LB /DON / 3/16

A STUDY ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND APPLICATION OF QFD FOR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN RESIDENTIAL APARTMENTS



K.G.A. SAMARAJEEWA

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

SUPERVISED BY
DR. N.D. GUNAWARDENA

624°06" 624°043)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA.

University of Moratuwa

85977

JANUARY 2006

85977

85977

DEDICATION



To My Parents

DECLARATION

This thesis is a report on the research work carried out in the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, During July 2003 to September 2004. This submission is original and does not have any materials previously published or written by any others anywhere, except where citing is made.

K.G. A. Samarajeewa

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

A. Samarajsen

NG-06 35/0106



ACKNOLEDGEMENT

This great feat of completing the thesis would not have been a success if not for the guidance, encouragement and assistance of many individuals I came across during my research work, I would like to take this great opportunity to thank them for their support.

A special thank is due for the Vice Chancellor, Dean Faculty of Engineering, Director Postgraduate studies, and the Chairman and the members of the Senate Research Committee of the University of Moratuwa for providing me a placement, and the Asian Development Bank for entitling me for a scholarship to undertake this research study. I also wish to thank Head, Department of Civil Engineering, who provided me with all the necessary resources and supports for successfully carrying out the study.

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. N.D. Gunawardena for the unparalleled interest he has taken in encouraging and guiding me with valuable advice in completing this thesis. I am grateful to the departmental research coordinator and the examination panel for their continuous assessment and advices.

I wish to deliver my sincere thanks to, Industrial professionals for their cooperation and for always time despite their busy schedules. Also, special thanks are extended to developers and residents who supported me to fill the questionnaires.

In addition, I would like to extend my thanks to the Staff of Construction Engineering and Management Division of Department of Civil Engineering for their support in carrying out this research work.

I owe my appreciation to my parents and family who supported me and backed me up during times of uncertainty and for their advice and guidance. Finally I would like to thank all the other people who assisted me in many ways to make this success.

ABSTRACT

There has been a significant growth in the residential apartment market in Sri Lanka during the last decade. The main reasons behind this upsurge include the growth of population, changes in living patterns and the soaring prices of property particularly in urban areas of the country. Sustainability of this segment of construction depends to a greater extent on the ability of developers to satisfy customer requirements in the long run. At present, most of the residential apartments are designed without the involvement of potential users or customers. When there is a communication gap among the parties, particularly with respect to user requirements, the goal of customer satisfaction and high quality services cannot be achieved. To ensure defects free and user-friendly form of residential apartments, the right blend of design, construction and operation phases is vital.

This study has analyzed the information obtained through questionnaire survey to measure occupants' perception of characteristics of their apartment and its surroundings in terms of both 'importance' and 'quality'. The analysis considered both the private and public sector apartments and its process, critical issues regarding housing and customer attitudes were identified. Further, the results of the study revealed that understanding and determining the real customer requirements are essential for the sustainability of the residential apartment business; to address this issue, Quality Function Deployment (QFD) technique could be used quite successfully and if there is a mechanism to listen to the voice of the customers such as the Post Occupancy Evaluation (POE) surveys presented in this research or any other that enables the involvement of users during the early stages.

Key words: Residential apartments, Quality, Customer satisfaction, Post Occupancy Evaluation, Quality Function Deployment

ABBREVIATIONS

CABE: Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment

CMA : Colombo Metropolitan Area

CCI : Chamber of Construction Industry

HOQ : House of Quality

HOMBSAT : Home Buyer Satisfaction

IQA :Institute of Quality Assurance

NHDA: National Housing Development Authority

POE : Post Occupancy Evaluation

QFD : Quality Function Deployment

TQM :Total Quality Management

SD : Standard Deviation

UDA: Urban Development Authority

VOCs.: Voice of Customers

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

KEY DEFINITIONS

Customer

Customer gives broad meaning. In this study customer represents as end – user or occupier

Developer

The word developers in this work means the company/organization developing the land and plan the development supervise its construction and manage all the business elements of the project. Here, private developers where firms build homes for the private owner occupier market and public developers where NHDA and UDA are going to be considered.

