

**EVALUATION OF TANK MODEL PARAMETERS FOR  
SPATIAL TRANSFERABILITY WITHIN KALU RIVER  
BASIN OF SRI LANKA**

Syed Mustafa Hedait

(189239K)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka

September 2019

**EVALUATION OF TANK MODEL PARAMETERS FOR  
SPATIAL TRANSFERABILITY WITHIN KALU RIVER  
BASIN OF SRI LANKA**

Syed Mustafa Hedait

(189239K)

Supervised by  
Professor N.T.S Wijesekera

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of  
Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for  
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka

September 2019

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that, this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement of any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or Institute of higher learning to the best of my knowledge and belief. It does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, as whole or a part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

***UOM Verified Signature***

.....  
Syed Mustafa Hedait

2019.09.17  
.....  
Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

***UOM Verified Signature***

.....  
Professor N.T.S. Wijsekera

2019.09.25  
.....  
Date



# EVALUATION OF TANK MODEL PARAMETERS FOR SPATIAL TRANSFERABILITY WITHIN KALU RIVER BASIN OF SRI LANKA

## ABSTRACT

Increasing population, varying climatic condition, and water crisis necessitate water resource planning and development. Incorporating the subwatershed management approach leads to viable options when making decisions on water resources planning and development. Meanwhile, the approach needs streamflow management by incorporating spatial variability of characteristics which requires a hydrologic model and estimation of parameters with gauged data. However, due to non-availability of gauged streamflow at the subwatershed level hinders the estimation of model parameters for each subwatershed. Therefore, it's necessary to identify a suitable lumped model and evaluate the transferability of model parameters for streamflow estimation, which could provide important insights to support the planning and development of watershed management in a distributed manner.

In this study, first, an extensive review was conducted on lumped conceptual hydrologic models to support and facilitate the information for choosing a suitable lumped model for the study. Secondly, the model calibrated and validated using eight years of daily input data from 2009/2010 to 2016/2017, using a semi-automatic calibration method for Ellagawa main and Ratnapura subwatershed of Ellagawa. Hereafter, the calibrated and validated parameters for both watersheds were compared to find out the variation and similarities of parameters. Then, the calibrated parameters for Ellagawa main watershed transferred to Ratnapura and from Ratnapura to Ellagawa watershed, and the applicability of the parameters transfer evaluated in the main and subwatershed for streamflow estimation.

Attribute ranks, of the assessment, revealed that the Tank model with having 4.2 scores got high ranks in the shortlisted 4 models. The model successfully calibrated with the MRAE values of 0.450 for Ellagawa and 0.415 for Ratnapura watershed. The calibrated parameters verified with the MRAE values of 0.452 and 0.361 for Ellagawa and Ratnapura watershed respectively. When the calibrated parameters for Ellagawa transferred to Ratnapura and from Ratnapura to Ellagawa for the data period from 2009/2010 to 2016/2017 which was same for both watersheds, the transferred parameters to Ratnapura simulated the overall streamflow of the watershed with significant MRAE value of 0.445, while transferred parameters of Ratnapura to Ellagawa, showed a decline in the model performance with the MRAE value of 0.551.

Findings of the study revealed that the Tank model is the right lumped conceptual model for the transferability of parameters across the scale in a watershed. In the first case of parameter transfer of the model from main to subwatershed the transferred parameters estimated the subwatershed response with a high level of accuracy. Similarly, the calibrated parameters of the subwatershed estimated the behavior of the main watershed satisfactorily, while, compared to the first case the model showed a significant decline in the performance. This indicates the applicability of a calibrated lumped model of the main or subwatershed to other ungauged sub or main watershed for streamflow estimation to achieve the objective of subwatershed management and accurately make the decisions on water resources planning and management.

**Keywords:** Subwatershed Management, Parameter Transferability, Lumped Modeling

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Professor N.T.S Wijesekera for the continuous support of my study, for his patience, motivation, and immense knowledge. Without his dedicated supervision and continued guidance, this thesis would not be in success. I am grateful to him for spending his valuable time in materializing this research work in time. He consistently allowed this research to be my own work but steered me in the right direction whenever he thought I needed it.

I will never hesitate to convey my thanks to the course coordinator Dr. R.L.H Rajapakse by extending all necessary help. He was kind enough to provide help and support with his busy schedule. His sincere and consistent encouragement is greatly appreciated.

I am grateful to Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe whole looked after day to day needs during the research period, would thank him for his help and support all the way during this research work.

My thanking list also includes the late Shri Madanjeet Singh and the University of Moratuwa for furnishing this outstanding opportunity to study towards a Master's Degree of Water Resource Engineering and Management, at UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

## CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	i
ABSTRACT .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
LIST OF TABLES .....	xi
1 INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Overview .....	1
1.2 Problem Statement .....	6
1.3 Objective of the Study .....	6
1.3.1 Overall objective .....	6
1.3.2 Specific objective .....	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW .....	7
2.1 General .....	7
2.2 Watershed Management .....	8
2.3 Watershed Models .....	9
2.4 Status of Lumped Models for Watershed Management .....	11
2.5 Transferability of Model Parameters .....	12
2.6 Selection of Model .....	14
2.6.1 Description of shortlisted models .....	15
2.6.2 Lumped hydrologic models.....	15
2.6.3 IHACRES model.....	16
2.6.4 Snow Melt-Runoff Model .....	16
2.6.5 WATBAL model.....	17
2.6.6 Tank model.....	18
2.6.7 Comparison of selected models .....	19
2.6.8 Evaluation of the Criteria for Model Selection .....	26
2.7 Objective Functions.....	28
2.7.1 The Nash-Sutcliff Efficiency .....	29
2.7.2 The Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) .....	30
2.7.3 The Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) .....	31
2.7.4 The Root Mean Square Error (RMSE).....	31

2.7.5	Identification of criteria for the selection of objective function .....	32
2.7.6	Evaluation of criteria for objective functions.....	33
2.8	Calibration and Verification of Hydrologic Models .....	33
2.9	Warm-up Period .....	34
2.10	Selection of Tank Model Structure and Parameters .....	35
2.11	Optimization of the Tank Model Parameters .....	37
2.12	Selection of Suitable Method for Estimation of Areal Average Rainfall....	38
2.13	Identification of Low, Medium, and High Flow Regions .....	40
2.14	Filling in the Missing Data.....	41
3	METHODOLOGY .....	43
4	DATA AND DATA SCREENING .....	46
4.1	Data Checking Methods .....	46
4.1.1	Exploratory data analysis .....	46
4.1.2	Statistical methods for data screening.....	46
4.2	Study Area.....	47
4.3	Data Summary .....	49
4.4	Visual Data Checking.....	49
4.4.1	Rainfall data .....	50
4.4.2	Streamflow data .....	51
4.4.3	Evaporation data.....	51
4.4.4	Daily data screening.....	51
4.4.5	Monthly and annual data screening.....	56
4.5	Annual Water Balance.....	58
4.5.1	Comparison of the runoff coefficient and evaporation at Ellagawa ....	59
4.5.2	Comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow of Ellagawa.....	60
4.5.3	Comparison of the runoff coefficient and evaporation at Ratnapura...	61
4.5.4	Comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow of Ratnapura .....	61
4.6	Seasonal streamflow response to rainfall .....	62
4.7	Test of data for the absence of the trend .....	64
4.8	Test of Data for Consistency and Homogeneity.....	65
5	ANALYSIS.....	66
5.1	Estimation of Areal Average Rainfall .....	66

