# DEVELOPMENTS TO BE BROUGHT INTO CONDITION PRECEDENT NOTICE PROVISION IN CONTRACTOR'S CLAIM CLAUSE FOR BETTERMENT OF THE CONTRACTOR: CONTRACTOR'S PERSPECTIVE





Thiththalapitige Aroshi Kalhara Karunathilake

149114 L

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

TH 36064 CD ROD

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

February 2018



T H3606

69"18"

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another except where acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: Ahu

Date: 22 /06/2018.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of supervisor:

Date:

22/06/2018

Department of Building Economics Faculty of Architecture University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

### **ABSTRACT**

There is now a growing propensity to apply the "condition precedent" notice provision to the contractor's claim clause in standard forms of contracts throughout the world. Accordingly, valid and meritorious claims of the contractors are forfeited if the contractor cannot comply with the time bar notice provision. The main intent of this notification is to alert the employer or the engineer at an early stage about the events that will take root to incur additional time and/or cost to the project and allow them to manage relevant consequences in fact. In that sense, it is questionable how the contractor can be deprived of his right to receive additional payment and/or time for a real claim situation, only because of the lack of timely notification. On the contrary, notification of the employer's claim is required to give as soon as possible after the employer became aware of the event giving rise to the claim. Therefore, the time bar notice provision in contractor's claim clause is now argued critically both in the construction industry as well as in the judiciary worldwide.

Therefore, this document examines the importance of claim notification with respect to the opinion of contractors and the causes of non-compliance with the notification provision. To understand the perception of contractors in the industry, a survey of questionnaires and unstructured interviews was conducted. Therefore, several additional reasons were identified for the lack of claim notices despite the reasons available in the literature. Further, this paper examines challenges to condition precedent notice provision in contractor's claim clause and proposes suitable developments to the same for the betterment of the contractor by addressing identified shortfalls in the contractor's claim clause. According to the collected data and analysis procedures employed, the most affected challenges to contractor's claim clause were: "Unjust enrichment", "Conflicts with Prevention Principal", "Defense for claims", "Doctrine of Penalty", "Unlawful exercise of rights" and "Loosing good faith obligation". It is important to address those challenges when developing the contractor's claim clause.

Keywords: Condition Precedent, Time bar, Notice Provision, Contractor's Claim, Standard Forms of Contract.

I dedicate this piece of work to my beloved Parents, Sister



Husband.....

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT** 

This dissertation is achieved to be in debt with much dedication and admiration from many people who have contributed in many ways. I express my gratitude to each and every individual for their encouragement, values and ideas, assistance and specially

their commitment towards this research to make it a success.

It is my leading duty to pay my gratitude to the Department of Building Economics, University of Moratuwa, and all the academic as well as non-academic staff of the Department for the remarkable service rendered. I extend my gratitude to Dr. (Mrs.) Yasangika Sandanayake, Head of the Department of Building Economics, for her great interest, encouragement and the guidance to the achievement of producing this

dissertation.

Furthermore, I should express my special gratitude to my supervisor, Ch.QS. Indunil Seneviratne, Senior lecturer Department of Building Economics, for his outstanding supervision, constructive criticism and guidance significantly contributed towards the success of this study. Moreover I'm grateful to senior and junior lectures of the Department of Building Economics and other visiting lectures for their valuable guidance throughout the research process.

Finally, I express my heartfelt thanks for my family members, colleagues and my company management for giving me an outstanding corporation to make this dissertation achievable.

Karunathilake T. A. K.

28th February 2018

# TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLAI	RATIONi
ABSTRA	ACTii
DEDICA	TIONiii
ACKNO	WLEDGMENTiv
TABLE	OF CONTENTv
LIST OF	FIGURESix
LIST OF	TABLESx
LIST OF	ABBREVIATIONxi
LIST OF	APPENDICESxii
CHAPT	ER ONE1
1 IN7	TRODUCTION1
1.1	Background
1.2	Research Problem4
1.3	Aim4
1.4	Objectives4
1.5	Methodology5
1.6	Scope and Limitation6
1.7	Chapter Breakdown7
СНАРТ	ER TWO8
2 LIT	TERATURE REVIEW8
2.1	Introduction8
2.2	Time Bar Notice Provision in FIDIC and NEC Contracts9

