

LP3/DON/64/08

(10)

**BIO METHANATION AND COMPOSTING FOR MANAGING SUGAR  
PROCESSING WASTE**

By

W.K. HIROMI ARIYARATNE

A thesis submitted in fulfillment  
of the requirement for the degree  
of Master of Science

**LIBRARY**  
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA  
**MORATUWA**



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

66 "08"  
66 (01)

in

**THE UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA**

**THE FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

**DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICAL & PROCESS ENGINEERING**

**MORATUWA, SRI LANKA**

91233

University of Moratuwa



91233

JULY, 2007

91233



## DECLARATION

"I certify that this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledge any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university or higher educational institution in Sri Lanka or abroad and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text".

***UOM Verified Signature***

-----  
W. K. H. Ariyaratne

***UOM Verified Signature*** 



***UOM Verified Signature***

Co-supervisor



## ABSTRACT

In Sri Lanka, two integrated sugar cane based sugar plants cum distilleries are running with satisfying around 12% sugar requirement of the country. As the other countries, we are also facing the waste disposal problem of sugar and distillery waste. At present, few of the wastes generated in the plant are being used by the factory it self as material or energy source, but still some wastes are released to the environment with out any proper treatment.

The major objective of this research is to find out the potential of in vessel high rate composting of sugar press mud along with maximum contribution of spent wash and other wastes generated in an integrated sugar plant cum distillery. The major draw back of high rate composting is high energy requirement and it is expected to satisfy through biomethanation of spent wash.

A series of experiments were carried out in a 210 L compost unit to determine possible feedstock composition for in vessel composting. By in-vessel high-rate method, the composting period could be dramatically brought down to about 12 days. Press mud: spent wash ratio of 18:1 (spent wash added initially) appeared to be best composition for in vessel high rate composting. However, 9:1 ratio could be achieved if spent wash is applied after reaching the highest temperature in the compost. However 183 T of annual nitrogen supply could be achieved through production compost.

Also a series of experiments were carried out to observe biomethanation potential of distillery spent wash. All the experiments were carried out in specially designed laboratory scale apparatus. It was calculated that energy needed to achieve above rates of composting could be easily achieved by simple, single-stage batch type bio-methanation without mixing. 65-70% COD reduction and 9.4 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>3</sup> feed to specific gas production could be obtained, by this method with optimum conditions.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

	<b>Page no.</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Table of contents</b>	ii
<b>List of tables</b>	v
<b>List of figures</b>	vi
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	x
<b>Chapter</b>	
1.0 Introduction	
1.1 An overview of development of sugar industry in Sri Lanka	1
1.2 Waste generation in a sugar industry	3
1.3 Sugar waste management in Sri Lanka	6
1.4 Research objectives	8
1.5 Thesis structure	8
2.0 Review of waste management in sugar industry	
2.1 Description of sugar & distillery process in Sevanagala plant	10
2.2 What is press mud?	13
2.3 Why sugar press mud must be treated?	15
2.4 Possible value addition methods for press mud	15
2.5 What is distillery effluent (molasses spent wash)?	16
2.6 Why spent wash must be treated?	20
2.7 Possible treatment methods of distillery effluents	22
3.0 Composting and anaerobic digestion of sugar waste for nutrient and energy recycling	
3.1 Definition of composting	28
3.2 Composting techniques	28
3.3 In-vessel high-rate composting of press mud	32
3.4 What is aerobic composting?	33
3.5 Microbial activity in composting process	34
3.6 Environmental & operational parameters related to composting process	35
3.7 Feed characteristics	39
3.8 Compost characteristics	40
3.9 Salt concentration of compost	41

3.10 Compost quality & standards	41
3.11 Energy and nutrient recycling from distillery spent wash	42
3.12 Selection of reactor configuration	46
3.13 Microbial activity of anaerobic digestion	48
3.14 Process parameters	50
3.14.1 Environmental factors	50
3.14.2 Operational parameters	59
3.14.3 Feed characterization	64
3.15 Biogas	67
3.16 Biogas storage & purification	68
3.17 Biomethanated effluent	68
4.0 Materials & methods	
4.1 Development of the compost unit	71
4.2 Material Analysis for feed preparation	74
4.3 Materials and feed preparation for the composting process	75
4.4 Active phase composting	76
4.5 Compost curing	80
4.5.1 Trial 3	80
4.5.2 Trial 5	82
4.6 Development of anaerobic reactors	82
4.7 Development of laboratory scale reactors for batch experiments	83
4.8 Development of Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor	84
4.9 Analysis of distillery spent wash characteristics for feed preparation	88
4.10 Materials and feed preparation for biomethanation	89
4.11 pH profile test	93
4.12 Batch experiments for biomethanation	93
5.0 Results & discussion	
5.1 Active phase of composting	96
5.2 Compost curing	100
5.2.1 Trial 3	100
5.2.2 Trial 5	106
5.3 Energy requirement for aeration	111
5.4 Compost unit details and scale up for industry	112
5.5 Nutrient recycling	113

