CUSTOMER SATISFACTION ON SEMI LUXURY APARTMENTS IN SRI LANKA

Galpottage Damith Dhakshana Perera

Dissertation submitted in partial Fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Of Master of Science in Construction Project Management



Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

96820

February 2011

Abstract

There has been a significant growth in the residential apartment market in Sri Lanka during the last decade. The main reason behind this upsurge include the growth of population, changes in living patterns, demand for the second house concept, high density in the urban areas of the country and soaring prices of property particularly in urban areas of the country. Sustainability of this segment of construction depends to a greater extent on the ability of developers to satisfy the customer requirements in the long run. At present most of the residential apartments are designed without the involvement of potential users or customers. Further, specification of the finishes, maintenance of common services, duration of handing over the end product and the final cost factor which were promises by the developers leads to make conflicts. To ensure conflicts free and customer friendly form of residential apartment, the right blend of design, construction and operation phases are vital.

This study has analyzed the information obtained through questionnaire survey to measure customer's perception of characteristics of their apartment and its surroundings in terms of difference between the "Importance" and "Satisfaction", i.e., Quality Gap. This study has more emphasized on the owners of the Semi Luxury apartments who occupied their own apartment, rather than occupant rented in the apartment buildings. The analysis considered private sector apartments and its process; critical issues regarding housing, customer satisfaction and customer attitudes were identified. Further, the results of the study revealed that understanding and determining the real customer satisfactions are met in the residential apartment business; to address the issue of promises given by the developers to customers

during the pre-sales done before the construction been started in the apartment building and if there is a mechanism to listen to the voice of the customers such as the Post Occupancy Evaluation (POE) surveys presented in this research or any other that enables the involvement of users during the early stages.

I. Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature of the Candidate: Date: 07/02/2011

G. D. D. Perera

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

The above candidate has carried out research for the degree of Masters of Science in Construction Project Management Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the Supervisor: UOM Verified Signature Date: 07 [201]

Prof. N. D. Gunawardana

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

II. Acknowledgement

I take this moment to thank my research supervisor Prof. N.D. Gunawardana, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa for his kind support and direction given to me throughout the project.

Also I wish to extend a similar gratitude to Dr. Asoka Perera, Course Coordinator of the M.Sc programme, Dr. L.L. Ekanayake, Dr. R. U. Halwatura and all the staff members of Construction Management Division, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for their support and guidance on this project.

Also I am grateful to all the customers who were patiently devoted their valuable time to fill up the questionnaires and help me in numerous ways to complete my research survey.

Finally I am thankful to my wife & family for their patience and my friends who helped me in data collection and officers in charge of the apartment buildings who gave their support for me to distribute the questionnaire to carry out the research survey in their semi luxury apartments.

G.D.D. Perera February, 2011

IV. Table of Contents

|] | I. Declaration | i |
|---|--|------------------|
| I | II. Acknowledgement | . ii |
| I | III. Abstract | iii |
| I | V. Table of Contents | . iv |
| | | |
| 1 | . INTRODUCTION | . 01 |
| | 1.1 Background | 01 |
| | 1.2 Research Problem | . 04 |
| | 1.3 Research Objectives | 04 |
| | 1.4 Suh Objectives | . 04 |
| | 1.5 Framework | 05 |
| | 1.5.1 Study Area | . 05 |
| | 1.5.2 Limitation to the Study | 05 |
| | 1.6 Research Methodology | 05 |
| | 1.7 Structure of the Thesis | 07 |
| | 1.8 Summary | 08 |
| | | |
| | LITERATURE REVIEW | 09 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 09 |
| | 2.2 Customer Satisfaction | 09 |
| | 2.2.1 Customer Satisfaction Model for Real Estate Industry | |
| | 2.2.2 Customer Expectation. | |
| | 2.2.3 Perceived Price | |
| | 2.2.4 Perceived Product Quality | |
| | 2.2.5 Perceived Service Quality | |
| | | .12 |
| | | |
| | 2.3 Impact of Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) ownership on Renter Satisfaction | 13 |
| | 2.3 Impact of Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) ownership on Renter Satisfaction | 13 |
| | 2.3 Impact of Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) ownership on Renter Satisfaction 2.4 Customer Satisfaction using Data Mining Techniques | 13 15 |
| | 2.3 Impact of Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) ownership on Renter Satisfaction 2.4 Customer Satisfaction using Data Mining Techniques | 13 15 . 16 |
| | 2.3 Impact of Real Estate Investment Trust (REIT) ownership on Renter Satisfaction 2.4 Customer Satisfaction using Data Mining Techniques | 13 15 |

