CONJUNCTIVE USE OF SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER TO IMPROVE FOOD PRODUCTIVITY IN A RESTRICTED AREA

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

By

Saravanamuttu. Subramaniam. Sivakumar.

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2008

CONJUNCTIVE USE OF SURFACE AND GROUNDWATER TO IMPROVE FOOD PRODUCTIVITY IN A RESTRICTED AREA

Thesis submitted for the award of the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Submitted by

Saravanamuttu. Subramaniam. Sivakumar.

BSc. (Eng) University of Peradeniya Sri Lanka. MSc. (Water Resource Development) University of Roorkee, India

Irrigation Department, Mullaitivu, Sri Lanka

Supervised by

Professor D.C.H.Senarath

May 2008

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

Abstract

This thesis presents alternate policy decisions based on technical strategies to operate minor and medium irrigation schemes with integrated conjunctive use of surface and groundwater to improve groundwater systems in a restricted area for the economic pumping for agricultural and domestic water use, by optimizing the use of groundwater and surface water.

A groundwater simulation model was formulated using integrated finite difference method to carryout this research. Unlike finite difference method the integrated finite difference method can be formulated to any shape of catchment by connecting perpendicular bisectors of the observation points through out the catchment. An electronic spread sheet model was developed for groundwater system in integrated finite difference method and was applied to a selected restricted catchment area of about 185.23 km² in Vavuniya, for testing its validity after calibration.

Forty one domestic dug wells were identified as observation wells among the available domestic/agro wells within the study area of 185.23 km², to represent the aquifer. This study area was divided into forty one Thiessen polygons by connecting the perpendicular bisectors of adjoining observation wells. A groundwater simulation model was formulated for this polygonal net work using integrated finite difference method in spreadsheet. The model was calibrated for the period 1997 to 2001 having eight seasons. The recharging period of eight months was taken as from 1st October to 31st May of the following year and discharging period of four months was taken as from 1st June to 30th September. By this calibration the hydro geological stress parameters such as Transmissibility, Storage coefficient, Recharge coefficients for irrigation tank, irrigation field, rainfall and the Withdrawal factor for agro and domestic pumping were found using an optimization technique.

A complete water balance study for each polygon for each season was carried out. Forty one error models have been prepared for the water balance, for each polygon for all the seasons. To avoid the negative and positive errors getting cancelled, the squares of all seasonal errors were added and minimized with suitable constraint. Practicable ranges for Transmissibility, Storage coefficient, Recharge coefficients and Withdrawal factor were given during minimization as constraint. MATCAD2000 was used for this optimization. This model in spreadsheet, calibrated by error optimization technique, validated and recalibrated was used to predict the system behavior for various operational policies of the selected restricted groundwater catchment.

Water levels were predicted for changes in operational policy of minor and medium irrigation schemes by forgoing certain percentage of cultivation, boundary treatment to reduce the transmissibility in steps, and combination of both. The economic feasibility was analyzed by taking the energy saved in pumping of raised groundwater as a benefit and boundary treatment cost and income loss due to change in operational policy of minor and medium irrigation schemes by forgoing certain percentage of cultivation as cost. The present worth of benefit and cost for various interest rate and project life period were calculated and compared.

Change in operational policy of minor and medium irrigation schemes by forgoing one third of the cultivation under them or keeping one fourth of the storage of minor and medium irrigation schemes at any time together with 40% - 50% reduction in boundary permeability will recover an average of 60% to 70% of the loss of water table in any consecutive season in almost 95% of the area under consideration.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank a great many people who have helped me for the successful completion of this thesis.

My supervisor Prof.D.C.H.Senarath for the kind support and guidance over the years, even after retirement from active service. I thank him from the bottom of my heart for his encouragement, qualified discussions, and positive attitude towards my work and making me think twice before commencing anything.

I wish to thank the Head of the Department of Civil Engineering and the Director of Post Graduate Studies and the research Co-ordinator Prof S.A.S.Kulathilaka for their great commitment to provide necessary facilities and coordinate the research activities.

