ASSESSING THE AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKAN SOFTWARE INDUSTRY



INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

M L D T Karunanayaka.

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Moratuwa

December 2009

ASSESSING THE AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT IN SRI LANKAN SOFTWARE INDUSTRY

By



The Dissertation was submitted, to the Department of Computer Science & Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Business Administration.

> Department of Computer Science & Engineering University of Moratuwa December 2009

DECLARATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate, without acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university. To the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by any other person or me, except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations"

M L D T Karunanayaka.

.....

Date

MBA/IT/08/9064

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

To the best of my knowledge, the above particulars are correct.

.....

Date

Prof. N D Gunawardena.

Deputy Vice-Chancellor,

University of Moratuwa.

ABSTRACT

Software projects are the lifeline of software development organizations. Due to the ever increasing complexities in systems, it is paramount that extensive planning and risk assessment is performed to ensure successful project completion. As an emerging industry in Sri Lanka, sound software project risk management is of crucial importance. This study is focused on measuring the awareness of software project risk management in Sri Lankan software industry.

The study focuses primarily on investigating three key objectives: Firstly, identify the overall level of awareness of the software project risk management in Sri Lankan software industry. Secondly, extract the factors affecting to software project risk management and thirdly discussion on the risk response methods to the most significant risks found from survey results. Finally, the research discusses recommendations on improving the performance on better software project risk management based on the interviews conducted and the literature review.

The research uses both qualitative and quantitative approaches to fulfill research objectives. Data was primarily gathered through the questionnaire and interviews. The questionnaire was distributed among selected professionals in Sri Lankan software industry.

The results of the study indicate that the overall level of risk awareness in Sri Lankan software industry is above the average, evaluating to an estimated overall mean value of 3.7 out of 5. Study revealed that the awareness of software project risk management is dependent on the 'organizational characteristics' such as size, complexity and cost of the project, organizational culture and higher management initiative. However there is no evidence to state that awareness is dependent on 'technological aspects' and 'individual characteristics'.

Since the '*organizational characteristics*' have a relationship with the risk awareness, it is advisable to strictly adhere to the processes and policies within an organization to ensure the positive outcome.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to extend sincere thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Niranjan Gunawardena, Deputy Vice-Chancellor University of Moratuwa, for accepting my proposal and always being receptive, supportive and flexible. His constant confidence in me was definitely a driving factor to make this endeavor a success.

I would like to thank specially, our head of department, Mrs. Vishaka Nanayakara. Your patience was invaluable when running out of time.

I owe my deepest gratitude to Mrs. Dilini Kulawansa, Head of Department of Information Technology, for unconditionally taking time to give advice and show that analysis, in itself, is an art.

I would also like to acknowledge the support and guidance provided by the academic and non academic staff of the Department of Computer Science and Engineering.

I am indebted to my colleagues, who supported me in number of ways while completing their own research.

I would also like to give special thanks to my parents and my brother for their inspiration and encouragement. Without their support this research would not have been possible.

And last but not least, I wish to thank Aruna, for had it not been for the constant support and encouragement from him, this would not have materialized.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECL	ARATION	I
ABSTE	RACT	II
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENT	III
LIST (OF FIGURES	VI
LIST (OF TABLES	VII
LIST (OF ABBREVIATIONS	VIII
CHAP	ΓER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1
1.2	MOTIVATION	2
1.3	Research Topic	3
1.4	Research Methodology	4
1.5	NATURE AND FORM OF RESULTS	5
СНАР	FER 2: LITERATURE SURVEY	6
2.1	SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT	6
2.2	IMPORTANCE OF RISK MANAGEMENT	6
2.3	RISK IDENTIFICATION MODELS	7
2.4	SOFTWARE RISK IN OTHER COUNTRIES	17
2.5	FACTORS AFFECTING THE SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT	
2.6	RISK RESPONSE STRATEGIES	
2.7	Project Level Risks	25
2.8	SUMMARY	
CHAP	FER 3: METHODOLOGY	
3.1	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK OF THE 30	STUDY
3.2	Research Approach	
3.3	Research Design	41
3.4	SAMPLE DESIGN	43
3.5	DATA COLLECTION	
3.6	DATA ANALYSIS	45
CHAP	ΓER 4: DATA ANALYSIS	46
4.1	Reliability and Validity	
4.2	DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS	47
4.3	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	
44	RESULT OF HYPOTHESES ANALYSIS	71

CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION		73
5.1	COMPARISON OF THE CURRENT AVAILABLE STRATEGIES TO MOST	
SIGN	IFICANT RISKS	73
СНАР	TER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	CONCLUSION	
6.2	RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE THE PERFORMANCE	
6.3	LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY	
6.4	FURTHER RESEARCH	
REFERENCES		
APPE	NDIX I: QUESTIONNAIRE	
APPENDIX II		101



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1: RISK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE (PROJECT MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE 2004)	. 9
FIGURE 2.2: RISK IDENTIFICATION MODEL IN ORGANIZATION CONTEXT (IRM 2002)	11
FIGURE 2.3: RISK IDENTIFICATION WITH VARIETY OF PROJECT RISKS (WIDEMAN 1992)	15
FIGURE 3.1: TAXONOMY BASED RISK IDENTIFICATION (CARR ET AL. 1993)	36
FIGURE 3.2: CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR RISK IDENTIFICATION	38
FIGURE 3.3: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK BETWEEN AWARENESS AND FACTORS AFFECTING AWARENESS	39
FIGURE 4.1: SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS – GENDER	47
FIGURE 4.2: SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS – EDUCATION	48
FIGURE 4.3: SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS – AGE GROUP	49
FIGURE 4.4 : SAMPLE DEMOGRAPHICS – JOB TITLE	50
FIGURE 4 .5: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PRODUCT ENGINEERING RIS	K
	51
FIGURE 4 .6: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR DEVELOPMENT	
	51
ENVIRONMENT RISK	
ENVIRONMENT RISK	01
ENVIRONMENT RISK	51
FIGURE 4.8: RATINGS AGAINST THE PRODUCT ENGINEERING	51 53
FIGURE 4.9: RATING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT.	51 53 55
 FIGURE 4 .7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK	51 53 55 56
 ENVIRONMENT RISK FIGURE 4 .7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK FIGURE 4.8: RATINGS AGAINST THE PRODUCT ENGINEERING FIGURE 4.9: RATING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT FIGURE 4.11: OVERALL PROJECT RISK FEEDBACK SUMMARY 	51 53 55 56 57
 ENVIRONMENT RISK FIGURE 4 .7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK FIGURE 4.8: RATINGS AGAINST THE PRODUCT ENGINEERING FIGURE 4.9: RATING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT FIGURE 4.11: OVERALL PROJECT RISK FEEDBACK SUMMARY FIGURE 4.12: SCATTER DIAGRAM ON AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT VS 	51 53 55 56 57
 FIGURE 4.7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK	51 53 55 56 57 63
 FIGURE 4.7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK	51 53 55 56 57 63
 FIGURE 4 .7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK. FIGURE 4.8: RATINGS AGAINST THE PRODUCT ENGINEERING FIGURE 4.9: RATING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.11: OVERALL PROJECT RISK FEEDBACK SUMMARY	 51 51 53 55 56 57 63 67
 FIGURE 4 .7: NUMBER OF RESPONSES AGAINST EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT RISK. FIGURE 4.8: RATINGS AGAINST THE PRODUCT ENGINEERING FIGURE 4.9: RATING AGAINST THE DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.10: RATINGS AGAINST THE PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT. FIGURE 4.11: OVERALL PROJECT RISK FEEDBACK SUMMARY FIGURE 4.12: SCATTER DIAGRAM ON AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT VS EXPERIENCE FIGURE 4.13: SCATTER DIAGRAM ON AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT VS FIGURE 4.14: SCATTER DIAGRAM ON AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT VS 	 51 51 53 55 56 57 63 67
ENVIRONMENT RISK	 51 51 53 55 56 57 63 67 69
ENVIRONMENT RISK	 51 51 53 55 56 57 63 67 69

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1: TOP 10 SOFTWARE RISK ITEMS (BOEHM 1991)	18
TABLE 2.2: CRITICAL 20 RISK FACTORS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	
SERVICE MANAGEMENT PROJECTS	20
TABLE 2.3: MANAGING PROJECT LEVEL RISKS (CONROW AND SHISHIDO 1997)	25
TABLE 2.4: MANAGING PROJECT ATTRIBUTE LEVEL RISKS (CONROW AND SHISHIDO 1997)	26
TABLE 2.5: ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT LEVEL RISKS (CONROW AND SHISHIDO 1997)	27
TABLE 2.6: MANAGING WORK ENVIRONMENT RISKS (CONROW AND SHISHIDO 1997)	28
TABLE 2.7: MANAGING OTHER RISKS (CONROW AND SHISHIDO 1997)	28
TABLE 3.1: OPERATIONALIZATION OF VARIABLES USED IN THE STUDY	43
TABLE 4.1: RELIABILITY STATISTICS FOR MAJOR RISK CLASSES	46
TABLE 4.2: RELIABILITY STATISTICS FOR INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	47
TABLE 4.3: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF RISKS IN PRODUCT ENGINEERING	53
TABLE 4.4: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF RISK IN DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENT	54
TABLE 4.5: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF RISK IN PROGRAM ENVIRONMENT	56
TABLE 4.6: FREQUENCY ON OVERALL AWARENESS ON SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK MANAGEMENT IN SR	Ι
LANKAN SOFTWARE INDUSTRY	57
TABLE 4.7: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF RESEARCH CONSTRUCTS	57
TABLE 4.8: CORRELATION BETWEEN EXPERIENCES AND AWARENESS OF SOFTWARE PROJECT RISK	
MANAGEMENT	63
TABLE 4.9: ANOVA RESULTS ON LEVEL OF RISK AWARENESS AND AGE GROUPS	64
TABLE 4.10: ANOVA RESULTS ON LEVEL OF RISK AWARENESS AND GENDER	66
TABLE 4.11: CORRELATION RESULTS BETWEEN AWARENESS AND ORGANIZATIONAL CHARACTERISTIC	CS 68
TABLE 4.12: CORRELATION RESULTS BETWEEN AWARENESS AND TECHNOLOGICAL ASPECTS	69
TABLE 4.13: CORRELATION RESULTS BETWEEN AWARENESS AND INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS	71
TABLE 5.1: RISK RESPONSE STRATEGIES TO MOST SIGNIFICANT RISKS	81

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- IRM Institute of Risk Management
- RBS Risk Break Down Structure
- RIM Risk Identification Model
- SEI Software Engineering Institute
- SPSS Statistical Package for Social Science
- SQA Software Quality Assurance
- TBQ Taxonomy Based Questionnaire



Jniversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk