

# "IMPACT ON PUBLIC REALM BY URBAN INTENSIFICATION OF PRIVATELY OWNED PUBLIC BUILDINGS"

Special Reference to Major Public Spaces in Colombo

B D N Cooray

198586V

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master in Urban Design

Department of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka.

February 2022

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa	the non-exclusive right to reproduce
and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in retain the right to use this content in whole or parbooks).	
Signature (B D N Cooray)	Date
The above candidate has carried out research for supervision.	the dissertation under my
Name of the Supervisor	
Signature of the Supervisor	Date

#### **ABSTRACT**

The public Realm is a place where anyone can access, interact physically despite of their economic social circumstances, it is the location where individuals interact physically. As a result, pedestrian walkways, parks, streets, squares, as well as signage and street furniture, are all included in the context.

Privately Owned Public Buildings, spaces dedicated to public use enjoyment and which are owned and maintained by private property owners.

Urban Intensification, Development that allows for more people to connect with in the existing urban area.

The study will analyze the impact on public realm by urban intensification of privately owned public building. whether the privately owned public building has a positive or a negative impact for the urban user and its vicinity.

Key words -public realm, urban nitrification and privately own buildings and human activities

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would want to convey my heartfelt gratitude to the people listed below for their help, advice, and support in making this essay a success.

Our Course Director, Dr. Janaka Wijesundara, and external tutor, Archt. Janaka Dharmasena, deserve special gratitude for their advice, recommendations, and support during the study.

In addition, I'd like to express my gratitude to Dr. Senaka Dharmathilake for his helpful input and suggestions during the study's early stages.

My case studies wouldn't have been successful without the assistance of my friends, Shiraj and Nishani, who assisted me in finding case studies, gathering information, and assisting me in conducting the survey, and a special thanks to dear friend Nilmi Deshika, who assisted me with writing and corrections.

Finally, I'm grateful to Dhanangika and Danuli who helped and encourage me for the successes of this study.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	LARATION ΓRACT	
	NOWLEDGEMENT	
	OF FIGURES	
	OF ILLUSTRATIONS	
LIST	OF TABLES	3 -
	RODUCTION	
CHA	PTER 01: URBAN PUBLIC REALM	7 -
1.1.	What Is An Urban Public Realm?	7 -
1.2.	Rudiments of Public Realm	9
1.3	Public Spaces And Community	17
1.4.	Public Spaces And Recreational Activities	20
1.5.	Changing Nature Of Public Space In City Centers	21
1.6.	Urban Transformation And Its Impact On The Public Realm	22
1.6.1.	Impact On The Nature And Spaces	22
1.6.2.	Impacts On The Livelihood Of The Dwellers	23
CITA		<b>.</b>
	PTER 02: URBAN INTENSIFICATION & PRIVATELY OWNED LIC SPACES	
2.1	What Is Urban Intensification?	
2.2.	What Is Privately Owned Public Space / Building?	
2.3	Public Space In Urban Situation	
2.4.	Internationally Known Privately Owned Public Spaces/ Buildings	
	PTER 03: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLO	
	SURES / QUALITIES OF THE PUBLIC REALM	
3.1.	Theoretical Framework Derived Through Literature Survey	
3.2.	Theoretical Framework Of The Study	
3.3.	Methodology Of The Stydy	49
СНА	PTER 04: CASE STUDIES	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.1.1.	Evolution Of Colombo	54
4.1.2.	Interventions To Plan Colombo In 19th Century	54

Public Buildings of The Vicinity	56
4.2.1 Galle Face	56
4.2.2 Map Of Galle Face Green	57
4.2.3 Privately Owned Public Buildings Along Galle Face Green.	58
4.2.4 Measures Of Public Realm In Galle Face Green	60
4.2.5 Impact of The Development of One Gall Face Building On Galle Face67	
4.2.6 Summary Of The Survey	68
4.2.7 The Inference Of The Case Study 1	75
4.2.8 Guidelines To Enhance Gall Face Green – Public Realm	77
Case Study 02 – Independence Square And The Impact Of The Publicly Owned Development Of The Vicinities	78
4.3. Independence Square	78
4.3.1 Privately Owned Public Buildings Along Independence Square	79
4.3.2 Measures of Public Realm in Independence	
Square81	
4.3.3 Evolution of The Impact During the Years86	Past
4.3.4 Summary Of The Survey	87
4.3.5 The Inference Of The Case Study 2	92
4.3.6 Guidelines To Enhance Independence Square – Public Realm	94
CONCLUSION	95
REFERENCES	99
ANNEXURES	.103

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 01: Zuccotti Park, New York	07
Figure 01: Highline, New York	07
Figure 03: Park benches	11
Figure 04: Park benches	11
Figure 05: Trees in pathway	12
Figure 06: Fences separating the pathways & public spaces	13
Figure 07: Bushes & benches acting as barriers	13
Figure 08 & 09: Lighting in public areas	15
Figure 10 & 11: Signage in public areas	16
Figure 12 & 13: Sheltering structures in public spaces	16
Figure 14: Designed recreational activities	20
Figure 15: Natural recreational activities	20
Figure 16: Recreational activities	20
Figure 17: New York city	22
Figure 18: Urbanization over the past 500 years	22
Figure 19: Privately owned public space, New York	25
Figure 20: Mechanism of Privately Owned Public space	25
Figure 20a: Book 'Privately Owned Public Spaces"	26
Figure 21: Access through open spaces	28
Figure 22: Vibrant public spaces	29
Figure 23: Attracting features at public spaces	29
Figure 24: Surveillance from surrounding buildings	30
Figure 25: Making boundaries	30
Figure 26: Barricades separating paths	31
Figure 27: Vistas in a public space	32
Figures 28 & 29: London eye	33
Figures 30 & 31: Zuccotti Park, New York	34
Figures 32,33,34: Sculptures at the Zuccotti Park	34
Figures 35 & 36: Orchard Street, Singapore	
Figures 37,38 & 39: maps showing the evolution of Colombo	
Figure 40: City plan by Sir Patrick Geddes	
Figure 41: City plan by Clifford Holiday	51
Figure 42: Regional Plan by Patrick Abercrombie	
Figures 43,44,45: Images of Galle face in the past	
Figures 46,47,48: Growth and urban intensification of Galle face over the time	
Figure 49: Image of Galle Face on Google map	
Figure 50: Nollie, map of Galleface	
Figure 51: One Galle face Mall	
Figure 52 & 53: Galle face Urban Forest Park	
Figures 54& 55: Dining Capsule,	
Figures 56 57 & 58: Entrance to Galle face	56

Figure 62: Parking Lot of Galle face	
Figure 63: Pedestrian walkway at Galle face	
Figures 64: Pedestrian walkways	
Figures 65 & 66: Pedestrian walkways	
Figures 67,68 & 69: Pedestrian access to Galle face.	58
Figures 70 & 71: Visibility of the place	59
Figures 72 & 73: Seating provided for people.	59
Figures 74, 75 & 76: People using the place	6
Figures 77, 78 & 79: People at Galle face	6
Figures 80,81,82 & 83: Surrounding of Galle face	6
Figures 84 & 85: seating and resting spaces @ Galle face	61
Figures 86,87 & 88: Street vendors at Galle face	6
Figures 89 & 90: Pedestrian walkways	62
Figure 91: Old map of Colombo	62
Figure 92: New map of Colombo	62
Figure 93: Map of Galle face green and one Galle face	72
Figure 94: Independence square and the surroundings buildings – old map	
Figure 95: Independence square and the surroundings buildings – current map	
Figure 96 & 97: Independence Arcade	
Figure 98: Image of Independence Arcade	
Figures 99 & 100: Independence arcade	
Figures 101 & 102: Visual access at Independence Arcade	
Figures 103,104 & 105: Street frontage @ Independence arcade	
Figures 106 & 107: Physical access for the place	
Figures 108 & 109: Independence arcade	
Figures 110 & 111: Activities at the Independence arcade	
Figures 112 & 113: Images of the surrounding of Independence arcade	
Figures 113, 114 & 115: Soothing environment around Independence arcade	
Figures 116 & 117: Surroundings of Independence Arcade	
Figures 118 & 119: Walkable spaces around Independence Arcade	
Figures 120 & 121: Seating around Independence Square	
Figure 122: Map of Independence Square & independence Arcade	
Figure 123: Map of the Independence square & independence arcade	
Figure 124: The Independence Square Author: Author.	
Figure 125 & 126: the gall face green Author: Author.	
Figure 127 &128: The Independence Square Author: Author	
Figure 12/ &128: The Independence Square Author: Author	9
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	
Illustration 01: Safety factor- case study 01	64
Illustration 02: Comfort factor- case study 01	

Illustration 05: Availability of services -Case study 01	67
Illustration 06: Availability of services- case study 01	67
Illustration 07: Time spent at the place- case study 01	68
Illustration 08: Time comparisons- case study 01	68
Illustration 09: Facilities provided for various user categories	69
Illustration 10- Comparison to user perception- Case study 01	69
Illustration 11- Pedestrian friendliness- case study 01	69
Illustration 12: Comparison to user perception	69
Illustration 13: Overall summary of the questionnaire -Case study 01	71
Illustration 14: Summary of the statement- Case study 01	71
Illustration 15: Safety factor- Case study 02	82
Illustration 16: Comfort factor- Case study 02	83
Illustration 17: Availability of services- Case study 02	84
Illustration 18: Comparison of the availability of services	84
Illustration 19: User Perception -case study 02	85
Illustration 21: Time spent on the space- case study 02	85
Illustration 22: Comparison of the time usage- case study 02	85
Illustration 23: Facilities supporting different user groups- case study 02	86
Illustration 24: Comparison on user perception on Facilities supporting different user	
groups- case study02	86
Illustration 25: Pedestrian friendliness of the place- Case study 02	87
Illustration 25a:User perception on Pedestrian friendliness of the place-Case study 02	
Illustration 26: Summary of the overall user perception- Case study 02	
Illustration 27: Overall user perception- Case study 02	88
LICT OF TARLES	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 01: Summary of the questionnaire- case study 01	
Table 02: Summary of the questionnaire- Case study 02	87