POWER FACTOR CORRECTION IN LV DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS AND ITS COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Master of Science Dissertation

D.A.P. AMARASENA

Department of Electrical Engineering University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

March 2006

85786

ABSTRACT

When the complex power definition is analyzed, it will be seen that, if a pure resistive load is connected to an AC source, the source will be fully utilized while the reactive power delivered will be zero. A practical load, however, absorbs both active power and reactive power. The active power does the useful work, while the reactive power is required to produce the electromagnetic field. Both the active and the reactive powers place a burden on the conductor (or on distribution line), however the power company must provide the current to the load whether it is inductive or capacitive, and this current generates the power losses in the distribution lines and also increases the maximum demand.

The aim of this study is to analyze LV distribution feeders of substations to find the optimum point along the feeder to correct the power factor. At this particular point, the loss, which considered as the main burden are at minimum level while some reduction in maximum demand. To find the optimum point, calculation for each and every point load (i.e. pole load) is very difficult and complex task without having a specially developed computer software package. Hence the whole loads of the distribution feeder are generalized to thirteen point loads (i.e. twelve spans). Calculations have been done based on practically obtained data to determine the optimum location for power factor correction. This shows that, pay back period is very attractive and results were quite differing from case to case due to different loading patterns of the feeders.

Still this type of power factor correction is not being practiced by both the utilities (CEB & LECO) of Sri Lanka. This study shows that there is a high potential to improve the LV system performance by having a relatively low investment with farley short pay back periods.

DECLARATION

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

D.A.P. Amarasena

Date: 19/06/2016

I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

Prof. H.Y.R Perera

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks are due first to my supervisor, Prof. H.Y.R Perera, for his great insights, perspectives and guidance.

My sincere thanks go to the officers in Postgraduate office, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka for helping in various ways to clarify the things related to my academic works in time with excellent cooperation and guidance. Sincere gratitude is also extended to the people who serve in the Department of Electrical Engineering Office.

I am very much grateful to Mr. H.H. Sumanadasa, Branch manager of Lanka Electricity Company (Pvt.) Limited and Mr. Daminda Darshana, Electrical Engineer of Lanka Electricity Company (Pvt.) Limited for their assistance and cooperation during the research work University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Finally, all my friends and postgraduate students in University of Moratuwa and all the others who helped me in various ways through my research are also appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Pa | ge |
|--|--------|
| DECLARATION | 1 |
| ABSTRACT | .II |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | IV |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | ٧ |
| LIST OF FIGURESV | Ш |
| LIST OF TABLES | IX |
| LIST OF ACRONYMS | X |
| CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION | .1 |
| 1.1 General Background. 1.1.1 Low-Voltage (LV) Distribution System Construction System Construction Losses 1.1.2 LV Distribution Losses Licetronic Theses & Dissertations | 1 |
| 1.2 Power Factor and its Impact to LV Distribution System. 1.2.1 Power Factor. 1.2.2 Causes of Low Power Factor. 1.2.3 Benefits of Improving Power Factor. | 2 |
| 1.3 Motivation | .5 |
| 1.4 Objectives | .5 |
| 1.5 Methodology 1.5.1 Literature Survey 1.5.2 Site Selection 1.5.3 Data Collection 1.5.4 Data Analysis | 5 6 |
| CHAPTER 2 – PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION AND PROPOSED SOCUTION | 8 |
| 2.1 Problem Identification | .8 |
| 2.2 Proposed Solution | .8 |
| CHAPTER 3 – THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENTS | 10 |
| 3.1 Analysis of Distribution Calculation in LV System | |

| 3.1.2 Power factors referred to respective load voltages 3.1.3 Power and Loss Calculations | |
|--|----------|
| 3.2 Simulation of Distribution Feeder for Optimum PF Location | 14 14 |
| CHAPTER 4 – SITE SELECTION AND DATA COLLECTION | 17 |
| 4.1 Site Selection 4.1.1 Distribution Substation - Sub-A 4.1.2 Distribution Substation - Sub-B | 17 |
| 4.2 Data Collection | 18 |
| CHAPTER 5 - CALCULATIONS | 19 |
| 5.1 Sample Calculation | 19 |
| 5.2 Distribution Substation – Sub-A | 21 |
| 5.2.2 Daily Active (P), Reactive (Q) and Apparent (S) Power Variation | |
| 5.3 Distribution Substation — Sub-B. of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 5.3.1 Daily Current and PF Variation Theses & Dissertations. 5.3.2 Daily Active (P), Reactive (Q) and Apparent (S) Power Variation. 5.3.3 Calculation of the losses | 25 |
| CHAPTER 6 - RESULTS AND ANALYSIS | 31 |
| 6.1 Distribution Substation – Sub-A | 31 |
| 6.2 Distribution Substation – Sub-B | 32 |
| CHAPTER 7 – CONCLUSIONS | 34 |
| 7.1 Conclusions and Remarks | 34 |
| 7.2 Recommendations for Future Research | 35 |
| REFERENCES. | 36 |
| APPENDICES | 37 |
| Appendix A: Sample Calculation | 38 |
| Appendix B: Measured Data | 43 |
| Appendix C: Field Data | 49 |

| Appendix D: | Losses and MD | Calculations | of Sub-A | 50 |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------|----|
| Appendix E: | Losses and MD | Calculations | of Sub-B | 56 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Page |
|---|
| Figure 1.1 : The Power Triangle |
| Figure 2.1 : Arrangement of loads connected to feeder |
| Figure 3.1 : Loads distributor |
| Figure 3.2 : Vector diagram of PF referred to receiving end voltage |
| Figure 3.3 : Vector diagram of PF referred to respective load voltages |
| Figure 3.4 : Generalized feeder data for thirteen poles |
| Figure 5.1: Losses variation at different pole position after PF correction at pole i for |
| sample calculation 20 |
| Figure 5.2 : Daily Current and PF Variation – Sub-A |
| Figure 5.3 : Daily Current & Time Period Averaged Current - Sub-A22 |
| Figure 5.4 : Daily PF & Time Period Averaged PF – Sub-A |
| Figure 5.5: Daily Active (P), Reactive (Q) and Apparent (S) Power Variation - |
| Sub-A |
| Figure 5.6: Losses variation at different pole position for different time periods after |
| PF correction at pole i – Sub-A. Theses & Dissertations 24 |
| Figure 5.7 : Daily Current and PF Variation –Sub-B |
| Figure 5.8 : Daily Current & Time Period Averaged Current - Sub-B |
| Figure 5.9 : Daily PF & Time Period Averaged PF – Sub-B |
| Figure 5.10: Daily Active (P), Reactive (Q) and Apparent (S) Power Variation - |
| Sub-B |
| Figure 5.11: Losses variation at different pole position for different time periods after |
| PF correction at pole i – Sub-B |

LIST OF TABLES

| Page |
|---|
| Table 3.1 : Feeders Length and Pole data of Substations |
| Table 5.1 : Input data for sample calculation |
| Table 5.2 : Losses after PF correction at pole i for sample calculation |
| Table 5.3 : Time Period Averaged Current & PF – Sub-A |
| Table 5.4 : Losses for different time period after PF correction at pole $i-Sub-A24$ |
| Table 5.5 : Time Period Averaged Current & PF – Sub-B |
| Table 5.6 : Losses for different time period after PF correction at pole i – Sub-B 29 |
| Table 6.1 : Total cost saving – Sub-A |
| Table 6.2 : Total cost saving – Sub-B |



LIST OF ACRONYMS

AC - Alternative Current

CEB - Ceylon Electricity Board

EMR - Energy Management Report

LECO - Lanka Electricity Company (Private) Limited

LV - Low Voltage

MD - Maximum Demand

PF – Power Factor

