# EVALUATION OF TRANSFERABILITY OF TANK MODEL PARAMETERS FOR UNGAUGED CATCHMENTS IN NILWALA RIVER BASIN

Madhuka Wickramarachchi

(189250K)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

July 2020

# EVALUATION OF TRANSFERABILITY OF TANK MODEL PARAMETERS FOR UNGAUGED CATCHMENTS IN NILWALA RIVER BASIN

#### Madhuka Wickramarachchi

(189250K)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera

UNESCO Madanjeet Centre for South Asia Water Management
(UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

July 2020

#### **DECLARATION**

Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic, or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Madhuka Wickramarachchi

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Date

# **Evaluation of Transferability of Tank Model Parameters for Ungauged Catchments in Nilwala River Basin**

#### **Abstract**

Water is a fundamental requirement for human persistence and one of the essential resources for achieving sustainable development in the country. The growing population and expansion of economic sectors have created an interconnected competitive demand for finite water resources. Thus, a proper water resources management is required where daily rainfall-runoff modeling will be the most fundamental tool for water resource assessment. Though, most of the catchments are ungauged or with limited data, hydrologic modeling is a challenging task for model calibration and validation. Transferring the hydrological model parameters of a gauged catchment to ungauged catchment is generally practiced in such conditions by the hydrologic modelers with a considerable level of uncertainty. Hence, this study has focused to evaluate the accuracy of such regionalization methods of a Tank model parameters in order to quantify the water resource in ungauged catchments in Nilwala River to assist water resource management.

A Tank model with four tanks was developed with MS Excel software for Pitabeddara and Urawa sub-catchments using data from water years 2008/09 to 2017/18. The model was warmed up for five water years to stabilize the soil moisture in the four tanks. Both models for two sub-catchments were calibrated for the first five water years and validated with the remaining five water years. During the process, the models were optimized by using the multistart GRG-nonlinear search engine of the Solver add-in of MS Excel where the goodness of fit of the model simulations was evaluated by using the Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE). The optimized Tank model parameters for each catchment were transposed under spatiotemporal, temporal, and spatial transferability approaches to reconstruct the streamflow of each catchment. Model performances in each simulation was evaluated by comparing annual water balance, total flow hydrograph, and flow duration curves.

The MRAE values during model calibration were 0.32 and 0.31 for Pitabeddara and Urawa sub-catchments respectively. Both models were validated with the MRAE values of 0.48 and 0.54 for Pitabeddara and Urawa catchments respectively. The evaluation indicators also illustrated a better matching between estimated and observed flows where annual water balance error percentages were range from 0.7% to 25.1%.

In light of these results, the spatial parameter transferability approach outperformed than other methods for the concerned catchments during study period. Consequently, the lumped Tank model is capable of simulating daily streamflow of concerned catchments in Nilwala River Basin with an accuracy level within 50% - 68%. Most importantly, the best results are in the high and intermediate flow regimes with an average accuracy more than 61% ranges from 53% -73%. The seasonal-scaled streamflow showed more than 87% of average accuracy with water quantity error of 70 mm/season - 114 mm/season. The monthly-scaled streamflow had an average accuracy level of more than 76% with water quantity error of less than 20.9 mm/month confirming that the Tank model can be satisfactorily utilized for water resources management tasks in the concerned catchments.

**Key Words:** Parameter Regionalization, Lumped Tank Model, Ungauged Catchment, Water resources Management, Daily data

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Professor N.T.S. Wijesekera, my research supervisor, for his continuous support, patience, inspiration, and sharing of his immense knowledge for the successful completion of the study within the time frame. Further his consistent guidance ensured that this research is my own work and towards the correct direction for achieving the goal of the research.

I extend my gratitude to the Course Coordinator, Dr. R. L. H. Rajapakse for his abiding encouragement and assistance during my study period, and facilitation of educational materials for enhancing my knowledge.

I would also like to convey my appreciation for Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh, Management of Fund and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this opportunity to study the Master's Degree in Water Resource Engineering and Management, at UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Also, I must thank the other academic and non-academic staff of this Centre for their support during the study. Further I am very grateful to my colleagues who support and encourage during this study period.

I also wish to acknowledge Eng. D. C. S. Elakanda (Project Director – CRIP) and Eng. (Mrs.) T. J. Meegastenna (Deputy Project Director – CRIP) for their cooperation and guidance to follow the Master Degree Programme.

