

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT USING
GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS
FOR RIVER BASIN PLANNING
A CASE STUDY OF ATTANAGALU OYA**

MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses and Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

K.R.J. PERERA

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA**

JANUARY 2010

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT USING GEOGRAPHIC
INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR RIVER BASIN
PLANNING
A CASE STUDY OF ATTANAGALU OYA**

**THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF
CIVIL ENGINEERING IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT
FOR THE DEGREE OF**

MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.lk BYlk

K.R.J. Perera

SUPERVISED BY

Prof. N.T.S. Wijesekera

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA
January 2010**

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the work included in the thesis has not been submitted in part or whole for any other academic institution for the qualification of a higher degree.

.....
Date

.....
K.R.J. Perera

.....
Date

.....
Prof. N.T.S. Wijesekera
Supervisor



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

ABSTRACT

Increasing population and rapid economic and technical developments is threatening water resources all over the world. Need for careful management of water resources has become a major concern for watershed managers to protect water resources for sustainable future. As streamflow is one of the key considerations in water resources management, this study focuses on streamflow determination as a function of land use, soil, slope and rainfall from developed GIS model. This study further attempts to identify the potential of the model application in ungauged downstream of the case study basin.

Estimating runoff from rainfall records in the absence of stream gauge records is an important step in Sri Lanka, because most of the watersheds are ungauged. It was assumed that runoff depends on land use, soil type and slope (catchment characteristics) in addition to the rainfall. A simple conceptual model was used to compute runoff from each land parcel. The model assumes a linear function incorporating land use, slope and soil as major catchment parameters contributing to convert rainfall into surface runoff.

Attanagalu Oya was selected as the case study basin. Since Attanagalu Oya is ungauged at the downstream, an alternative methodology to find stream flow data was required to assess the streamflow variation. A GIS model was developed, calibrated and verified for sub-basin of Attanagalu Oya at Karasnagala and optimised runoff coefficients were obtained. As downstream of Attanagalu Oya basin (at Kotugoda) has more soil types other than Karasnagala, Kelani Ganga sub-basin at Glencourse and Kalu Ganga sub-basin at Putupaula were selected as supportive study areas.

Runoff coefficient matrix suitable for wet zone catchments of Sri Lanka was developed. The developed matrix was utilised to calculate the runoff from rainfall records and used to calculate nodal network model input and analysis of water resources in the Attanagalu Oya basin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The full credit of the preparation of the thesis should not be scored by the person who carried it out. It should be shared by the people who guided and supported the researcher in many ways.

First of all, I would like to make this an opportunity to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to Prof. N.T.S. Wijesekera for his continuous guidance and encouragement throughout the study.

I forward my sincere gratitude to Prof. S.S. Wickramasuriya, Dr. S.A.S. Kulatilake and Dr. T.A. Peiris for their valuable suggestions and guidance during the progress reviews of the research.

I would like to convey my appreciation to all the staff members of the Hydraulic laboratory, Computer laboratory, GIS laboratory and Department of Civil Engineering for their support in providing facilities and services during the research.

I also wish to express my thanks to Water Resources Secretariat especially Eng. K.S.R. De Silva for providing the MIKE BASIN software for making my study fruitful. Further I would like to give my sincere thanks to Irrigation Department, especially the Irrigation Engineer Mr. Kumaradasa and technical officers of the Gampaha branch office for providing necessary arrangements to make my field visits a success. I convey my thanks to staff members of the Survey Department, and the Department of Meteorology for their kind assistance in providing me with available data.

I would like to convey my gratitude to University of Moratuwa and the Senate Research Committee for providing a Senate Research Grant for this study.

I am very grateful to my husband for his patience, understanding and encouragement in making this research and thesis a success.

Finally, I dedicate my gratitude to my beloved parents for their continuous support, encouragements and emotional support provided and also sacrifices done to make my higher studies a success.

Declaration	I
Abstract	II
Acknowledgement	III
Table of Contents	IV
List of Tables	VII
List of Figures	IX
List of Abbreviations	XI

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Present Status and Problem Definition	1
1.3	Objective of the Study	4
1.3.1	Overall Objective	4
1.3.2	Specific Objective	4
1.4	Scope of Work and Outline of the Thesis	5
1.4.1	Scope of Work	5
1.4.2	Outline of the Thesis	5
2.0	Literature Review	7
2.1	General	7
2.2	Water Resources, River Basin Planning and IWRM	9
2.3	GIS Applications in River Basin Planning	9
2.4	Water Resources Modeling	10
2.4.1	Data and Modeling	10
2.4.2	Rainfall Runoff Models and River Flow Forecasting	12
2.5	Runoff Coefficients and Spatial Variation of Runoff Coefficients in Watershed Modeling	12
3.0	Methodology and Model Outline	14
3.1	Selection of Catchment	14
3.1.1	Main Catchment	15

