DESIGN A DSM ORIENTED, TIME OF USE (TOU) TARIFF MODEL FOR DOMESTIC CONSUMERS WITH A LOAD RESEARCH

Erandi Heshani Udageachchi

(159379K)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

DESIGN A DSM ORIENTED, TIME OF USE (TOU) TARIFF MODEL FOR DOMESTIC CONSUMERS WITH A LOAD RESEARCH

Erandi Heshani Udageachchi

(159379K)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree Master of Science

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature of the candidate	Date:
(E H Udageachchi)	
The above candidate has carried out research for the M supervision.	asters Dissertation under our
Signature of the supervisor (Dr. Asanka Rodrigo)	Date:

ABSTRACT

The night-time peak demand drives the sector investments and hence has become a major target area for Demand Side Management programs aimed at energy conservation and efficiency improvement. Time Of Use (TOU) Tariffs are perceived as a major tool to curb the peak demand.

Further, with the growth of the usage of electrical vehicles, there is a tendency of increasing the peak demand because of the charging load. The introduction of the TOU tariff will shift the charging load to off peak hours and the domestic consumers will get the opportunity to charge their vehicles at a cheaper rate. If the charging of electric vehicle at the off-peak hours can be encouraged, the valley in the load profile at the off-peak hours can also be filled while clipping the peak demand.

With those intensions, an optional TOU Tariff has been introduced to domestic customers of Sri Lanka in 2015. But the prevailing TOU tariff has not been derived after a load research and it is not attractive to the domestic consumers.

The effectiveness of the existing TOU tariff for domestic consumers was analysed in this study. Further an effective TOU tariff for domestic consumers was proposed and the appropriateness of the proposed TOU tariff for the domestic consumers in each block was identified. The benefits of implementing the proposed TOU tariff were also quantified.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I pay my sincere gratitude to Dr. Asanka Rodrigo who encouraged and guided me to conduct this research and on perpetration of final dissertation.

I extend my sincere gratitude to Prof. Sisil Kumarawadu, Head of the Department of Electrical Engineering and all the lectures and visiting lectures of the Department of Electrical Engineering for the support extended during the study period.

I would like to take this opportunity to extend my sincere thanks to Mr. Kanchana Siriwardana (Director-Tariff and Economic Affairs Division of Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka (PUCSL) who gave his fullest support to conduct my work.

Further, I extend my sincere gratitude to Mr. Damitha Kumarasinghe (Director General), Mr. Gamini Herath (Deputy Director General) and Mr. Palitha Sirimal (Director - Human Resources) of Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka (PUCSL) for supporting me during the study period.

I would like to extend my gratefulness to the Research & Development Branch of Ceylon Electricity Board.

It is a great pleasure to remember the kind co-operation extended by the colleagues in the post graduate program and my friends.

Further, I would like to thank my parents, my sister and my husband who helped me to continue the studies during the entire MSc program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
CHAPTER 1	1
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objectives Of The Study	1
CHAPTER 2	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1 TOU Pricing Models In The World	3
2.2 How Customers Have Responded To Time Varying Pricing	3
2.3 Green Energy UK Has Launched Time-Of-Use Tariff	4
2.4 Australian Time Of Use Pricing	5
2.5 Time Of Use Tariff To Residential Customers In Italy	6
2.5.1 Effect Of Tariff Change On The Load Curve	7
2.6 Time Of Use Tariff To Residential Customers In South Africa	9
2.7 TOU Tariff For Residential Consumers In Jiangsu Province, China	10
2.8 Customer's Response To The Time Of Use Pricing	11
2.9 Factors For The Success Of TOU Pricing	11
2.10 Electric Vehicles With TOU	12
CHAPTER 3	13
3 LOAD RESEARCH AND SURVEY	13
3.1 Load Research	13
3.2 Load Survey	13
CHAPTER 4	15
4 EXISTING TOU TARIFF FOR DOMESTIC CONSUMERS IN SRI LANKA	15
4.1 Analyzing The Financial Impact Of Shifting To The Existing TOU	15
4.1.1 The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 0-30	
kWh/month	16
4.1.2 The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 31-60	
kWh/month	17