Design Team

The group of professional consultants who work in collaboration to develop the project, particularly in the stages before construction. Includes Architects, Engineers and Quantity Surveyors

Residential Apartments

In this study the appellation 'residential apartments' refers to house-buildings, multi-family housing etc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A 1	•
Abstract	1
Acknowledgement	
Abbreviations Key Definitions	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Background to the Research	
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Research Methodology	4
1.4 Scope and Limitations	5
1.4.1 Study Area	5
1.4.2 Limitations to the Study	5
1.4.2 Limitations to the Study	6
Chapter Two: Customer Satisfaction in Residential Apartments	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Quality Performance in Residential Apartments	8
2.2 Quanty 1 of formation in 1 costantian 1 partitionic	
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems	9
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems	9
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase	9 9
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings	9 9
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase 2.3 Understanding the customer requirements	9 9 11
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase 2.3 Understanding the customer requirements 2.3.1 Evaluation of Buildings	91112
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase 2.3 Understanding the customer requirements 2.3.1 Evaluation of Buildings 2.3.2 Popular Model forIdentify Customer requiremetns:Kano Model	9111213
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase 2.3 Understanding the customer requirements 2.3.1 Evaluation of Buildings 2.3.2 Popular Model forIdentify Customer requiremetns:Kano Model 2.4 Previous studies on Housing Satisfaction	911121314
2.2.1 Evolution of Quality Systems 2.2.2 Quality Concept in Residential Buildings 2.2.3 Importance of Quality at Design Phase 2.3 Understanding the customer requirements 2.3.1 Evaluation of Buildings 2.3.2 Popular Model forIdentify Customer requiremetns:Kano Model 2.4 Previous studies on Housing Satisfaction 2.4.1 Housing Satisfaction Models	91112131415

2.4.5 Towards Customer-Focus in House Building	18
2.5 Summary	18
Chapter Three:Literature Review on Quality Function Deployment	19
3.1 Introduction	19
3.2 Background in Quality Function Deployment	19
3.2.1 What Does It Mean	19
3.2.2 Brief History of QFD	20
3.2.3 Definitions	21
3.2.4. What It Does	22
3.2.5. The Operation of Quality Function Deployment	23
3.2.5.1 Four Phases of QFD Translations	23
3.2.5.2 The House of Quality (HOQ)	24
3.2.6. What are the Benefits of QFD	26
3.3 Application of QFD in Building Design va. Sa. Lanka.	26
3.3.1 Examples of Successful Application of QFD in Building Design	27
3.3.2 Some Implementation Issues	28
3.4 Summary	29
Chapter Four: Analysis and Discussion of Results	30
4.1 Introduction	30
4.2 Questionnaire Survey	30
4.2.1 Questionnaire Design	30
4.2.2 Data Collection	32
4.2.3 Data Analysis	33
4.2.3 Data Analysis 4.2.3.1 Hypothesis Test	34
4.3 The Survey Results	35
4.3.1 Degree of Importance of Characteristics	35
4.3.2 Level of Satisfaction	37
4.3.3 Difference Between Expected Quality and Perceived Quality	39
4.3.4 Comparative Study on Perception of Private and Public Occupants'	41