5.6 Design details for continuous composting unit for industry	114
5.7 pH profile test for spent wash	114
5.8 Theoretical estimation of biomethanation potential from spent wash at Sevanagala Sugar Industries Ltd	115
5.9 Results of anaerobic digestion of spent wash in batch tests	115
5.10 Experimental estimation of biomethanation potential & energy generation from spent wash at Sevanagala Sugar Industries Ltd	124
6.0 General discussion	
6.1 In-vessel high-rate composting of press mud	125
6.2 Biomethanation of distillery spent wash	128
7.0 Conclusions & recommendations for future work	
7.1 Conclusions	131
7.2 Recommendations	132
References	135
Appendices	140
Appendix I- Process flow diagrams for sugar and ethanol manufacturing processes	
Appendix II- Programme and operation of stirrer control unit in CSTR	
Appendix III- Test methods followed	
Appendix IV- Issues & proposals	



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
www.lib.mru.ac.lk

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page no.
1.1- Wastes and by products generated in different process stages	5
1.2- Details of Sri Lankan sugar industry cum distillery	6
2.1- Physicochemical analysis of sugar press mud from literature	14
2.2- Physicochemical analysis of distillery spent wash from literature	18
2.3- Effluent discharge limits in Sri Lanka	21
2.4- Aerobic versus anaerobic treatment	25
3.1- Reasonable and preferred characteristics for composting	38
3.2- Woody material as bulking agent	40
3.3- Biomethanation results respect to process configurations	43
3.4- Annual bioenergy and plant nutrient potential of distillery effluent in India	44
3.5- Results comparison of single phase and two phase digestion	45
3.6- Biomethanation potential from industrial waste in Sri Lanka	46
3.7- Comparison of basic process configurations	47
3.8- Toxic level of various inhibitors	56
3.9- C/N ratio of some organic materials	66
3.10- Composition of biogas	67
4.1- Physicochemical analysis of press mud	74
4.2- Analysis of <i>glydiceria</i> leaves	75
4.3- Boiler ash analysis	75
4.4- Feed preparation and operational parameters for batch experiments	78
4.5- Physicochemical analysis of distillery spent wash	88
4.6- Comparison of spent wash properties with preferable conditions	89
4.7- Potassium added to the system in different buffer concentrations	92
4.8- Sample preparation for pH profile test	93
4.9- Sample preparation for anaerobic digestion	94
5.1- Compost quality after curing for three months	104
5.2- Compost quality after curing for three months	108
5.3- Summary of results	122
5.4- Comparison of results with literature	123
6.1- Possible heavy metal concentration in compost	128

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page no.
1.1- Variation of per capita consumption of sugar in Sri Lanka with time	2
1.2- Sugar consumption in Sri Lanka	2
1.3- Contribution of local sector to satisfy sugar requirement	3
1.4- Waste types generated in a sugar factory	3
1.5- Block diagram for sugar and distillery process	4
1.6- Proposed research for managing sugar and distillery waste	8
3.1- windrow system	29
3.2- Aerated static pile	29
3.3- Vertical reactor	31
3.4- Horizontal reactor	31
3.5- Passive composting	31
3.6- Composting techniques	32
3.7- aerobic composting process	33
3.8- Typical temperature time profile	34
3.9- Anaerobic reactors	47
3.10- Anaerobic digestion process (Chaly, Sadaka & Hazza'a, 2000)	49
3.11- Biogas yield variation according to temperature	51
3.12- pH and alkalinity profiles versus VFA concentration in the reaction medium	52
3.13- Variation of redox potential and activity with time	58
3.14- Identification of mean HRT	60
3.15- Dairy waste volatile solids destruction	61
4.1- Front view of compost unit	71
4.2- Right side view of compost unit	71
4.3- Left side view of compost unit	72
4.4- Air inlet points	72
4.5- Compressor	72
4.6- Rotameter	72
4.7- Drawing of compost unit	73
4.8- Sugar press mud	75
4.9- Yeast	75
4.10- Spent wash	75



4.11- Boiler ash	76
4.12- Gypsum	76
4.13- Mulched glydeceria leaves	76
4.14- Composting vessel indicating temperature measurement points	77
4.15- Curing as 3 categories	80
4.16- Germination test 2 model	81
4.17- Material unload for curing of trial 5	82
4.18- Sketch of the batch reactor	83
4.19- Developed batch reactors	84
4.20- CSTR with earlier collector	84
4.21- Top view of CSTR	84
4.22- CSTR with later collector	85
4.23- Gas collector unit (later)	85
4.24- Specially designed stirrer	85
4.25- Control unit of stirrer	85
4.26- Sketch of gas collector unit	86
4.27- Drawing of CSTR	87
4.28- Bottles with spent wash used in pH profile test	93
5.1- Temperature variation in trial 1	96
5.2- pH variation in trial 1	96
5.3- Temperature variation in trial 2	97
5.4- pH variation in trial 2	97
5.5- Composting with ash	97
5.6- Temperature variation in trial 3	98
5.7- pH variation in trial 3	98
5.8- Temperature variation in trial 4	99
5.9- pH variation in trial 4	99
5.10- Temperature variation in trial 5	99
5.11- pH variation in trial 5	100
5.12- “Diptera” type worms could be seen in active phase	100
5.13- Fungi were developed in aerated bin by one month after curing	100
5.14- Flies (“Diptera”) in non-aerated bin	101
5.15- Green gram germinated and grown in the aerated samples	101
5.16- Germination in non-aerated samples	102

