LB/DON/182/10

STUDY OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF EARTHING IN ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS

A dissertation submitted to the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

University of Moratuwa, Statuta University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations

> Supervised by: Dr. J. P. Karunadasa & Dr. Narendra De Silva

> > University of Moratuwa

Department of Electrical Engineering University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka 621.3 "10" 621.3(043) TH

94853

January 2010

LIBRARY SPECIAL

94853

DECLARATION

The work submitted in this dissertation is the result of my own investigation, except where otherwise stated.

It has not already been accepted for any degree, and is also not being concurrently submitted for any other degree.

UOM Verified Signature ersity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

J. J. Jayasinghe Www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

We/I endorse the declaration by the candidate.

UOM Verified Signature

Dr. J. P. Karunadasa

~

UOM Verified Signature Dr. Narendra De Silva



i

Contents

| Declaration | i ii |
|---|-------------|
| Contents | iv |
| Abstract | IV V |
| Acknowledgement List of Figures | vi |
| List of Tables | vii |
| Chapters | |
| - | |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Motivation | 3 3 3 |
| 1.3Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 Scope of Work | 3 |
| 2. Review of Current Practice of Earthing | 4 |
| 2.1 Substation earthing | 4 |
| 2.1.1 Design parameters | 4 |
| 2.1.2 Design inputs | 6 9 |
| 2.1.3 Assumptions | 9 |
| 2.1.4 Design 2.1.5 Calculation of reduction factor Discontations of Physics of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. | 11 |
| 2.1.6 Tolerable limits of body currencises & Dissertations | 13 |
| 2.1.7 Tolerable touch and step potentials k | 13 |
| 2.1.8 Design calculations | 15 |
| 2.1.9 Design review | 20 |
| 2.1.10 Summary of Results | 22 |
| | |
| 2.2 Earthing of Industrial and Commercial Power Systems | 27 |
| 2.2.1 Methods of system neutral grounding | 27 |
| 2.2.1.1 Ungrounded system | 27 |
| 2.2.1.2 Resistance grounding | 27 30 |
| 2.2.1.3 Reactance grounding 2.2.1.4 Resonant grounding (ground-fault neutralizer) | 30 30 |
| 2.2.1.4 Resonant grounding (ground-fault neutralizer) 2.2.1.5 Solid grounding | 30 |
| 2.2.2 Grounding of multiple power sources | 31 |
| 2.2.2 Grounding of multiple power sources | |
| 2.3 Equipment earthing | 33 |
| 2.3.1 The requirement for equipment earthing | 33 |
| 2.3.2 Basic objectives of equipment earthing | 33 |
| 2.3.3 Electric shock exposure | 33 |
| 2.3.4 Thermal capability | 34 |

Ø

ii

| 2.3.5 Overcurrent protection operation | 34 | |
|--|------------|--|
| 2.3.6 Equipment grounding as influenced by type of use | 35 | |
| 2.3.6.1 Outdoor open frame substations | 36 | |
| 2.3.6.2 Unit substations | 37 | |
| 2.3.6.3 Installations serving heavy portable electric machinery | 37 | |
| 2.3.6.4 Interior wiring systems | . 37 | |
| 2.3.7 Thermal withstand | 38 | |
| 2.3.8 Insulation damage | 38 | |
| 2.3.9 Automatic interrupting devices | 39 | |
| 2.4 Earth termination for lightning protection | 40 | |
| 2.4.1 Air terminals | 41 | |
| 2.4.2 Downconductors | 42 | |
| 2.4.3 Test links | 43 | |
| 2.4.4 Earthing resistance | 44 | |
| 2.4.5 Factors influencing earth impedance | 45 | |
| 2.4.6 Measures for reducing earth impedance | 45 | |
| 2.4.7 Resistivity of soil | 46 | |
| 2.4.8 Artificial reduction of soil resistivity | 48 | |
| 3. Comparative Evaluation of Different Earthing Methods | 49 | |
| 3.1 Substation and power plant earthing 3.2 Factory earthing | 50 | |
| 3.2 Factory earthing | 50 | |
| 3.3 Distribution equipment earthingheses & Dissertations | 51 | |
| 3.4 Domestic earthing www.lib.mrt.ac.lk | 51 | |
| 3.5 Lightning sinks | 51 | |
| 3.6 General earthing comparison | 52 | |
| 3.7 Comparison of earthing methods | 55 | |
| 3.8 System neutral and grounding transformers | 57 | |
| 4. Development of a General Methodology to find Least Cost Earthing Method | 60 | |
| 4.1 Methodology | 61 | |
| 4.1.1 Single earth rod or multiple earth rods | 62 | |
| 4.1.2 Earth improvement method | 63 | |
| 4.1.3 Earth rod with wire mesh and embedded into the concrete | <u>`65</u> | |
| 5. Conclusion | 71 | |
| References | | |
| | | |

iii

Abstract

Earthing of electrical installations is a complicated task upto some extent. It requires to follow necessary guide lines to achieve the most correct results. But in Sri Lanka, we don't have particular standards to follow when earthing circuit is designed. According to the assets to be protective from the required earthing circuit, it is designed and implemented. Large assets like power plants, substations and large factories etc. are protected by a well designed earthing network. Most probably this design includes, a reputed contractor who is using necessary software makes calculations etc. and a design is submitted. This submission is then reviewed by a consultant. When the implementation stage it is well supervised and finally it is tested at the commissioning of the said asset.

When it comes to the domestic earthing, the engineer or technician uses his own experience to make the electrical system earthed. At this level most probably no calculations are done, no commissioning tests are done but the system is earthed to achieve a some earth resistance. This achievement is somewhat acceptable when the assets to be protected is considered.

But when we take medium scale assets like distribution substations, auto re-closures etc., there are no common accepted standards for designing and implementation of earthing systems. Even in the Ceylon Electricity Board and LECO, there is no proper set of guide lines to be followed when it is going to design and implementation an earthing circuit for the same. Therefore the technology being used for implementation of earthing circuit is not related with the assets to be protective when these medium scale assets are considered. The purpose of this project is to develop a protocol which can be acceptable in making these earthing of medium scale assets.



iv

Acknowledgement

My heartfelt thanks are due first to my supervisors, Dr. J. P. Karunadasa, Head of the Department, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa and to Dr. Narendra de Silva, Head of Engineering, Lanka Electricity Company (Pvt) Ltd., for their great insights, perspectives, guidance and sense of humor extended to me during this period of making this important task a success. Further my sincere thanks go to the other academic staff of the Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Moratuwa including Prof. J.R. Lucas who gave numerous support in succeeding my MSc course..

My sincere gratitude is very much extended to all the officers (including the minor staff) in Department of Electrical Engineering office including Mrs. Nayanie, for their kindful cooperation rendered to us throughout the our MSc Course period.

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Also I would like to thank my wife and daughter who always encouraged me to carryout this task while bearing my absence for their activities.

Lastly, I should thank many individuals, friends and colleagues who have not been mentioned here personally in making this educational process a success. May be I could not have made it without your supports.

v

List of Figures

Page Figure 2.1.1 Sketch to show Step & Touch voltages 5 7 2.1.2 Graphical representation of soil resistivity of Main Grid (Data set 01) 2.1.3 Graphical representation of soil resistivity of Main Grid (Data set 02) 7 2.1.4 Graphical representation of soil resistivity of Main Grid (Data set 03) 8 8 2.1.5 Graphical representation of soil resistivity of Main Grid (Data set 04) 2.1.6 Layout diagram of the Horana grid substation 24 2.1.7 Component layout diagram of the Horana grid substation 25 2.1.8 Single line circuit diagram of the Horana grid substation 26 2.2.1 High resistance grounding 28 2.2.2 Low resistance grounding 29 2.2.3 Resonant grounding University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 30 2.2.4 Grounding for systems with multiple power sources (Solid grounding) 32 2.2.4 Grounding for systems with multiple power sources (Impedance grounding) 32 3.1 Graph of the Protective assets Vs Technology 55 3.2 Circuit configuration of zig-zag grounding transformer 58 3.3 Circuit configuration of Y-delta grounding transformer 58 4.1 Earth improvement method 63 4.2 Graph of Cost Vs Resistivity in different earthing topologies (Up to the earth resistivity of 65 Ω m) 69 4.3 Graph of Cost Vs Resistivity in different earthing topologies (From 50 Ω m to 440 Ω m) 70

vi

List of Tables

| Table | e | Page |
|-------|---|-------------------|
| 2.1.1 | Material Constants | 10 |
| 2.1.2 | Typical surface material resistivities | 12 |
| 2.1.3 | Typical values of decrement factor (Df) for the entire duration of factor | ault (tf) in sec. |
| | | 18 |
| 2.1.4 | Summary of Results | 22 |
| | | |
| | | |

| 2.3.1 | Variation of R and X with conductor size and spacing | 35 |
|-------|--|----|
|-------|--|----|

| 2.4.1 Guide to maximum height for unsupported vertical air terminals comprising Copper | | |
|--|----|--|
| Rods (O) Electronic Theses & Dissertations | 41 | |
| 2.4.2 Typical section dimensions of main current carrying components | 43 | |
| 2.4.3 Resistivity of various materials | 47 | |
| 2.4.4 Variation of soil resistivity with moisture content | 47 | |
| 2.4.5 Variation of soil resistivity with temperature | 48 | |
| 3.1 Comparison between different neutral grounding methods of systems | 59 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for single rod | 66 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for two rods | 66 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for three rods | 66 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for four rods | 67 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for earth improvement | 67 | |
| 4.1 Cost and the corresponding earth resistivity for concreted rod | 68 | |



vii