The Director General, Irrigation Department Colombo, Director, Irrigation Department North East Province Trincomalee and Director, Irrigation Department Northern Province Trincomalee kindly gave me permission to use departmental facilities for this research work. I thank all of them and their staff for giving direct and indirect encouragement and moral support in this research work and for providing the necessary facilities.

Even though this research effort is a self financed one, University of Moratuwa waived 80% of its tuition fees and the Irrigation Department Colombo, the Irrigation Department North East Province and the Irrigation Department, Northern Province paid for the balance cost. My sincere gratitude to the University of Moratuwa for the waiver of 80% payment of the tuition fee. I express my gratitude to the staff of the Irrigation Department Colombo, the Irrigation Department NEPC Trincomalee and the Irrigation Department NPC Trincomalee for their cooperation in data collection and other activities relevant to this research.

I shall not forget Eng.G.T.Dharmasena who was the Director of Specialised service and later the Director General of Irrigation for his keen involvement in encouraging my first research publication in the seminar on IRRIGATION for the centenary programme of the Irrigation Department held on 15th and 16th of February 2001 at BMICH, Colombo, which lead to my enrolment at the University of Moratuwa as a PhD candidate. I thank him very much for his whole hearted encouragement.

I have to specially thank Irrigation Department Colombo for the financial support through Irrigation Research Management Unit (IRMU) for the pumping test in one of the observation well.

My sincere thanks to Eng V Regunathan former, Secretary Ministry of Irrigation Housing and Construction NEPC Trincomalee, Director Irrigation (Plan Implementation) Colombo, Secretary Ministry of Rehabilitation and Hindu Culture Colombo and presently the Project Director of PEACE project, for his earnest encouragements in perusing this research work and for correcting the virgin script of this thesis.

Finally I would like to thank all the staff in the offices of the Regional Director of Irrigation Vavuniya, Deputy Director of Irrigation Mullaitivu and Deputy Project Director NEIAP Mullaitivu for giving their fullest support in data collection, documentation and much other direct and indirect support in connection with the research throughout the period from 2001 to 2007.

I also like to thank any others whom I may not have mentioned specifically by their names, who have helped me in many other ways to complete this research work.

Dedication

To my 86 year old dear mother Mrs.Rasamani Subramaniam of Point Pedro for her dedicated determination to turn her third son also to complete his PhD by exerting continuous encouragement and moral support to complete this research.

<u>and also</u>

<u>To my wife Logeswari Sivakumar, daughter Jananie Sivakumar and son</u> <u>Sivakumar Janen for their utmost support in completing this research project.</u>

Declaration

I hereby declare that the research reported here, is an authentic record of my own work carried out during the period from October 2001 to May 2008, for the PhD degree under the guidance of Prof.D.C.H.Senarath, Senior Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

The matters embodied in this research thesis have not been submitted for award of any other degree to any other institution.

Date:

Eng.S.S.Sivakumar Irrigation Department Mullaitivu Sri Lanka

Certification

I certify that, this thesis is the bonafide work of Eng.S.S.Sivakumar, who carried out this research under my supervision.

I certify further that to the best of my knowledge, the work reported herein does not form part of any other thesis on the basis of which a degree or award was conferred on an earlier occasion on this or to any other candidates.

Date:

Professor D.C.H.Senareth

Research supervisor

Table of Content

Abstracti
Acknowledgementii
Dedicationiii
Declarationiv
Table of contentv
List of Tablesx
List of Figuresxii
Abbreviationsxiii
List of Annexurexiii
Chapter 1 – Introduction1
1.1 Problem Statement2
1.1.1 Water scarcity2
1.1.2 Water resources2
1.1.3 Equilibrium of groundwater3
1.1.4 Groundwater flow problems4
1.2 Thesis Statement4
1.2.1 Objective of the research4
1.2.2 Research methodology5
1.3 Thesis Structure6
Chapter 2 – Literature Review on Relevant Aspects of Groundwater and Modelling8
2.1 Hydrology8
2.1.1 Types of soil water and distribution8
2.1.1.1 Hygroscopic water8
2.1.1.2 Capillary water8
2.1.1.3 Gravitational water8
2.1.2 Soil moisture budgeting8
2.1.3 Groundwater movement9
2.1.4 Darcy's law9
2.1.5 Groundwater flow equation9
2.2 Groundwater Modelling10
2.2.1 Objectives of groundwater modelling11

2.2.2 Data required for developing a groundwater model
2.2.2.1 Topography12
2.2.2.2 Geology
2.2.2.3 Type of aquifers12
2.2.2.4 Unconfined aquifer12
2.2.2.5 Confined aquifer13
2.2.2.6 Aquifer thickness and lateral extent
2.2.2.7 Aquifer boundaries13
2.2.2.8 Aquifer characteristics15
2.2.3 Type and extent of recharge areas15
2.2.3.1 Rate of recharge16
2.2.3.2 Main recharge sources16
2.2.3.3 Methods for recharge estimation16
2.2.3.4 Direct recharge 17
2.2.3.5 Estimating direct recharge
2.2.3.6 Evapotranspiration18
2.2.3.7 Indirect recharge
2.2.3.8 Recharge from irrigation canals
2.2.3.9 Recharge from irrigated fields19
2.2.3.10 Recharge from irrigation storages
2.2.3.11 Rate of discharge19
2.3 Model Calibration
2.4 Model Validation
2.5 Model Prediction
2.5.1 Introduction of prediction
2.5.2 Data requirement21
2.6 Conjunctive use and management of surface water and groundwater
2.6.1 Advantages of conjunctive use of surface and groundwater
2.6.2 Disadvantages of conjunctive use of surface and groundwater 24
Chapter 3 – Mathematical Model
3.1 Systems and Modelling Concepts25
3.1.1 Numerical models26
3.1.2 Finite difference method (FDM)26

3.1.3 Integrated finite difference method (IFDM)	26
3.1.4 Polygonal network	26
3.2 Models for Management and Conjunctive Use	28
3.2.1 Optimisation models	29
3.2.1.1 Linear programming	29
3.2.1.2 Dynamic programming	29
3.2.1.3 Non linear programming	30
3.3 Aquifer Simulation Model and Inverse Modelling Technique	30
3.3.1 Determination of aquifer parameters.	30
3.3.2 Regional aquifer parameters	30
3.3.3 Optimal set of aquifer parameters	31
Chapter 4 – Model Formulation	32
4.1 Description of the model and assumption	32
4.1. 1 Hydraulic assumptions	32
4.1.2 Operational assumptions	32
4.1.3 Economic assumptions	33
4.2 Study area	33
4.2.1 Location and size	34
4.2.2 Climate	39
4.2.3 Soil and groundwater	40
4.2.4 Agriculture	41
4.3 Nodal Network and Polygon Geometry	41
4.3.1 Selection of time step	48
4.3.2 Tolerance level	48
4.3.3 Nodal coordinate	48
4.3.4 Water level data	48
4.3.5 Hydraulic stress parameters of aquifer	48
4.3.5.1 Specific yield and storage coefficient	48
4.3.5.2 Transmissibility	50
4.3.5.3 Field pumping test to find T, S by WRB	50
4.3.6 Recharge coefficients	50
4.3.6.1 Rainfall recharge values	51
4.3.6.2 Recharge from canal seepage	
	V11

4.3.6.3 Recharge from irrigation fields	51
4.3.6.4 Recharge from irrigation schemes	51
4.3.7 Data collection	53
4.3.8 Withdrawal from groundwater reservoir	54
4.4 Calibration	54
4.4.1 General	54
4.4.2 Source of errors	54
4.4.3 Calibration procedure	55
4.4.3.1 Model calibration using optimizer GINO or MATCAD 2000	55
Chapter 5– Model Formulation in Spreadsheet /MATCAD for Calibration and Prediction	63
5.1 Spreadsheet Model Formulation for Calibration	
5.1.1 Data entry in spreadsheet	63
5.1.1.1 Selection of season	63
5.1.1.2 Nodal connectivity matrix	63
5.1.1.3 Seasonal water level matrix	63
5.1.1.4 Conductance factor (J/L) matrix	63
5.1.2 Model Calculation in Spreadsheet	63
5.1.2.1 Intermediate calculations	64
5.1.2.1.1 Water level matrix for the season	64
5.1.2.1.2 Head difference matrix for the season	64
5.1.2.2 Final calculation	64
5.1.2.2.1 Matrix of lateral flow divided by T	64
5.1.2.2.2 Change in storage divided by specific yield	64
5.2 Error Optimization Model Formulation for Calibration in "GINO" / "MATCAD 2000"	65
5.2.1 Optimization (minimization) by "GINO"	65
5.2.2 Optimization (minimization) by "MATCAD 2000"	65
5.3 Predictions Model in Spreadsheet	69
5.4 Model Validation	69
5.5 Model Recalibration	70
5.6 Model revalidation	70
Chapter 6– Model Predictions for Various Operational Policy and Economic Analysis	75
6.1 Behavior of Aquifer with Various Operational Policies of Irrigation Schemes	75

6.2 Behavior of Aquifer with Decrease in Transmissibility78
6.2.1 Effect on outer boundary nodes81
6.2.2 Effect on nodes within treated boundary81
6.3 Behavior of Aquifer with Various Operational Policies of Irrigation Schemes with
Boundary Treatment81
6.4 Economic Analysis of Research Finding82
6.4.1 Economic performance Indices82
6.4.1.1 The present worth method82
6.4.1.2 The rate of return method83
6.4.1.3 The benefit cost ratio method83
6.4.1.4 The annual cost method83
6.4.2 Benefits and costs
6.4.3 Impact of economic analysis on outcome of this research
6.4.3.1 Economic analysis for the change in operational policy of
minor / medium irrigation schemes
6.4.3.2 Economic analysis for boundary treatment
6.4.3.3 Economic analysis for the change in operational policy of minor /
medium irrigation schemes and boundary treatment90
Chapter 7– Discussion Generalization Conclusions Limitation and Recommendations for Future Study
7.1 Discussion
7.1.1 Summary of operational research
7.1.2 Summary of economic analysis of the operation research
7.2 Summary of Research Finding and Implementation
7.3 Generalization
7.4 Conclusion
7.5 Limitations of the Research Findings
7.6 Area of Future Study
Reference
Bibliography
Annexure

List of Tables

- Table 4.1Monthly water levels 2004
- Table 4.2 Monthly water levels 2005
- Table 4.3 GN Division within study area and their number
- Table 4.4
 Name of minor and medium irrigation schemes within the study area
- Table 4.5 Addresses of all the observation wells
- Table 4.6 Average seasonal rain fall
- Table 4.7Observed seasonal water levels from May1998 to Sept. 2004 for all the
observation wells
- Table 4.8
 Polygonal parameters of all the 41 polygons of the study area
- Table 4.9 Coordinates of all the observation wells
- Table 4.10GN Division falling within the polygons
- Table 4.11 Seasonal water levels in m MSL
- Table 4.12
 Parameters of irrigation schemes within polygons
- Table 4.13 Seasonal data of OFC cultivation in Medium Irrigation Scheme
- Table 4.14
 GN Division wise population, cultivation, NWS&DB withdrawal
- Table 4.15 Seasonal capacity of water stored in irrigation schemes
- Table 4.16
 Seasonal quantum of water issued for cultivation from Irrigation Schemes
- Table 4.17
 Seasonal pumping from domestic wells
- Table 4.18 Seasonal pumping from agro wells
- Table 4.19 Seasonal rainfall volume
- Table 5.1 Calibrated optimized polygonal parameters S, a, b, c, d, q
- Table 5.2 Calibrated optimized polygonal parameters- Transmissibility
- Table 5.3 Comparison of predicted water levels
- Table 5.4 Recalibrated optimized polygonal parameters S, a, b, c, d, q
- Table 5.5 Recalibrated optimized polygonal parameters- Transmissibility
- Table 6.1 Trial operational policy of minor and medium irrigation schemes
- Table 6.2Predicted water levels for various operational policy of minor and mediumirrigation schemes season 9
- Table 6.3Predicted water levels for various operational policy of minor and mediumirrigation schemes season 10
- Table 6.4 Boundary treatment steps of Transmissibility
- Table 6.5 Predicted water levels for boundary treatment season 10

- Table 6.6Average increase in water level for each step of reduction in permeability
during boundary treatment
- Table 6.7
 Average increases in water level for each step of operational policy of minor and medium irrigation schemes
- Table 6.8
 Summary of maximum water level change in ft. for various options
- Table 6.9
 Total water availability and utilization within study area
- Table 6.10
 Present worth of cost incurred for boundary treatment
- Table 6.11
 Electricity saving for pumping season 10
- Table 6.12
 Present worth of return by saving energy cost Season 10
- Table 6.13Benefit cost ratio for the boundary treatment for season 10
- Table 6.14Electricity saving from pumping for various operational policy of
irrigation schemes Season 9
- Table 6.15Benefit cost ratio for various operational policy of minor and medium irrigationschemes Season 9
- Table6.16Electricity saving from pumping for various operational policy of minor and mediumirrigation schemes Season 10
- Table 6.17Benefit cost ratio for various operational policy of minor and medium irrigation
schemes Season 10
- Table 6.18
 Summary of benefit/cost ratio greater than unity option and steps

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1 Schematic diagram of hydraulic equilibrium Figure 1.2 Study area map from one inch to one mile topo sheet Figure 2.1 Schematic diagrams of soil moisture types Figure 2.2 Conceptual diagram of soil moisture budgeting Figure 2.3 Four types of aquifer boundaries Figure 3.1 Schematic representation of conceptual modelling technique Figure 3.2 Typical polygonal nodes Study area with observation well numbers Figure 4.1 Figure 4.2 Monthly groundwater level fluctuation in observation well 4 - 10 Figure 4.3 Monthly groundwater level fluctuation in observation well 30, 35, 41 Figure 4.4 Average seasonal rain fall Figure 4.5 Maximum groundwater levels at the end of recharge period Figure 4.6 Nodal net work of the study area Figure 4.7 Seepage losses and recharge factors of an aquifer system Figure 5.1 Validation of groundwater levels May 2005 Figure 5.2 Validation of groundwater levels September 2005
- Figure 6.1 Map showing the peripheral treatment area boundary

Abbreviations

ac	Acres
ac.ft	Acre-Foot
APC	Agrarian Production Centre
ASC	Agrarian Service Centre
CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
DAC	District Agriculture Committee
DOA	Department of Agriculture
DP	Dynamic Programming
DS	Divisional Secretary
ET	Evopotranspiration
FDM	Finite Difference Method
FSL	Full Supply Level
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GN	Grama Nilathari
GNP	Gross National Product
IFDM	Integrated Finite Difference Method
k	Permeability
К	Hydraulic Conductivity
MSL	Mean Sea Level
NGO	Non Governmental Organization
NEPC	North East Provincial Council
NWSDB	National Water Supply and Drainage Board
OFC	Other Food Crop
RE	Recharge
RF	Rain Fall
S	Storage Coefficient/ Storability of the Aquifer
SLAAS	Sri Lanka Association for Advancement of Science
SMD	Soil Moisture Deficit
sq.mls	Square Miles
Ss	Specific Storage Coefficient
Т	Transmissibility
WRB	Water Resources Board

List of Annexure

Annexure 1	Calculation of net return from cultivation 2007
Annexure 2	Pro rata calculation for savings of power
Annexure 3	Pro rata calculation for clay cut off
Annexure 4	Electricity tariff 2007 of CEB