5.17- Ash mixed sample	102
5.18- Plants grown in aerated sample	102
5.19- Plants grown in non-aerated sample	102
5.20- Plants grown in control	103
5.21- Plants dried in control due to dehydration	103
5.22- Aerated sample packet after one month curing	103
5.23- Non-aerated sample packet after one month curing	103
5.24- Uncured compost packet	103
5.25- Aerated-2 months cured	103
5.26- Non-aerated-2 months cured	103
5.27- 3 months cured-aerated	105
5.28- 3 months cured- non-aerated	105
5.29- 14 days after packing-aerated	105
5.30- 14 days after packing-non-aerated	105
5.31- Particle size distribution curve	105
5.32- Water retention time test results	106
5.33- Worms in non-aerated bin	107
5.34- Plants grown in aerated sample	107
5.35- Plants grown in non-aerated sample	107
5.36- Plants grown in openly cured sample	107
5.37- 8 days after packing-aerated	108
5.38- 8 days after packing- non-aerated	108
5.39- 8 days after packing-openly cured	108
5.40- 3 months cured compost-aerated	109
5.41- 3 months cured compost-non-aerated	109
5.42- 3 months openly cured compost	109
5.43- Particle size distribution curve	110
5.44- Water retention time test results	111
5.45- pH variation with time	115
5.46- Comparison of gas production in trial 2, 6 and 8	116
5.47- Comparison of gas production in trial 7 and 9	117
5.48- Comparison of gas production in trial 1,2,4,5 and 9	119
5.49- Comparison of gas production in trial 2, 10, 11 and 12	120
6.1- Temperature profile	125

6.2- Moisture profile	125
6.3- pH profile	126



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my heartfelt gratitude to Prof. Ajith De Alwis, Dr. Manisha Gunasekera and Mr. Missaka Hettiarachchi for supervising my research project and the invaluable assistance, guidance, advice and encouragement given during the course of this research study.

A special thanks is due to Mr. Daya Gamage, the chairman of the Sevanagala Sugar Industries Ltd for funding this research project and Mr. Nihal Sooriyaarachchi, Director Research & Development International Consultancies for giving me this great opportunity to expand my knowledge and experience.

I also like to thank Dr. P.G Rathnasiri and all other academic staff of Chemical & Process Engineering Department. The help given by the staff of Sevanagala Sugar Industries Ltd and the staff of Research & Development International Consultancies are also gratefully acknowledged. At the same time I extend my sincere thanks to Dr. Mahesh Jayaweera, Prof. Lal Fernando and Mr. Janaka Mangala for giving me permission to carry out some of my research work in their laboratories.

I would also place on record my appreciation of Ruwan Abeysinghe, Chemical Engineer at Sevanagala distillery plant for sending the samples from the plant in a timely manner.

I would like to further thank Mr. Rajapakse from Practical Action for sharing his valuable knowledge with me.

My special thanks goes to Thivanka Wickramasuriya, Chathura Wickramarathne, Inoka Manthilaka for giving great guidance in fabrication of compost unit. I am also grateful to Kosala Jayasundara, Gamini Jayasinghe for dedicating their valuable time for making the control unit for the bio gas reactor.

I would like to include following personals in my word appreciation, Mr. B.G.N. Asoka, Mr. Kelum Gunarathna, Mr. Somanath Premadasa, Ms. Amali Wahalathanthri, Mr. Lalith Fernando, Mrs. Dinusha Martino, Mr. H.R. Saranelis, Mr. Ranjith Masekorala and all other technical officers and lab attendants in Chemical & Process Engineering Department who helped me to success my research.

I should appreciate Edward Ishiyama, Miyuru Kannangara, Sandun Gajaweera, Dinuka Perera who gave me technical assistance for the research project.

Thanuja Pathiraja, Gayan Bandara, Kavitha Raamshangar, Niluka Kumara, Buddhika Miyuri, Dilini Dhanusekara, Asanka Rahubadda, Ruwan Shaminda, Hasanthi Dissanayake, Kumuduni Abeysinghe, Charitha Udani, Yashodini Wimalasiri and all other research students and Engineering undergraduate students who gave me an invaluable assistance for my research activities in various ways are also gratefully acknowledged.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)