Finally, I am particularly grateful to my wife, Uththara, for her continuous support, encouragement, and kindness throughout this period. I appreciate and respect my parents for their support extended to me.

# Evaluation of Transferability of Tank Model Parameters for Ungauged Catchments in Nilwala River Basin

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	XV
List of Abbreviations	xviii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Water Resources in Sri Lanka	1
1.2 Challenges on Water Resources	1
1.3 Sustainable Water Resources Management and Challenges	3
1.4 Hydrological Modeling in Ungauged Catchments	4
1.4.1 Modeling of Nilwala River Basin	5
1.5 Problem Statement	6
1.6 Study Area	6
1.7 Objectives of the Study	7
1.7.1 Overall Objective	7
1.7.2 Specific Objectives	7
2 Literature Review	9
2.1 General	9
2.2 Water Crisis and Necessity for Water Resources Management	9
2.3 Streamflow Prediction and Transferability	10
2.4 Hydrological Models and Application	15
2.5 Selection of Hydrologic Model	16
2.5.1 State of Art in Streamflow Prediction in Ungauged Catch	ments 17
2.5.2 Model Comparison	18

	2.	.5.3	Lumped Conceptual Tank Model	
	2.	.5.4	Tank Model Structure	
	2.	.5.5	Advantages and Limitations of Tank Model	
	2.	.5.6	Applications of Tank Model	
	2.6	Warr	m-up Period24	
	2.7	Mod	el Calibration and Validation26	
	2.	.7.1	Objective Function	
	2.	.7.2	Optimization Algorithms	
	2.8	Mod	el Performance Evaluation30	
	2.9	Data	, Data Checking and Missing Data Estimation	
	2.	.9.1	Data	
	2.	.9.2	Data Checking	
	2.	.9.3	Missing Data Estimation	
3	Me	thodol	logy35	
	3.1	Selec	etion of Catchments36	
	3.2	Hydr	rologic Model Selection	
	3.	.2.1	Tank Model Structure and Parameters	
	3.	.2.2	Schematic Diagram of Tank Model	
4	Dat	a and	Data Checking40	
			and Data Collection	
			ssen Average Rainfall41	
			Checking	
		.3.1	Annual Water Balance	
		.3.2	Visual Data Checking	
		.3.3	Double Mass Curve method	
			thly Averaged Rainfall51	
5	Ana	alysis	and Results53	
	5.1	Class	sification of Flow Regime – High, Intermediate and Low Flows 53	

	5.2 Tanl	k Model Development for Catchments	54
	5.2.1	Sample Calculation for Streamflow w.r.t Process of Tank Model	54
	5.3 War	m-up of Tank Model	58
	5.4 Opti	mization of Tank Model	60
	5.4.1	Selection of Initial Parameters	60
	5.4.2	Selection of Optimization Algorithm	60
	5.4.3	Selection of Objective Function	60
	5.5 Cali	bration of Tank Model	61
	5.5.1	Model Calibration for Urawa Sub-catchment	61
	5.5.2	Model Calibration for Pitabeddara Catchment	66
	5.6 Vali	dation of Tank Model	69
	5.6.1	Model Validation for Urawa Sub-catchment	69
	5.6.2	Model Validation for Pitabeddara Catchment	73
	5.7 Opti	mized Tank Model Parameters	76
	5.8 Mod	lel Parameter Transferability	77
	5.8.1	Model Performance in Spatiotemporal Transferability Approach	77
	5.8.2	Model Performance in Temporal Transferability Approach	88
	5.8.3	Model Performance in Spatial Transferability Approach	98
6	Discussion	on	106
	6.1 Data	a and Data Errors	106
	6.1.1	Selection of Data Period and Gauging Stations	106
	6.1.2	Data Errors	107
	6.2 Mod	lel Selection	108
	6.3 Vali	dity of Model Performance	108
	6.3.1	Model Performance in Calibration Period	109
	6.3.2	Model Performance in Validation Period	111
	6.3.3	Model Performance for Water Resources Management	113
	634	Model Parameter Evaluation	116