5.2	Classification of Streamflow .....	66
5.3	Comparison of Watersheds Properties .....	69
5.3.1	Comparison of elevation .....	69
5.3.2	Comparison of slope .....	70
5.3.3	Comparison of soil types.....	71
5.3.4	Comparison of land use.....	72
5.4	Model Structure and Parameters .....	74
5.5	Optimization of Tank Model Parameters .....	76
5.5.1	Warm-up period of the model for Ellagawa watershed .....	76
5.5.2	Calibration of the model for Ellagawa watershed.....	77
5.5.3	Verification of the model for Ellagawa watershed .....	80
5.5.4	Warm-Up Period of Model for Ratnapura Watershed .....	83
5.5.5	Calibration of the model for Ratnapura watershed .....	84
5.5.6	Verification of the model for Ratnapura watershed.....	87
5.6	Transfer of Model Parameters .....	90
5.6.1	Transfer of model Parameters from Ellagawa to Ratnaupura.....	90
5.6.2	Transfer of model parameters from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .....	94
6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	99
6.1	Model Modification.....	99
6.2	Identification and Comparison of the Initial Condition of the Model.....	99
6.3	Identification and Comparison of the Streamflow Thresholds.....	100
6.4	Parameters Optimization and Evaluation Criteria of the Tank Model .....	101
6.5	Identification of Suitable Option for Parameter Transferability .....	102
6.6	Comparison of the Parameters for Ellagawa and Ratnapura Watersheds .	105
6.6.1	Comparison of the parameters controlling surface and subsurface flows	105
6.6.2	Comparison of the Parameters Controlling Intermediate Flow .....	107
6.6.3	Comparison of the parameters controlling sub-base and base Flow ..	107
6.6.4	Comparison of the Parameters Controlling Infiltration .....	108
6.7	Comparison of the Water Balance Errors for Parameters Transfer.....	108
6.7.1	Transfer of optimized parameters from Ellagawa to Ratnapura .....	108
6.7.2	Transfer of optimized parameters from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .....	110
6.8	Comparison of the Runoff Coefficient for Parameters Transfer .....	112



6.8.1	Transfer of Optimized Parameters from Ellagawa to Ratnapura.....	112
6.8.2	Transfer of optimized parameters from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .....	113
6.9	Comparison of the Model Performance Considering the flow thresholds	114
6.9.1	Transfer of Optimized Parameters from Ellgawa to Ratnapura.....	114
6.9.2	Transfer of optimized parameters from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .....	115
7	CONCLUSIONS .....	116
8	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	117
	REFERENCES.....	118
	ANNEX A – Data .....	127
	ANNEX B – Data Checking .....	131
	ANNEX C – Methodology .....	152
	ANNEX D – Analysis.....	154

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Map of Kalu Ganga river basin with Ellagawa watershed .....	4
Figure 1-2 Map of Kalu Ganga river basin with Ratnapura watershed .....	5
Figure 3-1 Methodology flow chart .....	43
Figure 4-1 Map of Kalu Ganga river basin with Ellagawa and Ratnpura watershed	48
Figure 4-2 Ellagawa streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall (Calibration period)...	52
Figure 4-3 Ellagawa streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall (Verification period)..	53
Figure 4-4 Ratnapura streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall (Calibration period) .	54
Figure 4-5 Ratnapura streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall (Verification period)	55
Figure 4-6 Variation of the monthly average rainfall of stations.....	56
Figure 4-7 Annual rainfall variation at Ellagawa and Ratnapura watershed .....	57
Figure 4-8 Annual water Balance of Ellagawa watershed .....	58
Figure 4-9 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed .....	59
Figure 4-10 Comparison of annual evaporation and runoff coefficient at Ellagawa.	60
Figure 4-11 Comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow at Ellagawa .....	60
Figure 4-12 Comparison of annual evaporation and runoff coefficient at Ratnapura	61
Figure 4-13 Comparison of the annual rainfall and streamflow at Ratnapura.....	62
Figure 4-14 Maha season streamflow response to rainfall at Ellagawa.....	63
Figure 4-15 Yala season streamflow response to rainfall at Ellagawa .....	63
Figure 4-16 Maha streamflow response to rainfall at Ratnapura.....	64
Figure 4-17 Yala streamflow response to rainfall at Ratnapura .....	64
Figure 5-1 Identification of streamflow thresholds of Ellagawa watershed .....	67
Figure 5-2 Identification of streamflow thresholds of Ratnapura watershed.....	68
Figure 5-3 Elevation maps of Ellagawa and Ratnaura watersheds .....	70
Figure 5-4 Slope maps of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds .....	71
Figure 5-5 Soil maps of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds .....	72
Figure 5-6 Land maps of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds .....	73
Figure 5-7 Schematic diagram of standard Tank model .....	74
Figure 5-8 The behavior of soil moisture content at Ellagawa watershed.....	76
Figure 5-9 Model performance during calibration for Ellagawa watershed .....	77
Figure 5-10 Annual water balance of Ellgawa watershed for the calibration period.	78

Figure 5-11 Hydrograph match for the calibration period of Ellagaw watershed .....	79
Figure 5-12 Flow duration curve match for the calibration period of Ellagawa.....	80
Figure 5-13 Annual water balance of Ellgawa watershed for the verification period	81
Figure 5-14 Hydrograph match for the verification period of Ellagaw watershed ....	82
Figure 5-15 Flow duration curve match for the verification period of Ellagawa .....	83
Figure 5-16 Behaviour of soil moisture content at Ratnapura watershed .....	83
Figure 5-17 Model performance for the calibration period of Ratnapura watershed	84
Figure 5-18 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed for the calibration period	85
Figure 5-19 Hydrograph match for the calibration period of Ratnapura watershed ..	86
Figure 5-20 Flow duration curve match for the calibration period of Ratnapura .....	87
Figure 5-21 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed for the verification period	88
Figure 5-22 Hydrograph match for the verification period of Ratnapura watershed.	89
Figure 5-23 Flow duration curve match for the verification period of Ratnapura.....	90
Figure 5-24 Annual water balance error for parameter transfer to Ratnapura.....	91
Figure 5-25 Hydrographs match for parameter transfer from Ellagawa to Ratnapura .	92
Figure 5-26 Hydrographs match for parameter transfer from Ellagawa to Ratnapura .	93
Figure 5-27 Flow duration curve matching for parameter transfer to Ratnapura .....	94
Figure 5-28 Annual water balance when parameter transfer to Ellagawa watershed	95
Figure 5-29 Hydrographs match for parameter transfer from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .	96
Figure 5-30 Hydrographs match for parameter transfer from Ratnapura to Ellagawa .	97
Figure 5-31 Flow duration curve matching for parameter transfer to Ellagawa.....	98
Figure 6-1 Comparison of water balance errors for parameter transfer to Ratnapura	110
Figure 6-2 Comparison of water balance errors for parameter transfer Ellagawa...	111
Figure 6-3 Comparison of runoff coefficients for parameter transfer to Ratnapura	113
Figure 6-4 Comparison of runoff coefficient for parameter transfer to Ellagawa ...	114
Figure B- 1 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Halutra rainfall.....	132
Figure B- 2 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Halutra rainfall.....	133
Figure B-3 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Ratnapura rainfall .	134
Figure B-4 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa Streamflow response to Ratnapura rainfall	135
Figure B-5 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa Streamflow response to Alupola rainfall ....	136
Figure B-6 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Alupola rainfall.....	137
Figure B-7 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Landsdown rainfall	138

Figure B-8 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Landsdown rainfall	139
Figure B-9 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Wellandura rainfall	140
Figure B-10 Semi-log plot of Ellagawa streamflow response to Wellandura rainfall	141
Figure B-11 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Ratnapura rainfall	142
Figure B-12 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Ratnapura rainfall	143
Figure B-13 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Alupola rainfall	144
Figure B-14 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Landsdown rainfall	145
Figure B-15 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Landsdown rainfall	146
Figure B-16 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Wellandura rainfall	147
Figure B-17 Semi-log plot of Ratnapura streamflow response to Wellandura rainfall	148
Figure B-18 Ellagawa monthly streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall .....	149
Figure B-19 Ellagaw monthly streamflow response to Thiessen rainfall .....	150
Figure B-20 Double mass curve for rainfall data – Ellagawa watershed.....	151
Figure C-1 Detailed methodology flowchart .....	153
Figure D-1 Soil moisture behaviour of Tank model in calibration period- Ellagawa..	155
Figure D-2 Soil moisture behaviour of Tank model in calibration period- Ratnapura	156
Figure D-3 Runoff components from Tank model in calibration period- Ellagawa	157
Figure D-4 Runoff components from Tank model in calibration period-Ratnapura	158
Figure D-5 Monthly hydrograph matching for calibration period of Ellagawa.....	159
Figure D-6 Monthly hydrograph matching for verification period of Ellagawa .....	159
Figure D-7 Monthly hydrograph matching for calibration period of Ratnapura .....	159
Figure D-8 Monthly hydrograph matching for verification period of Ratnapura....	159
Figure D-9 Monthly hydrograph matching for parameter transfer to Ratnapura.....	160
Figure D-10 Monthly hydrograph matching for parameter transfer to Ellagawa ....	160