	4.1.3 kWh/mo	The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 61-90 onth	18
	4.1.4 kWh/mo	The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 91-120 onth	19
	4.1.5 kWh/mo	The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 121-180 onth	20
	4.1.6 kWh/mo	The load profiles of an average household customer in the block of 180 <	21
СН	APTER 5	5	23
5	DETER	MINING A COST REFLECTIVE TOU TARIFF	23
5.	.1 Ene	ergy Charge	23
5.	.2 Cap	pacity Charge	26
5.	.3 Fix	ed Charge	26
СН	APTER 6	5	28
6 TAI		ZZING THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF SHIFTING TO THE PROPOSED TO	
6.	.1 The	Financial Impact On The Customers	28
	6.1.1	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: 0-30 kWh/month household customers	28
	6.1.2	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: 31-60 kWh/month household customers	29
	6.1.3	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: 61-90 kWh/month household customers	29
	6.1.4	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: 91-120 kWh/month household customers	30
	6.1.5	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: 121-180 kWh/month household customers	31
	6.1.6	Analysis of proposed TOU tariff: over 180 kWh/month household customers	31
6.	2 Fin	ancial Impact On The Utilities	33
	6.2.1	Average cost of supplying electricity to the customers	33
	6.2.2	Sales revenue of the Utilities under the Block Tariff	33
	6.2.3 all the d	Sales revenue of the Utilities if the proposed TOU Tariff is made mandatory for omestic customers	
	6.2.4 the dom	Sales revenue of the utilities if the proposed TOU Tariff is made optional for a estic customers	
СН	APTER 7	7	38
7	DETER	MINING A COST REFLECTIVE TOU-BLOCK TARIFF	38
7.	.1 Det	ermination Of The Blocks	40
7.	.2 Cal	culation Of Block Factors	41
CH	APTER 8	3	44

8 ANALYZING THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF SHIFTING TO	ΓHE PROPOSED
COST REFLECTIVE TOU-BLOCK TARIFF	44
8.1 Impact on the consumers	44
CHAPTER 9	47
9 FINANCIAL IMPACT ON THE UTILITIES WITH THE PROP	OSED TOU- BLOCK
TARIFF	47
CHAPTER 10	49
10 SHIFTING OF LOADS	49
CHAPTER 11	51
11 DISCUSSION	51
CHAPTER 12	55
12 CONCLUSION	55
REFERENCES	57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1:TOU Tariff Green Energy rates at UK
Figure 2-2:Energy shifts from peak to off peak hours in Winter
Figure 2-3: Energy shifts from peak to off peak hours in Spring
Figure 2-4: Energy shifts from peak to off peak hours in Summer
Figure 2-5: The shift of the load curve tariff in the summer (2003),
as result of the introduction of TOU tariff
Figure 4-1: The load profiles of an average household customers in
the block of 0-30 kWh/month16
Figure 4-2: The load profiles of an average household customers in
the block of 31-60 kWh/month
Figure 4-3: The load profiles of an average household customer in
the block of 61-90 kWh/month18
Figure 4-4: The load profiles of an average household customer in
the block of 91-120 kWh/month
Figure 4-5: The load profiles of an average household customer in
the block of 121-180 kWh/month20
Figure 4-6: The load profiles of an average household customer in
the block of 180 < kWh/month
Figure 6-1: Average electricity bill of a customer under different tariff schemes
Figure 7-1: An average load profile of a day with the power plants dispatched
according to the developed merit order39