| | 2.6.1 Stakeholder Satisfaction | 21 |
|----|--|-----|
| | 2.7 Summary | 23 |
| | | |
| 3 | . METHODOLOGY | 24 |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 24 |
| | 3.2 Research Statement | 25 |
| | 3.3 Research Objective | 25 |
| | 3.4 Theoretical Framework | 26 |
| | 3.5 Questionnaire Design | 26 |
| | 3.5.1 Distribution of Questionnaire | 30 |
| | 3.5.2 Study Area | 30 |
| | 3.5.3 Limitation to the Study | 30 |
| | 3.6 Research Methodology | 31 |
| | 3.6.1 Research Design | .31 |
| | 3.6.2 Target Population. | 32 |
| | 3.6.3 Target Sample | 32 |
| | 3.6.4 Assumption to the Study | 32 |
| | 3.7 Descriptive Analysis | 33 |
| | 3.8 Reliability Test | 33 |
| | 3.9 Summary | 33 |
| | | |
| ١. | ANALYSIS AND DATA COLLECTION | 34 |
| | 4.1 Introduction | 34 |
| | 4.2 Data Collection. | |
| | 4.3 Data Analysis | 35 |
| | 4.4 Examination of Reliability | 35 |
| | 4.4.1 Cronbach's Alpha | |
| | 4.5 Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Values of Reliability Test of | 36 |
| | Group Characteristics for Level of Satisfaction | |
| | 4.5.1 Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Values of Reliability Test of Group. | .38 |
| | Characteristics for Degree of Importance | |
| | 4.6 Summary of Group Characteristics for Descriptive Statistics in | 40 |
| | Level of Satisfaction | |

| 4.7 Summary of Degree of Importance for Group Characteristics | 42 |
|--|------|
| of Descriptive Statistics | |
| 4.8 Difference between Degree of Importance and Level of Satisfaction | 44 |
| Quality Gap | |
| 4.9 T-Test | 45 |
| 4.9.1 Test | 45 |
| 4.10 One Sample T-Test for Group Characteristics of Level of | 46 |
| Satisfaction of Customers | |
| 4.11 One Sample T-Test for Degree of Importance of for Group | . 47 |
| 4.12 Age Analysis of Apartment Ownership and Ownership of | 48 |
| 4.13 Summary | 49 |
| | |
| 5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 50 |
| 5.1 Introduction. | 50 |
| 5.2 Conclusion and Recommendation. | 50 |
| 5.3 Further Research Work | . 51 |
| Mary - | |
| 6. REFERENCES | 53 |
| | |
| 7. APPENDIX I: Sample Questionnaire | 57 |
| <i>\$</i> | |
| | |
| 14. List of Tables & Charts | |
| Table 1.1: Research Methodology Used | 24 |
| Гable 1.2: Research Framework | |
| Table 3.2-1: Framework to Questionnaire Design | |
| Table 3.2-2: Details of Characteristics in Each Group | |
| Table 3.6-3: List of Semi Luxury Apartments Chosen | |
| Table 4.3-1: Likert Scale | |
| Table 4.5-1: Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Values of Reliability Test of | |
| Group Characteristics for Level of Satisfaction | |

| Table 4.5-1A: Cronbach's Alpha Values for Level of Satisfaction |
|---|
| Table 4.5-2: Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Values of Reliability Test of 38 |
| Group Characteristics for Degree of Importance |
| Table 4.5-2A: Cronbach's Alpha Values for Degree of Importance |
| Table 4.6-1: Summary of "Average Mean" for Level of Satisfaction for Group 40 |
| Characteristics of Descriptive |
| Table 4.6-2: Descriptive Statistics of Level of Satisfaction |
| Table 4.7-1: Summary of "Average Mean" for Degree of Importance for Group 42 |
| Characteristics of Descriptive |
| Table 4.7-2: Descriptive Statistics of Degree of Importance |
| Table 4.7-3: Difference between Descriptive Statistics for Level of Satisfaction 43 |
| And Degree of Importance |
| Table 4.10-1: Summary of One Sample t-test results for Group characteristics of 46 |
| Level of Satisfaction of Customers |
| Table 4.11-1: Summary of One Sample t-test results for Group characteristics of 47 |
| Degree of Importance of Customers |
| Chart 4.12-1: Age Limit of the Customer Ownership |
| Chart 4.12-2: Ownership of 1st House or 2nd House |