3.1.2	Supportive Catchment I (Kelani Ganga basin)	19
3.1.3	Supportive Catchment II (Kalu Ganga basin)	19
3.2	Selection of Model	23
3.3	Objective Function	23
3.4	Methodology Flow Chart	24
3.5	Data Requirement and Data Analysis	25
3.5.1	Field Surveys	26
	3.5.1.1 Land Use Map Updating	26
3.5.2	Data Checking	28
	3.5.2.1 General	28
	3.5.2.2 Statistical Checks	28
	3.5.2.2.1 Von Neumann Ratio	28
	3.5.2.2.2 Cumulative deviations	28
	3.5.2.2.3 Bayesian Statistics	29
3.5.3	Missing Data	29
4.0	Model Development and Analysis	30
4.1	Model development	30
4.1.1	Model Description	30
	4.1.1.1 Software & Hardware Utilized	31
4.1.2	Methodology of Model Data Preparation	32
4.2	Components in the GIS Model	36
4.2.1	Rainfall	37
	4.2.1.1 Variability of Rainfall	37
	4.2.1.1.1 Kotugoda Sub-basin (Attanagalu Oya)	37
	4.2.1.1.2 Glencourse Sub-basin (Kelani Ganga)	39
	4.2.1.1.3 Putupaula Sub-basin (Kalu Ganga)	40
4.2.2	Land Use	42
4.2.3	Soil	44
4.2.4	Slope	45
4.3	Parameters of the model	45
4.4	Model Calibration and Verification	47
5.0	Results	48

5.1.	Data Checking	48
5.2	Land Use Survey	56
5.2.1	Land Use	56
5.3	Model Outputs	60
5.3.1	Attanagalu Oya Sub-basin	61
5.3.2	Kelani Ganga Sub-basin	65
5.3.3	Kalu Ganga Sub-basin	69
5.4	Sub-basin at Kotugoda	73
5.5.	Results from Application of Outputs in Sub-basin at Kotugoda	73
5.6	Overall Outputs	76
6.0	Discussion	77
6.1	Hydrological Characteristics of Selected Catchments	77
6.2	Data Collection and Preparation	77
6.3	Variables	78
6.4	Field Status	79
6.5	Model Results	79
6.6	Results from Analysis of Attanagalu Oya Downstream	80
6.7.	Application of the Model for River Basin Planning	81
6.8.	Limitations of the Model	81
7.0	Conclusions	83
8.0	Recommendations	84
	References	85
	Annexes	
	Annex A	
	Annex B	
	Annex C	
	Annex D	
	Annex E	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1:	Details of gauging stations (Attanagalu Oya)	18
Table 3.2:	Summary of data collected institutes	25
Table 3.3:	Summary of field visits and surveys	26
Table 3.4:	Limits of the homogeneity tests	29
Table 4.1:	Sample results of Karasnagala	34
Table 4.2 a):	Land use data of Karasnagala sub-basin of Attanagalu Oya	42
Table 4.2 b):	Land use data of Kotugoda sub-basin of Attanagalu Oya	43
Table 4.2 c):	Land use data of sub-basin of Kelani Ganga at Glencourse	43
Table 4.2 d):	Land use data of sub-basin of Kalu Ganga at Putupaula	43
Table 4.3 a):	Soil data of Karasnagala sub-basin of Attanagalu Oya	44
Table 4.3 b):	Soil data of Kotugoda sub-basin of Attanagalu Oya	44
Table 4.3 c):	Soil data of sub-basin of Kelani Ganga at Glencourse	44
Table 4.3 d):	Soil data of sub-basin of Kalu Ganga at Putupaula	45
Table 4.4:	Slope class classification	45
Table 4.5:	Classification of model parameters on land use, soil and slope	46
Table 4.6:	Objective function variation for three models	47
Table 5.1 a):	Comparison of station density with standards_Attanagalu Oya Basin at Kotugoda	48
Table 5.1 b):	Comparison of station density with standards_Kelani Ganga Basin at Glencourse	48
Table 5.1 c):	Comparison of station density with standards_Kalu Ganga Basin at Putupaula	49
Table 5.2:	Annual water balance	51
Table 5.3:	Test limits of homogeneity	54
Table 5.4 a):	Test results from 1971-1980	55
Table 5.4 b):	Test results from 1981-1990	55
Table 5.4 c):	Test results from 1991-2000	55
Table 5.5:	Statistical checks results	55
Table 5.6:	Overall data checking test results	56
Table 5.7:	Land use variation within the sub-basin from 1999 to 2003	59
Table 5.8:	Land use, soil, slope factors considered in the Karasnagala	61

	sub-basin	
Table 5.9:	Optimized values for the Karasnagala sub-basin	62
Table 5.10:	Land use, soil, slope factors considered in the Kelani sub-basin and finalized values with the model	66
Table 5.11:	Land use, soil, slope factors considered in the Kalu Ganga sub-basin and finalized values with the model	69
Table 5.12:	Finalized runoff coefficient matrix from three basins	72
Table 5.13:	Exceedence probabilities at the out node	75