Figure 7-2:	Half-hourly energy rate	1(
Figure 7-3:	The demand contribution of each customer block	4]
Figure 8-1:	Comparison of the electricity bill under the different	
	tariff schemes	16
Figure 9-1:	Comparison of revenues under each tariff scheme and the	
	cost of supply	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: TOU Tariff rates at Green Energy UK
Table 2-2: Time of use rates in different states of Australia
Table 2-3: Difference in Energy prices between flat tariff and rates of ToU tariff 6
Table 2-4: Energy shifts from peak to off peak hours
Table 2-5: TOU tariff rates for single phase customers
Table 2-6: TOU tariff rates for three phase customers
Table 2-7: TOU tariff for residential consumers in Jiangsu Province, China
Table 2-8: Customer response to Time of Use Pricing
Table 3-1: Samples selected
Table 3-2: Number of household consumers selected for the survey
Table 4-1: Existing TOU tariff for domestic consumers
Table 4-2: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 0-30 kWh/month16
Table 4-3: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 31-60 kWh/month
Table 4-4: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 61-90 kWh/month19
Table 4-5: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 91-120 kWh/month20
Table 4-6: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 121-180 kWh/month21

Table 4-7: The electricity bill calculation for the consumers in
the block of 180 < kWh/month
Table 5-1: The average energy costs of generation under time intervals
Table 5-2: Allowed Distribution losses of 2017
Table 5-3: The peak adjustment factors ad adjusted loss factors
Table 5-4: Energy charges for the interval 1(Day)24
Table 5-5: Energy charges for the interval 2 (Peak)
Table 5-1:Energy charges for the interval 3(Off- peak)25
Table 5-2: Calculated Bulk supply tariff and the End user tariff
Table 5-3: Rounded rates for End user tariff
Table 5-9: Calculation of capacity charge
Table 5-10: Weighted average capacity charge
Table 5-11: Calculation of fixed charge
Table 6-1: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of 0-30 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-2: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 0-30 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-3: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of 31-60 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff29
Table 6-4: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 31-60 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-5: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of 61-90 kWh/month

under the proposed TOU tariff29
Table 6-6: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 61-90 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-7: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of 91-120 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-8: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 91-120 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-9: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of 121-180 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff31
Table 6-10: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 121-180 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff31
Table 6-11: Energy charge for the consumers in the block of over180 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff31
Table 6-12: Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of over180 kWh/month
under the proposed TOU tariff
Table 6-13: Sales revenues of 2017 under the existing Block tariff
Table 6-14: The total revenue of the Utilities if the TOU tariff is made mandatory35
Table 6-15: Differences of Revenues (customers in the block of 120-180kWh)36
Table 6-16: Differences of Revenues (customers in the block of 120-180kWh)37
Table 7-1: Developed merit order
Table 7-2: Defined blocks
Table 7-3: Demand contribution and calculated block factors
Table 7-4: Block wise half- hourly energy rate

Table 7-5: TOU block tariff at the generation boundary
Table 7-6: Peak adjusted loss factors
Table 7-7: Energy charges at the transmission boundary and end user level43
Table 8-1: The energy charge for the consumers in the block of 0-30 kWh/month
under the TOU- Block tariff
Table 8-2: The Capacity charge for the consumers in the block of 0-30 kWh/month
under the TOU- Block tariff
Table 8-3: Average electricity bill under the proposed cost reflective TOU -Block tariff45
Table 8-4: Average electricity bill of a consumer under the different tariff schemes45
Table 9-1: Annual revenue to be earned under the proposed TOU- Block Tariff47
Table 10-1: Shift of loads
Table 10-2: Monthly saving from shifting of load under TOU tariff scheme50
Table 10-3: Monthly saving from shifting of load under TOU- block tariff scheme50
Table 11-1: Energy rates for End user tariff
Table 11-2: The cost reflective TOU- block tariff53

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CEB	Ceylon Electricity Board
СРР	Critical Peak-Pricing
DSM	Demand Side Management
EDP	extreme day pricing
GWh	Giga watt hour
kWh	kilo watt hour
LECO	Lanka Electricity Company (Pvt) Ltd.
LKR	Sri Lankan Rupee
Mn	Million
MW	Mega watt
PUCSL	Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka
TOU	Time Of Use
USA	United States of America
WPN	Western Province North