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 Qualitative Scale of Criteria for Evaluation .....	24
Table 2-2 Comparison of selected lumped models .....	26
Table 2-3 Changing the qualitative weightage to quantitative.....	27
Table 2-4 Attribute ranks of objective functions .....	33
Table 4-1 Distribution of gauging stations of Ellagawa watershed .....	47
Table 4-2 Distribution of gauging stations of Ratnapura watershed.....	47
Table 4-3 Data summary of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watershed .....	49
Table 4-4 Rainfall station details of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds .....	50
Table 4-5 Missing days in rainfall data of Ellagawa and Ratnapura .....	50
Table 4-6 Streamflow Gauging Stations Details.....	51
Table 4-7 Evaporation station details.....	51
Table 4-8 Comparison of the monthly average rainfall of stations.....	56
Table 4-9 Comparison of the annual average rainfall of stations .....	57
Table 4-10 Annual water balance of Ellagawa watershed .....	58
Table 4-11 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed .....	59
Table 4-12 Seasonal streamflow response to rainfall at Ellagawa.....	62
Table 4-13 Seasonal streamflow response to rainfall at Ratnapura .....	63
Table 5-1 Thiessen areas and weightage of rainfall stations at Ellagawa.....	66
Table 5-2 Thiessen areas and weightage of rainfall stations at Ratnapura .....	66
Table 5-3 Identification of streamflow thresholds of Ellagawa watershed.....	67
Table 5-4 Identification of streamflow thresholds of Ratnapura watershed .....	68
Table 5-5 Comparison of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds properties.....	69
Table 5-6 Soil Comparison of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watershed.....	72
Table 5-7 Model performance indicators .....	77
Table 5-8 Annual water balance of Ellgawa watershed for the calibration period...	78
Table 5-9 Model performance during verification for Ellagawa watershed .....	80
Table 5-10 Annual water balance of Ellgawa watershed for the verification period	81
Table 5-11 Model performance indicators .....	84
Table 5-12 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed for the calibration period.....	85
Table 5-13 Model performance during verification for Ratnapura watershed .....	87

Table 5-14 Annual water balance of Ratnapura watershed for the verification period ..	88
Table 5-15 Model performance for parameters transfer from Ellagawa to Ratnapura..	90
Table 5-16 Annual water balance error for parameter transfer to Ratnapura .....	91
Table 5-17 Model performance for parameters transfer from Ellagawa to Ratnapura...	94
Table 6-1 Comparison of Initial soil moisture of Ellagawa and Ratnapura watersheds	100
Table 6-2 Identification and comparison of streamflow thresholds .....	101
Table 6-3 Strength and limitations of the options for parameter transferability.....	104
Table 6-4 Comparison of parameters controlling surface and subsurface flow.....	106
Table 6-5 Comparison of parameters controlling the intermediate flow .....	107
Table 6-6 Comparison of parameters controlling the sub base and base flow.....	107
Table 6-7 Comparison of parameters controlling the process of infiltration .....	108
Table 6-8 Comparison of water balance errors for parameter transfer to Ratnapura...	109
Table 6-9 Comparison of annual water balance errors transfer to Ellagawa .....	111
Table 6-10 Comparison of runoff coefficients for parameter transfer to Ratnapura	112
Table 6-11 Comparison of runoff coefficient for parameter transfer to Ellagawa ..	113
Table 6-12 Comparison of model performance considering flow threshold .....	115
Table 6-13 Comparison of model performance considering flow threshold .....	115
Table A-1 Thiessen average rainfall data – Ellagawa watershed .....	128
Table A-2 Streamflow data – Ellagawa watershed .....	128
Table A-3 Thiessen average rainfall Data – Ratnapura watershed .....	129
Table A-4 Streamflow data – Ratnapura watershed .....	129
Table A-5 Pan evaporation data – Ratnapura watershed .....	130
Table D-1 Monthly output of the model for the calibration period of Ellagawa.....	161
Table D-2 Monthly output of the model for the verification period of Ellagawa....	162
Table D-3 Monthly output of the model for the calibration period of Ratnapura...	163
Table D-4 Monthly output of the model for the verification period of Ratnapura .	165
Table D-5 Monthly model output for parameter transfer to Ratnapura watershed..	166
Table D-6 Monthly model output for parameter transfer to Ellagawa watershed ..	169