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Location map of the study area	3
Figure 2.1:	The routes to global conflicts	8
Figure 3.1 a):	Attanagalu oya catchment at Karasnagala gauging point	16
Figure 3.1 b):	Attanagalu oya catchment at Kotugoda	17
Figure 3.2:	Stream network and hydro meteorology network of the Kelani Ganga basin	21
Figure 3.3:	Stream network and hydro meteorology network of the Kalu Ganga basin	22
Figure 3.4:	Methodology flow chart	24
Figure 3.5:	Land use map updating methodology (Karasnagala sub-basin)	27
Figure 4.1:	Schematic diagram for MIKE BASIN model	31
Figure 4.2:	Concept of the MIKE BASIN model	33
Figure 4.3:	Nodal network for Karasnagala	35
Figure 4.4:	GIS model outline	36
Figure 4.5:	Annual maxima minima and average variation of rainfall over gauging stations (1971-1980)	38
Figure 4.6:	Average Annual maxima minima and average variation of rainfall from 1971-1980	39
Figure 4.7:	Annual rainfall maxima minima and average variation over gauging stations	40
Figure 4.8:	Annual rainfall maxima minima and average variation over the period	40
Figure 4.9:	Annual rainfall maxima minima and average variation over gauging stations	41
Figure 4.10:	Annual rainfall maxima minima and average variation over the period	42
Figure 5.1 a):	Thiessen average rainfall variation with stream flow for the period of 1971-1980.	49
Figure 5.1 b):	Thiessen average rainfall variation with stream flow for the period of 1981-1990	50
Figure 5.2:	Monthly average, maxima and minima variations of stations	51

Figure 5.3:	Annual water balance for Attanagalu Oya	52
Figure 5.4 a):	Double mass curve at Halgahapitiya group	52
Figure 5.4 b):	Double mass curve at Henarathgoda	53
Figure 5.4 c):	Double mass curve at Karasnagala	53
Figure 5.4 d):	Double mass curve at Pasyala	53
Figure 5.4 e):	Double mass curve at Vincit	54
Figure 5.5 a):	Land use map updated on 1999	57
Figure 5.5 b):	Land use map updated on 2003	58
Figure 5.6:	Relation of results from 1999 to 2003	60
Figure 5.7 a):	Calculated and observed streamflows for Karasnagala sub-basin from 1971-1975 with the optimized coefficients	63
Figure 5.7 b):	Calculated and observed stream flows for Karasnagala sub-basin from 1971-1975 with the optimized coefficients	63
Figure 5.8 a):	Calculated Vs observed stream flows for Karasnagala sub-basin (Calibration)	64
Figure 5.8 b):	Calculated Vs observed stream flows for Karasnagala sub-basin (Verification)	64
Figure 5.9:	Flow Duration Curves for Calculated and observed streamflows at Karasnagala sub-basin	65
Figure 5.10:	Calculated and observed stream flows for Kelani sub-basin from 1950-1960 with the optimized coefficients.	67
Figure 5.11:	Calculated Vs observed streamflows for Kelani sub-basin	68
Figure 5.12:	Flow duration curve for calculated and observed flows of Kelani sub-basin	68
Figure 5.13:	Calculated and observed streamflows for Kalu sub-basin from 1950-1960 with the optimized coefficients	70
Figure 5.14:	Calculated Vs observed streamflows for Kalu Ganga sub-basin	71
Figure 5.15:	Flow duration curve for Calculated and observed flows for Kalu Ganga sub-basin	72
Figure 5.16:	Nodal network for Kotugoda sub-basin	74
Figure 5.17:	Hydrograph for Kotugoda sub-basin	74
Figure 5.18:	Flow duration curve for Kotugoda sub-basin	75

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GIS:	Geographic Information Systems
GPS:	Global Positioning System
DHI:	Danish Hydraulic Institute
ID:	Irrigation Department
MRAE:	Mean Ratio of Absolute Error
NWS & DB:	National Water Supply and Drainage Board
RO:	Runoff
RYP:	Red Yellow Podzolic
SD:	Survey Department



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk