DEVELOP A STOCHASTIC INVENTORY CONTROL **MODEL: A CASE STURDY IN RUBBER** MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY

W.A.B Wasala

(138210G)

Degree of Master of Science in Timan cial -Marinematics.

Department of Mathematics

TH 3626 1 CD ROM

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

September 2017



TH 3626

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

Signature:

Date: 25 |02 |2018

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

UOM Verified Signature

Signature of the supervisor:

Date 25/02/18

Acknowledgement

I am using this opportunity to express my gratitude to everyone who supported me throughout the course of this MSc project. I am thankful for their aspiring guidance, invaluably constructive criticism and friendly advice during the project work. I am sincerely grateful to them for sharing their truthful and illuminating views on a number of issues related to the project.

I express my warm thanks to the project supervisor Mr. T.M.J.A Cooray for his support and guidance at department of mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mortuwa.

I would also like to thank my project external guide Mr. Kapila sooriyabandara (operations manager Engineering services), Mr. Shantha Munasinghe (Maintenance Engineer), Mr. Hasith Prematillake (country director) and Thilina Shanaka (Process Engineer) from Ansell Lanka (pvt) ltd and all the people who provided me with the facilities being required and conductive conditions for my MSc. project.

Thank you,

Wathsala Wasala

Abstract

The purpose of this research to develop a system for the evaluating and defining of inventory management parameters of widely using Purchasing models in polymer rubber manufacturing industry. This paper investigates the application of inventory models in determining stock control in a polymer rubber manufacturing organization. Developing of common data entering and analyzing software like MS excel to perform the task was particularly interested by the research. The paper starts with an overview of main types of purchasing models and also provides a user friendly system for the managing of the stock parameters of those models. It shows that there are many opportunities for using descriptive, predictive and prescriptive approaches in all areas of purchasing models by using commonly used software to apply real life situation in practical industrial level. The models were selected by focusing on the actual function from a purely operational and execution perspective in the organizational level for a strategic decision making. Introduced system was featured for easy and user friendly integration of computer aided inventory management which focused in the area of Inventory control and generates the stock management parameters easily. It can be concluded that future researches needs to explore the purchasing models evaluation systems related to enterprise resources planning in practical level which can be applied in a real life situation of an organization. It also can be acknowledged that while using empirical results to inform and improve models has advantages, but there are also drawbacks, which relate to the value, the practical relevance and the generalizability of the modelling plus software based approaches.

Key words

Economic order quantity, reorder level, Safety stock, Operation research, Anderson darling test, Normal distribution, visual Basic, Microsoft excel, Solver.

Contents

| Declaration | İ |
|---|-------|
| Acknowledgement | ii |
| Abstract | 111 |
| Key words | iii |
| Contents | iv |
| List of Figures | . vii |
| List of Tables | ix |
| Abbreviation | x |
| Appendix | х |
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Operations Research | 1 |
| 1.2 Inventory theory | 3 |
| 1.3 Inventory controlling | 3 |
| 1.4 Overview of Project | 5 |
| 1.5 Objective | 6 |
| 1.6 Selected purchasing models that widely using in rubber industry | 7 |
| 1.6.1 Purchasing model with constant demand | 7 |
| 1.6.2 Purchasing model with varying demand | 7 |
| 1.6.3 Purchasing model with Quantity discount | |
| 1.6.4 Multiple item Purchasing model with storage limitation | |
| 1.6.5 Multiple item purchasing model with carrying cost constraint | |

| 1.7 Visual Basic in Excel | 11 |
|---|----|
| 1.8 Solver in Excel | 12 |
| 1.9 Chapter overview | 13 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | 15 |
| METHODOLOGY | 27 |
| 3.1 Purchasing model with constant demand | 27 |
| 3.2 Purchasing model with varying demand | 29 |
| 3.2.1 Calculating safety stock | 29 |
| 3.2.1.1 Types of normality tests | 31 |
| 3.2.1.2 Comparison of Anderson-Darling, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, and Ryan-Joiner | |
| normality tests | 31 |
| 3.2.1.3 Anderson Darling test | 32 |
| 3.2.2 Calculating re order level | 33 |
| 3.2.3 Calculate the economic order quantity | 34 |
| 3.3 Purchasing model with Quantity discount | 35 |
| 3.4 Multiple item Purchasing model with storage limitation | 38 |
| 3.5 Multiple item purchasing model with carrying cost constraint | 41 |
| CALCULATIONS AND RESULTS | 44 |
| 4.1 Purchasing model with constant demand | 44 |
| 4.1.1 System generated parameters | 44 |
| 4.1.2 Parameters from manual calculations | 44 |
| 4.2 Purchasing model with varying demand | 45 |
| 4.2.1 System generted parameters | 46 |

| 4. | 2.2 | Parameters from manual calculations | 47 |
|------|------|--|----|
| 4.3 | Pur | chasing model with Quantity discount | 51 |
| | 3.1 | System generated parameters | |
| 4. | 3.2 | Parameters from manual calculations | |
| 4.4 | Mul | Itiple item purchasing model with storage limitation | 55 |
| 4. | 4.1 | System generated parameters | 55 |
| 4. | 4.2 | Parameters from manual calculations | 56 |
| 4.5 | Mul | Itiple item purchasing model with carrying cost constraint | 58 |
| 4.: | 5.1 | System generated parameters | 59 |
| 4.: | 5.2 | Parameters from manual calculations | 59 |
| CON | CLU | JSION | 61 |
| 5.1 | Res | ults analysis | 61 |
| 5.2 | Inte | rpretation of the results | 62 |
| 5.3 | Sen | sitivity analysis of the results in real life situation | 62 |
| 5.4 | Апа | llysis with Forecasting | 65 |
| 5.5 | Rec | ommendations | 68 |
| REF. | ERE | NCE | 70 |