	6.4 Mod	el Performance in Parameter Transferability11	.7
	6.4.1	Selection of Parameter Transferability Approaches	7
	6.4.2	Model Estimations under Spatiotemporal Transferability Approach . 11	17
	6.4.3	Model Estimations under Temporal Transferability Approach 11	9
	6.4.4	Model Estimations under Spatial Transferability Approach	21
7	Conclusi	ons	24
8	Recomm	endations	25
9	Referenc	es	26
A	PPENDIX	A: REPRESENTATIVENESS OF RAINFALL TO STREAMFLOW	W
	•••••		;
A	PPENDIX	B: DOUBLE MASS CURVES	59
A	PPENDIX	C: COMPARISON OF RESULTS IN MONTHLY SCALE16	52
Α	PPENDIX	D: SEASONAL COMPARISON OF RESULTS17	17

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Study Area in Nilwala River Basin	8
Figure 2-1: A Simple Tank Model Structure	21
Figure 3-1: Methodology Flowchart of the Study	35
Figure 3-2: Structure of Tank Model	37
Figure 3-3: Schematic Diagram for Tank Model	39
Figure 4-1: Thiessen Polygons for Pitabeddara Watershed	42
Figure 4-2: Thiessen Polygons for Urawa sub-watershed	42
Figure 4-3: Annual Water Balance at Pitabeddara	44
Figure 4-4: Variation of Annual Rainfall and Annual Streamflow of Pit	abeddara
catchment	45
Figure 4-5: Annual Water Balance at Urawa	46
Figure 4-6: Variation of Annual Rainfall and Annual Streamflow of Urawa c	
Figure 4-7: Thiessen Averaged Rainfall and Streamflow at Pitabeddara in w	
2010/2011	48
Figure 4-8: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in each Rainfall Gauging S	Station in
water year 2010/2011	49
Figure 4-9: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall of each station and Thiessen Ra	uinfall 50
Figure 4-10: Double Mass Curve for each Rainfall Stations	51
Figure 4-11: Variation of Monthly Average Rainfall for the Five Stations	52
Figure 5-1: Flow Classification for Pitabeddara Catchment	53
Figure 5-2: Flow Classification for Urawa Catchment	54
Figure 5-3: Tank Model Set-up in MS Excel for Pitabeddara Catchment	55
Figure 5-4: Soil moisture content variation in each tank for warm-up period	- Urawa
	59
Figure 5-5: Soil moisture content variation in each tank for warm-up	period -
Pitabeddara	59
Figure 5-6: Observed and Estimated Flow Hydrograph in Urawa for Calibrat	ion 63
Figure 5-7: Annual Water Balance for Calibration Period in Urawa Catchme	nt 64
Figure 5-8: FDC (sorted) for Urawa Catchment in Calibration Period	65

Figure 5-9: FDC (Unsorted) for Urawa in Calibration Period
Figure 5-10: Observed and Estimated Flow Hydrograph for Pitabeddara – Calibration
Period67
Figure 5-11: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara - Calibration Period
Figure 5-12: FDC (sorted) for Pitabeddara in Calibration period
Figure 5-13: FDC (unsorted) for Pitabeddara catchment in Calibration period 69
Figure 5-14: Observed and Estimated Flow Hydrograph for Urawa – Validation Period
70
Figure 5-15: Annual Water Balance for Validation Period in Urawa Catchment 71
Figure 5-16: FDC (Sorted) for Urawa in Validation Period
Figure 5-17: FDC (Unsorted) for Urawa Catchment in Validation Period72
Figure 5-18: Observed and Estimated Flow Hydrograph for Pitabeddara – Validation
Period
Figure 5-19: Annual Water Balance for Validation Period in Pitabeddara Catchment
75
Figure 5-20: FDC (Sorted) for Pitabeddara in Validation Period
Figure 5-21: FDC (Unsorted) for Pitabeddara in Validation Period
Figure 5-22: Flow Hydrograph for Urawa with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters – 2008/09-2012/13
Figure 5-23: Flow Hydrograph for Urawa with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters - 2013/14-2017/18
Figure 5-24: Annual Water Balance Error for spatiotemporal transferability condition
- Urawa
Figure 5-25: FDC (Sorted) for Urawa with spatiotemporally transferred parameters
from Pitabeddara
Figure 5-26: FDC (Unsorted) for Urawa with spatiotemporally transferred parameters
from Pitabeddara
Figure 5-27: Flow Hydrograph for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters – 2008/09-2012/13
Figure 5-28: Flow Hydrograph for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters –2013/14-2017/18

Figure 5-29: Annual Water Balance Error for spatiotemporal Transferability Condition
- Pitabeddara86
Figure 5-30: FDC (Sorted) for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters from Urawa
Figure 5-31: FDC (Unsorted) for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters from Urawa
Figure 5-32: Flow Hydrograph of Urawa for Temporal transferability - 2008/09-
2012/1389
Figure 5-33: Flow Hydrograph of Urawa for Temporal transferability - 2013/14-
2017/1890
Figure 5-34: Annual Water Balance Error for Temporal Transferability Condition -
Urawa
Figure 5-35: FDC (Sorted) for Urawa with temporally transferred parameters 92
Figure 5-36: FDC (Unsorted) for Urawa with temporally transferred parameters 92
Figure 5-37: Flow Hydrograph of Pitabeddara in Temporal transferability - 2008/09-
2012/1394
Figure 5-38: Flow Hydrograph of Pitabeddara in Temporal transferability - 2013/14-
2017/1895
Figure 5-39: Annual Water Balance Error for Temporal Transferability Condition -
Pitabeddara
Figure 5-40: FDC (Sorted) for Pitabeddara with temporally transferred parameters 97
Figure 5-41: FDC (Unsorted) for Pitabeddara with temporally transferred parameters
97
Figure 5-42: Flow Hydrograph of Urawa in spatial transferability - 2008/09-2012/13
99
Figure 5-43: Annual Water Balance for Urawa with spatially transferred parameters
Figure 5-44: FDC (Sorted) for Urawa with spatially transferred parameters 101
Figure 5-45: FDC (Unsorted) for Urawa with spatially transferred parameters 101
Figure 5-46: Flow Hydrograph of Pitabeddara in spatial transferability - 2008/09-
2012/13

Figure 5-47: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara with spatially transferred
parameters
Figure 5-48: FDC (Sorted) for Pitabeddara with spatially transferred parameters 105
Figure 5-49: FDC (Unsorted) for Pitabeddara with spatially transferred parameters
Figure A - 1: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2008/2009139
Figure A - 2: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2009/2010 140
Figure A - 3: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2010/2011 141
Figure A - 4: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2011/2012 142
Figure A - 5: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2012/2013 143
Figure A - 6: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2013/2014 144
Figure A - 7: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2014/2015 145
Figure A - 8: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2015/2016 146
Figure A - 9: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2016/2017 147
Figure A - 10: Streamflow at Pitabeddara vs Rainfall in water year 2017/2018 148
Figure A - 11: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2008/2009 149
Figure A - 12: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2009/2010 150
Figure A - 13: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2010/2011 151
Figure A - 14: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2011/2012 152
Figure A - 15: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2012/2013 153
Figure A - 16: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2013/2014 154
Figure A - 17: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2014/2015 155
Figure A - 18: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2015/2016
Figure A - 19: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2016/2017 157
Figure A - 20: Streamflow at Urawa vs Rainfall in water year 2017/2018 158
Figure B - 1: Double Mass Curves for Rainfall Stations
Figure C - 1: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Urawa catchment - Calibration
period
Figure C. 2. Cootton plot with a suith and flow of the control of
Figure C - 2: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Urawa catchment - Validation
period
righte C 5: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment - Validation period 164

Figure C - 4: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment - Calibration period
Figure C - 5: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment - Validation period
Figure C - 6: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Pitabeddara catchment -
Calibration period
Figure C - 7: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Pitabeddara catchment -
Validation period
Figure C - 8: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters
Figure C - 9: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Urawa catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure C - 10: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure C - 11: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure C - 12: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Figure C - 13: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Figure C - 14: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Figure C - 15: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Pitabeddara catchment with
temporally transferred parameters
Figure C - 16: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatially
transferred parameters
Figure C - 17: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Urawa catchment with spatially
transferred parameters
Figure C - 18: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with spatially
transferred parameters
Figure C - 19: Scatter plot with monthly avg. flow of Pitabeddara catchment with
spatially transferred parameters

Figure D- 1: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Urawa catchment - Calibration
period
Figure D- 2: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment – Calibration period178
Figure D- 3: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Urawa catchment – Validation period
Figure D- 4: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment – Validation period 178
Figure D- 5: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment – Calibration period
Figure D- 6: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Pitabeddara catchment – Calibration
period
Figure D- 7: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment – Validation period
Figure D- 8: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Pitabeddara catchment – Validation
period
Figure D- 9: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters
Figure D- 10: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Urawa catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure D- 11:Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure D- 12: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Figure D- 13: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters 178
Figure D- 14: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Figure D- 15: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Figure D- 16: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Pitabeddara catchment with
temporally transferred parameters
Figure D- 17: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatially
transferred parameters