4.3.4 Comparative Study on Perception of Private and Public Occupants'	. 41
4.3.5 Developers' Perspective on Design of Residential Apartments	. 43
4.3.5.1 Understanding the Customer Requirements	. 43
4.3.5.2 Importance of Scale of Design Characteristics	
4.3.5.3 Quality aspects of Residential Buildings	. 46
4.3.5.4 Customer - Focus Approach	. 48
4.4 Summary	.51
Chapter Five: A Case Study: Application of QFD matrix in Residential	70
Apartments	52
5.1 Introduction	. 52
5.2 QFD to Improve Customer Satisfaction	.52
5.3 Development of House of Quality (HOQ)	. 53
5.3.1 Step One: Identify the most important customer group	53
5.3.2 Step Two: Identification of Voice of Customers	. 53
5.3.3 Step Three : Customer Importance Rating.	54
5.3.4 Step Four :Customer Competitive Assessment	54
5.3.5 Step Five: Identification of Technical Requirements or Quality Elements	55
5.3.6 Step Six : Determine the Relationship Matrix	56
5.3.7 Step Seven : The Correlation Matrix	57
5.3.8 Step Eight: Determine the Target Values	57
5.4 House of Quality Matrix	58
5.5 Discussion on Findings	59
5.6 Summary	61
Chapter Six: Conclusions and Recommendations	62
6.1 Introduction	. 62
6.2 Conclusions	. 62
6.2.1 Conclusions based on Questionaire Survey	. 62
6.2.2 Conclusions based on the Case Study	. 62

6.3 Recommendations for further Research
7.0 References67
Appendix I - Questionnaire Surveys73
a) The Quest for Occupants' View on Quality Aspects of Residential Apartments73
b) The Quest for Developers' View on Design Aspects of Residential Apartments77
Appendix II - Data Analysis82
a) Category List82
b) Degree of Importance - Occupants' Point of View83
c) Level of Satisfaction - Occupants' Point of View84
d) Degree of Importance - Developers' Point of View
Importance and Satisfaction86
f) Comparison Between Private and Public Developers' Perception in terms of
Importance87
Annendix III - Definitions given to the word ' Quality' by Expert People 88

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Quality Management Tools and Techniques	3
Table 2.1: Key Definitions for Kano Model of Customer Requirements	14
Table 3.1: Definitions for QFD	21
Table 3.2 House of Quality Elements	25
Table 3.3: Key Benefits of QFD	276
Table 3.4: Research out put of Gargione	27
Table 4.1: Framework to Questionnaire Design	31
Table 4.2: Details of Characteristics in Each Group	32
Table 4.3: Likert Scale	33
Table 4.4: The First Sixteen Mean Values of Degree of Importance	36
Table 4.5: Characteristics of Apartment that are Significantly Satisfied by both	Sectors
	38
Table 4.6: Characteristics of Apartment that are not Significantly Satisfied by U	Jsers 39
Table 4.7: The First Twenty Highest Quality Gaps are shown by both Sectors	40
Table 4.8 : Characteristics that shows Significant Difference in terms of Impor	tance 42
Table 4.9: Characteristics that shows Significant Difference in terms of Satisfa	ction 42
Table 4.10: Methods are used to Capture Users' Value	44
Table 4.11 Characteristics of Apartment that are Significantly Importance to Po	ıblic
Developers	45
Table 4.12: Factors Considered as Important to achieve the Quality of Building	; 47
Table 4.13: Difficulties or Issues that faced while handling Projects so far	48
Table 4.14: Areas need to get Customers' Point of View	49
Table 4.15: Importance of User Involvement	49
Table 5.1: Identified Design Elements	55
Table 5.2: Relative Importance for Design, Construction and Operational Aspe	ects 60



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Research Methodology Used	5
Figure 1.2: The Research Framework	7
Figure 2.1: Key aspects of Design Quality	10
Figure 2.2: Factors that Affect Housing Satisfaction	16
Figure 3.1: History of QFD	20
Figure 3.2: The Four Phases of QFD - (Houses of Quality)	24
Figure 3.3: Basic House of Quality	245
Figure 3.4: Expanded House of Quality	245
Figure 4.1: Degree of Importance	36
Figure 4.2: Level of Satisfaction	37
Figure 4.3: Quality Gaps in Private and Public Sector	39
Figure 4.4: Customers' Perception Vs.Developers' Perception in Private Sector	45
Figure 4.5: Customers' Perception Vs. Developers' Perception in Public Sector	45
Figure 4.6: Information flows among Customer, Developer and Design Team	47
Figure 5.1: House of Quality Matrix (Public Sector Apartments)	59
Figure 5.1: House of Quality Matrix (Private Sector Apartments)	59