# VISUAL DEVELOPMENT TOOL SUPPORT FOR ENHANCING PERFORMANCE AND QUALITY OF DEVELOPER WORK

U.I. Heenatigala

168223F

This dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of MSc in Computer Science specializing in Software Architecture

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka April 2020

### DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa, the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

U.I.Heenatigala

Date: .....

I certify that the declaration above by the candidate is true to the best of my knowledge and that this report is acceptable for evaluation for the Master of Science Project.

.....

Dr. Indika Perera Supervisor (University of Moratuwa)

#### ABSTRACT

There is a very limited number of visual development tools that support a software developer. The aim of this study was to develop a visual development tool and determine the effect of the tool on developing performance and quality of work of a developer. The research was conducted in five steps. Develop version 0.1 of the tool with basic requirements. Conduct a survey for the initial tool. Update the tool according to the survey results. Release version 1.0 of the tool to the sample with development tasks. Finally analyse the results. Thirty developers from the Srilanka were randomly selected using the convenience sampling method. Testing method was used to identify the efficiency of the developers and observation method was used to explore the quality of the work. Paired t-test in R package 2019 was used to compare the time taken to complete the given tasks using the tool and without the tool. The quality of work is measured by coding standards followed and also the structure of the code is inspected to determine how the developer has gone about solving the problem. Three tasks with varying complexities were given. Task 1 which is the least complex didn't give a significant result(P value >0.05). Task 2 and Task 3 development time analysis gave a positive result for using the tool(P value < 0.05). There are further features that need to be added to make it a product that can be used by the masses. Suggestions, a real-time preview of the generated code, more built in components and better style (css) generation are some of those features. The quality standards in readability and code reuse were improved by using the tool.

The results conclude that the importance of visual development tools to enhance the performance and quality of the developer according to the complexities of the tasks. Not only the statistical analysis but also the developer interviews also confirm this. Further, there is an added advantage that helps new vue developers to learn as well. As future work we plan to enhance the tool according to the suggestions given.

Keywords - Developer tools, Vue, Vue drag and drop, Developer performance, Code quality

### **DEDICATION**

To all the parties

who are interested in the field of

Computer Science

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express profound gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Indika Perera, for his invaluable support by providing relevant knowledge, materials, advice, supervision and useful suggestions throughout this research work. His expertise and continuous guidance enabled me to complete my work successfully.

I would like to thank all my software engineer colleagues for their help on creating a test group by doing the 3 tasks with tool and without tool and those who gave valuable feedback for further improvement of the developed tool. I would also like to thank Ms.Dilini Jayakody , for providing valuable resources and advice in the statistical analysis area of this research.

Lastly, I would like to thank Riverview Innovation Labs, for the support given me to conduct my research there and to manage my MSc research.

### TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	i		
ABSTRACT	ii		
DEDICATION	iii		
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv		
TABLE OF CONTENT	v		
LIST OF TABLE	vi		
LIST OF FIGURE	vii		
1. Introduction			
1.1. Background of the study	1		
1.2. Problem Statement	4		
1.3. Minor Objectives	4		
1.3.1. Major Objectives	4		
1.3.2. Minor Objectives	4		
1.4. Hypothesis	4		
1.5. The limitations of the study	4		
2. Literature Review	5		
2.1. Vue.js	5		
2.2. Integrated Development Environments	6		
2.3. IDE's with enhanced domain specific interaction	8		
2.4. Impact of Integrated Development Environments	9		
2.5. History of user interface	10		
2.6. Developing a Graphical user interface	10		
2.7. Principles of visual design	11		
2.8. Development tools for different situations using Ja	iva 12		
2.9. Android development tool	13		
2.10. What is no code?	13		
2.11. Code generation tools	14		
2.12. The Effects of visual learning	17		

	2.13.	Visual development tools	17
3.	Met	hodology	19
	3.1.	Procedure	19
	3.2.	Selecting a Sample	21
	3.3.	Data Collection Method	21
	3.4.	Data Analysis Method	21
	3.5.	Summary	22
4.	4. Implementation		
	4.1.	Initial Requirement	23
	4.2.	Building the visual development tool	23
		4.2.1. Breaking down the components	23
		4.2.1.1. Version 0.1 element break down	23
		4.2.2. Building the server	27
		4.2.3. Download feature	28
		4.2.4. Summary	29
	4.3.	Preliminary survey	29
	4.4.	Building version 1.0	30
		4.4.1. Integrating the veutify components to the visual of	levelopment
		tool	31
		4.4.2. Design of the component newly added component	its 32
		4.4.3. Authentication	34
		4.4.4. Pages	34
		4.4.5. Undo/Redo Feature	34
		4.4.6. Preview Feature	36
		4.4.6.1. Mobile view preview	36
	4.5.	Final Tool	37
	4.6.	Summary	37
5.	Eval	luation	39
	5.1.	Results of the initial survey	39
	5.2.	Analysis of the feedback	39
	5.3.	Analysing the timing of coding of the developers using the	hree different
		tasks	39

		5.3.1.	Analysis of Task 1	40
		5.3.2.	Analysis of Task 2	41
		5.3.3.	Analysis of task 3	42
	5.4.	Analy	sis of coding standard of developers	43
	5.5.	Analy	sis of issues and suggestions by developers given for the tool	43
	5.6.	Under	standing the results	44
6.	Con	clusion		46
7.	Futu	re Work		48
Refere	ence			48
Appen	ndix A	: Test of	normality of the data and test result	51
Appen	ndix B	: R studi	o code	56

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Tittle	Page
Table 5.1	Summary of the result table	40
Table 5.2	Analysis of task 1	40
Table 5.2	Analysis of task 2	41
Table 5.3	Analysis of task 3	42

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure	Tittle	Page
Figure 2.1	The procedure of UML model map to IEC 61131-35	15
Figure 2.2	Relationship of programs and representation proposed	16
Figure 3.1	Summary of the procedure	22
Figure 4.1	Lay out of the two panels	24
Figure 4.2	Table components	24
Figure 4.3	Generated code for table component	25
Figure 4.4	A group of elements contained in a div	26
Figure 4.5	Generated code for the group elements contained in div	26
Figure 4.6	Generated styles for the above design	27
Figure 4.7	Example code for download features	29
Figure 4.8	Layout with new material component	31
Figure 4.9	Design built with the newly added component	32
Figure 4.10	Generated code for design built with the newly added component	33
Figure 4.11	Example code for create pages	34
Figure 4.12	Example code for create undo/redo features	35
Figure 4.13	The mobile version of the tool	36
Figure 4.14	The final development tool	37
Figure 4.15	Summary of the final product	38