Figure D- 18: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Urawa catchment	with spatially
transferred parameters	178
Figure D- 19: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment	with spatially
transferred parameters	178
Figure D- 20: Scatter plot with seasonal flow of Pitabeddara catchment	with spatially
transferred parameters	178

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Summary of Regionalization Methods
Table 2-2: Qualitative scale of criteria for model comparison
Table 2-3: Comparison of hydrologic models
Table 2-4: Defined ranges for Tank model parameters
Table 4-1: Data Sources and Resolutions
Table 4-2: Locations of the Gauging Stations
Table 4-3: Densities of Gauging Stations in Two Catchments
Table 4-4: Thiessen Weights of Rainfall Gauging Stations
Table 4-5: Annual Water Balance at Pitabeddara
Table 4-6: Annual Water Balance at Urawa
Table 4-7: Monthly Averaged Rainfall of Five Stations
Table 5-1 Assumed Values of Model Parameters and Storages for Sample Calculation
56
Table 5-2: The behavior of soil moisture content in each tank of the model 58
Table 5-3: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Urawa – Calibration
Table 5-4: Annual Water Balance for Urawa - Calibration Period
Table 5-5: Measure of goodness of fit of the model for Pitabeddara - Calibration 66
Table 5-6: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara - Calibration Period
Table 5-7: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Urawa – Validation 69
Table 5-8: Annual Water Balance for Urawa - Validation Period71
Table 5-9: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Pitabeddara – Validation73
Table 5-10: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara - Validation Period73
Table 5-11: Optimized Tank Model Parameters for both catchments
Table 5-12: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Urawa with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters
Table 5-13: Annual Water Balance for Urawa with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters
Table 5-14: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters 83

Table 5-15: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara with spatiotemporally transferred
parameters
Table 5-16: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Urawa in temporal transferability
88
Table 5-17: Annual Water Balance for Urawa with Temporally transferred parameters
91
Table 5-18: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Pitabeddara in temporal
transferability
Table 5-19: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara with Temporally transferred
parameters96
Table 5-20: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Urawa with spatially transferred
parameters
Table 5-21: Annual Water Balance for Urawa with spatially transferred parameters
Table 5-22: Measure of goodness of fit of model for Pitabeddara with spatially
transferred parameters
Table 5-23: Annual Water Balance for Pitabeddara with spatially transferred
parameters
Table 6-1: Correlation between rainfall data and streamflow data
Table 6-2: Comparison of annual MRAE values for both catchments' models on
calibration period
Table 6-3: Comparison of annual MRAE values for both catchments' models on
validation period
Table 6-4: Comparison of avg. annual runoff coefficient for both catchments 113
Table 6-5: Seasonal comparison of streamflow of both catchments
Table 6-6: Summary of model performance under optimized parameters
Table B - 1: Cumulative Rainfall of each station for Double Mass Curves160
Table C - 1: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment in model calibration &
validation163
Table C - 2: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment in calibration &
validation 165

Table C - 3: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters
Table C - 4: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Table C - 5: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Table C - 6: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Table C - 7: Monthly flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatially transferred
parameters
Table C - 8: Monthly flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with spatially
transferred parameters
Table D- 1: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment – Calibration period178
$Table\ D\hbox{-}\ 2\hbox{:}\ Seasonal\ flow\ comparison\ for\ Urawa\ catchment-Validation\ period178$
Table D- 3: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment – Calibration $178$
$Table\ D\hbox{-}\ 4\hbox{:}\ Seasonal\ flow\ comparison\ for\ Pitabeddara\ catchment-Validation\\ 178$
Table D- 5: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatiotemporally
transferred parameters
Table D- 6: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with
spatiotemporally transferred parameters
Table D- 7: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Table D- 8: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with temporally
transferred parameters
Table D- 9: Seasonal flow comparison for Urawa catchment with spatially transferred
parameters
Table D- 10: Seasonal flow comparison for Pitabeddara catchment with spatially
transferred parameters

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Avg - Average

AWB - Annual Water Balance

Cal. SF - Calculated Streamflow

Evapo. - Evaporation

FDC - Flow duration curves

MRAE - Mean Ratio of Absolute Error

RF - Rainfall

SF - Streamflow

WMO - World Meteorological Organization