List of Figures

| Fig 1.1 The elementary storage transaction | .4 |
|---|-----------|
| Fig 1.2 Inventory process in the purchasing model with constant demand | . 7 |
| Fig 2.1 EOQ process graph | 22 |
| Fig 3.1 Inventory process in the purchasing model with constant demand | 27 |
| Fig 3.2 main menu for the selecting of the data entry user interface of purchasing mode with constant demand | |
| Fig 3.3 Data entry and result display user interface of purchasing model with constant demand | 29 |
| Fig 3.4 Anderson- Darling normality test work sheet in Excel | 30 |
| Fig 3.5 VB user interface of Purchasing model with varying demand | 35 |
| Fig 3.6 VB user interface of selecting purchasing model with quantity discount data entry user interface | 37 |
| Fig 3.7 VB user interface of purchasing model with quantity discount data entry and calculation | 37 |
| Fig 3.8 VB main user interface of selecting multiple item purchasing model with storag limitation | |
| Fig 3.9 VB user interface of multiple item purchasing model with storage limitation | 10 |
| Fig 3.10 VB user interface of main menu to select data entry user interface of multiple item purchasing model with carrying cost constraint | 42 |
| Fig 3.11 Data entry user interface of multiple item purchasing model with carrying cost constraint | |
| Fig 4.1System generated results of the purchasing model with uniform demand | 44 |
| Fig 4.2 Normality check result of the data set | 46 |
| Fig 4.3 Defined parameters by the system | 47 |
| Fig 4.4 Normality check by Anderson darling test | 48 |
| Fig 4.5 Normality check by Ryan Joiner test | 49 |

| Fig 4.6 Normality check by Kolmogorov Smirnov test | 50 |
|--|------------|
| Fig 4.7 system generated results for Qi of the purchasing model with quantity discount | 52 |
| Fig 4.8 system generated results of the purchasing model with quantity discount | 5 3 |
| Fig 4.9 system generated parameters of multiple item model with limited storage | 55 |
| Fig 4.10system generated parameters of multiple item model with limited carrying cost | 59 |
| Fig 5.1 System generated parameters in real life situation (varying demand) | 62 |
| Fig 5.2 System generated parameters after refreshing with resent data | 64 |
| Fig 5.3 last 6 months data forecast analysis of MAPE, MAD and MSD | 66 |
| Fig 5.4 Best forecasting model in decomposition. | 66 |
| Fig 5.5 Parameters calculation after applying forecasted figures | 67 |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1 General illustration of discount scenarios | 35 |
|--|----|
| Table 4.1 Result comparison of the purchasing model with uniform demand | 45 |
| Table 4.2 Cost and lead time data of the selected item | 46 |
| Table 4.3 Monthly consumption of engineering stock item no 5544014 item within 2 year period | |
| Table 4.4 Results of stock item number 5544014 | 47 |
| Table 4.5 Result comparison of the purchasing model with varying demand | 51 |
| Table 4.6 Parameters of discount scenario | 52 |
| Table 4.7 Result comparison of the purchasing model quantity discount | 54 |
| Table 4.8 Parameters of multiple item model with storage limitation | 55 |
| Table 4.9 Total space calculation by varying μ | 57 |
| Table 4.10 Result comparison of the purchasing model limited storage | 58 |
| Table 4.11 Parameters of multiple item model with carrying cost constraint | 58 |
| Table 4.12 Result comparison of the purchasing model limited carrying cost | 60 |
| Table 5.1 Parameters analysis of system generated vs. manually calculated | 61 |
| Table 5.2 Parameters comparison of system generated vs. manually calculated | 62 |
| Table 5.3 Stock level status report | 63 |
| Table 5.4 Stock level status report with most recent data | 65 |
| Table 5.5 Forecasted parameters by decomposition method | 67 |

Abbreviation

AD - Anderson - Darling

CRM - Company resource management

DLT - Demand during the lead time

EDCF - Empirical cumulative distribution function

EOQ - Economic order quantity

EPQ - Economic production quantity

ERP - Enterprise resource planning

LT - Lead time

MAD - Mean absolute deviation

MAPE - Mean absolute percent error

MSD - Mean square deviation

OLE - Object linking and embedding

ROL - Re order level

STP - Ship to promise

TC - Total cost

VB - Visual basic

VBA - Visual basic application

Appendix

Appendix 01 - VB User interfaces

Appendix 02 - Stock parameter manager DVD