DEVELOPING A COMPOSITE INDEX TO CATEGORIZE MANUFACTURING SECTOR ENTERPRISES IN SRI LANKA BY USING PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Pinidiya Pathirage Nuwan Aruna Shantha Perera

(168810D)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Mathematics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2020

DEVELOPING A COMPOSITE INDEX TO CATEGORIZE MANUFACTURING SECTOR ENTERPRISES IN SRI LANKA BY USING PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS

Pinidiya Pathirage Nuwan Aruna Shantha Perera

(168810D)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Operational Research

Department of Mathematics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

August 2020

Declaration of the candidate & supervisor

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or any other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

.....

Date:

Signature: P. P. N. A. S Perera

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

.....

.....

Signature of the supervisor: Mr. A.R. Dissanayake Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Date :

Abstract

The industry sector, manufacturing industries play a prominent role in accomplishing economic growth in countries all over the world. Presently, Sri Lanka does not have a commonly accepted standard to categorize manufacturing enterprises. Different organisations use different definitions and there is no consistency between them. The most common criterion is the number of persons employed in the company. Though this is simple, it disregards important characteristics such as annual turnover, assets, energy consumption, etc. Hence, an establishment with fewer employees and large turnover categorized into small scale establishment and the number of employees significantly large but turnover not sufficient to large scale categories also mark as a large scale enterprise. Therefore policy-making stage on small-medium enterprises (SME) very difficult to identify enterprises categories exactly. So, Identifying manufacturing sector enterprises on a generally accepted criterion is a long-felt necessity to the country.

The main focus of this study is to develop a statistical method, to categorize manufacturing enterprises (5 or more persons engaged) in Sri Lanka. Developing a composite index and define the index boundaries to identify small, medium, and large manufacturing industries by considering the composite index mean value. One of the variable reduction methods called the principal component analysis (PCA) technique is used to define the index. Five reliable and significant variables were considered for the study. Data were collected from the Annual Survey of Industries 2017 (ASI) which is conducted by the Industries, Trade, Construction, and Services Division of the Department of Census and Statistics of Sri Lanka.

398 establishments out of the 1792 size sample were misclassified referred to two criteria (Turnover and Number of employees) as per the Ministry of Industry and Commerce (MOI) definition. Treating this misclassification is one of the main objectives of this study to come up with a solution. The analysis was addressed correctly to misclassified establishments in an accepted manner. Composite Index value less than or equal to zero (negative values) grouped as small scale and composite index value zero to 0.9983 categorized as meadium scale. Index values more than 0.9983 grouped as large scale establishments.

Eventually, by introducing cut-off index value, a newly entered establishment could also be categorized. Further cut-off point can be re-valued by changing base year when an Economic Census being done. The introduction of a consistent methodology to categorize which led to granting aid for the right establishment and paying taxes from the right establishment, which is very important for the development of the country.

Keywords: Composite Index, Principal Component Analysis, Dimensional Reduction, Categorization

Acknowledgments

It would not have been effectively achieved without several committed individuals who gave me great support and assistance, both professional and personal.

First of all, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor Mr. Rohana Dissanayake, Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, University of Moratuwa in Sri Lanka. Throughout the process, he has provided me with an abundance of assistance. I am indebted to him for his valuable support, guidance, comment, and advice. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. Pasan Ediriweera, Senior Lecturer, Department of Mathematics, University of Moratuwa, and Course coordinator of MSc. in Operational Research, for his great support and guidance.

I am also thankful to all the lecturers who shared their valuable knowledge with us during the degree program and all the non-academic staff of the Department of Mathematics for their support.

I would also like to convey my special thanks to Mr. J. S. N. P Dharmawardena, Senior Statistician who is attached to the Department of Census and Statistics Sri Lanka for his valuable guidance, advice, and encouragement during research work and data dissemination unit staff of the Department of Census and Statistics Sri Lanka.

I am also grateful to my wife who has always supported me throughout my academic and career life. Her love and unfailing support has always inspired me in any overcoming obstacles of my research, especially during the final stage of my study.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my parents, brother, and sister for their endless motivation and support given me throughout the Masters degree and every person who has contributed directly or indirectly to the success of this thesis.

Table of Contents

Declaration of the candidate & supervisori
Abstractii
Acknowledgmentsiii
Table of Contentsiv
List of Figures
List of Tablesviii
List of Abbreviationsx
List of Appendicesxi
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION
1.1 Overview
1.2 Industry Sub-Sector
1.2.1 Manufacturing Section
1.3 Importance of the Establishment Categorization
1.4 Current Practice and Issues
1.4.1 Current definitions for manufacturing sector categorization
1.4.1.1 Local Institutions5
1.4.1.2 International Institutions
1.4.1.3 Other Countries
1.5 Research Question
1.6 Research Objectives

1.7 Limitation of the Study
1.8 Thesis Outline
CHAPTER 2
LITERATURE REVIEW14
2.1 Literature related to Area of Study
2.2 Theories Related to Area of Study15
2.2.1 Introduction
2.2.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)15
2.2.3 Composite Index (CI)
2.2.4 Other Related Papers
CHAPTER 3
METHODOLOGY18
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Data and Variables
3.2.1 Study Sample
3.2.2 Sources of Data
3.2.3 Variable selection
3.3 Methods of Analysis
3.3.1 Data preparation
3.3.2 Principal Component Analysis
3.3.2.1 Selection of Approach (CROM or COVM)
3.3.2.2 KMO and Bartlett's Test
3.3.3 Develop a Composite Index (CI)
3.4 Proposed Method to Categorization

CHAPTER 4	. 27
DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	. 27
4.1 Introduction	. 27
4.2 Identification of Outliers	. 27
4.3 Descriptive analysis	. 32
4.3.1 Descriptive Analysis of Original Variables	. 32
4.3.2 Descriptive Analysis of Transformed Variables	. 36
4.4 Application of PCA	. 38
4.5 Construction of the Composite index (CI)	. 43
4.6 Categorizing Small/ Medium/ Large Establishments by using CI	. 44
CHAPTER 5	. 52
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	. 52
5.1 Conclusion	. 52
5.2 Recommendations	. 53
REFERENCES	. 54
Appendix A: Annual Survey of Industries 2017 - Questionnaire	. 56
Appendix B: International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic	
Activities (ISIC), Rev.4-Industry Sector	. 58

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Gross Value Added (GVA) share by main sectors, current prices, 20191
Figure 1.2 Gross Value Added (GVA) share by sub-sectors, current prices, 20191
Figure 1.3 Economic sections wise contribution to the Gross Value Added (GVA) in
industry sub-sector, current prices, 2019
Figure 1.4 Distribution of the number of establishments
Figure 1.5 Distribution of No. of establishment category by MOI – definition 10
Figure 3.1 PCA Approach selection procedure
Figure 3.2 Skewness graphs with mean and median24
Figure 4.1 Boxplot of composite index value by SLSIC 2D27
Figure 4.2 Boxplot of annual output by SLSIC 2D
Figure 4.3 Boxplot of opening fixed capital assets by SLSIC 2D
Figure 4.4 Boxplot of total energy consumption by SLSIC 2D
Figure 4.5 Boxplot of salary and wages by SLSIC 2D
Figure 4.6 Boxplot of employees engaged by SLSIC 2D
Figure 4.7 Multiple Scatter diagram for study variables
Figure 4.8 Screeiplot
Figure 4.9 Histogram of the composite index value - All sample units
Figure 4.10 Line graph of the composite index value – All sample units
Figure 4.11 Histogram of the composite index value – medium and large category
sample units
Figure 4.12 Line graph of the composite index value – medium and large category
sample units
Figure 4.13 Distribution of establishments under the proposed method
Figure 4.14 Comparision of MOI categorization with proposed categorization 49

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Defining Establishment in Sri Lanka (Ministry of Industry and Commerce)
Table 1.2 Defining Establishment in Sri Lanka (Department of Census and Statistics)
Table 1.3 Defining Enterprises in the European Union 6
Table 1.4 Defining SMEs in World Bank 7
Table 1.5 Definition of MSMEs in India 8
Table 1.6 Definition of MSMEs in Malaysia
Table 1.7 Definition of SMEs in Bangladesh 9
Table 1.8 No. of establishment satisfying both criteria according to MOI definition .9
Table 1.9 No. of establishment categorized and miscategorized by MOI definition. 11
Table 3.1 Variables considered 19
Table 3.2 Manufacturing Establishment Categorization by DCS - 2013
Table 4.1 Descriptive statistics of the original variables 32
Table 4.2 Correlation matrix of the original variables 33
Table 4.3 Variance-covariance matrix 35
Table 4.4 Descriptive statistics of the transformed variables 36
Table 4.5 Variance-Covariance matrix of the transformed variables 37
Table 4.6 KMO and Bartlett's Test 38
Table 4.7 PCs extraction using total variance explained
Table 4.8 Communalities 40
Table 4.9 Component Matrix 41
Table 4.10 Component Score Coefficient Matrix 41
Table 4.11 Eigenvalues and weights after rotation 43

Table 4.12 Descriptive statistics of the constructed CI	43
Table 4.13 Descriptive statistics of the medium and large category	45
Table 4.14 Cut-off values of proposed categorization	48
Table 4.15 Crosstable of existing categorization and propose categorization	49
Table 4.16 Category wise DCS Percentages and Proposed method Percentages	51

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Description
CI	Composite Index
CORM	Correlation Matrix
COVM	Covariance Matrix
CV	Coefficient of Variance
DCS	Department of Census and Statistics
EU	European Union
FA	Factor Analysis
GVA	Gross Value Added
ISIC	International Standard of Industrial Classification
КМО	Kaiser Meyer Olkin
MOI	Ministry of Industry and Commerce
MSME	Micro, Small & Medium Enterprises
PC	Principal Component
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
SD	Standard Deviation
SLSIC	Sri Lanka Standard of Industrial Classification
SLSIC 2D	Sri Lanka Standard of Industrial Classification 2 Digit
SME	Small Medium Enterprise
WB	World Bank

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Annual Survey of Industries 2017 - Questionnaire	. 56
Appendix B: International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic	
Activities (ISIC), Rev.4-Industry Sector	. 58