2.2.1	Standard Forms of Contract	10
2.2.2	Time Bar Notice Provision in Standard Forms of Contracts	10
2.2.2	.1 The New Engineering Contract: ECC (NEC2 & 3) (1995, 20	05) 11
2.2.2	.2 FIDIC Conditions of Contract: 1999 (the Red Book)	13
2.2.3	Notice Requirement in Contractor's Claim	14
2.3 Co	nstruction Claims and Importance of Notice Provision	15
2.3.1	Types of Claims	16
2.3.2	Contractual Claims Relates to Contractor	17
2.3.3	Importance of Notices for Contractor's Claims	19
2.3.4	Causes for Not Complying with Notice Provision	21
2.4 Tra	aditional Challenges to Condition Precedent Notice Provision	23
2.4.1	Matter of Interpretation	23
2.4.2	Prevention Principle	24
2.4.3	Doctrine of Penalty	27
2.4.4	Duty to Act in Good Faith	29
2.4.5	Other Challenges to Condition Precedent Notice Provision	30
2.5 Co	onflicts in Time Bar Clauses	31
2.5.1	Conflicting Judgments relate to Time Bar Notice Provision	32
2.5.2	Better Approach to Time Bar Notice Provision	34
2.6 Ch	napter Summary	36
CHAPTER	THREE	38
	ARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	
	troduction	
	esearch Background	
3.3 Re	esearch Design	39

3.3.1 Research Approach	40
3.3.2 Research Methodology	43
3.3.3 Research Strategy	44
3.3.3.1 Survey Research Strategy	45
3.3.3.2 Justification of using Survey Strategy	45
3.3.4 Research Technique	46
3.3.4.1 Data Collection Technique	46
3.3.4.2 Data Analysis Technique	49
3.4 Chapter Summary	51
CHAPTER FOUR	52
DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	52
4.1 Introduction	52
4.2 Preliminary survey	52
4.2.1 Findings from Preliminary Survey	53
4.3 Questionnaire Survey	56
4.3.1 Respondents' Profile	56
4.3.1.1 Respondent's Designations	56
4.3.1.2 Respondent's Experience	57
4.3.1.3 Respondent's Educational Background	59
4.3.2 Respondents' Experience with Time Bar Notice Provision	61
4.3.2.1 Projects with Condition Precedent Notice Provision	61
4.3.2.2 Regularity of Providing Notices	62
4.3.2.3 Claim Rejection due to Lack of Notices	62
4.3.2.4 Determination from the Engineer within a Reasonable Time	. 63
4.3.3 Importance of Condition Precedent Notice Provision	. 64

		4.3.3.1	Is it important; notice provision as condition precedent?	. 64
		4.3.3.2	Importance of Condition Precedent Notice Provision	
			Objections for Condition Precedent Notice Provision	
		4.3.3.3		
		4.3.3.4	Contractors' Inability to Comply with Notice Provision	67
	4.	3.4 Cha	allenges to Condition Precedent Notice Provision	71
		4.3.4.1	Does the Contractor Harmed by Condition Precedent Notice?	72
		4.3.4.2	Factors Caused to Prejudice the Contractor	72
		4.3.4.3	Significant Challenges to Condition Precedent Notice Provision	74
	4.	3.5 Dev	velopments for Contractor's Claim Clause	76
		4.3.5.1	Is it Need to Develop Contractor's Claim Clause?	76
		4.3.5.2	Proposed Developments to Contractor's Claim Clause	79
<b>C</b> ]	HAP'	TER FIVE	***************************************	.82
5	C	ONCLUSI	ON AND RECOMMENDATION	.82
	5.1	Conclus	sion	82
	5.2	Recomm	nendations	86
	5.3	Further	Research	. 87
R	EFEI	RENCES	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	. 88
A	PPE	NDIX A	***************************************	.97
Α.	PPENDIX B			105

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Chapter breakdown	7
Figure 2-1: Illustration of prevention principle concept	25
Figure 3-1: Research onion	40
Figure 3-2: Research design format	42
Figure 3-3: Population, sample and individual cases	47
Figure 4-1: Respondent's designations	57
Figure 4-2: Respondent's experience	58
Figure 4-3: Respondents' experience in contractor background	59
Figure 4-4: Familiar Standard Forms of Contracts of respondents'	60
Figure 4-5: Projects with condition precedent notice provision	61
Figure 4-6: Frequency of providing notices	62
Figure 4-7: Claim rejection due to lack of notices	63
Figure 4-8: Did engineer determine within a reasonable time?	63
Figure 4-9: Is it important; notice provision as condition precedent?	64
Figure 4-10: RII analysis for reasons of failure to comply with notice provision	68
Figure 4-11: Does the contractor harmed by time bars?	72
Figure 4-12: Challenges to condition precedent notice provision	74
Figure 4-13: Should the condition precedent notice provision be developed?	76
Figure 4-14: Suitability of proposed developments to contractor' claim clause	79

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Claim Procedure of Standard Forms (NEC2, 3 and FIDIC 1999)	. 15
Table 2-2: Contractor's entitlement for EOT & Contract Value as per PBA (2005)	18
Table 4-1: Experts' profile	. 53
Table 4-2: Designations of the respondents	. 56
Table 4-3: Experience of the respondents	. 57
Table 4-4: Respondents experience as a contractor	. 58
Table 4-5: Respondent's educational background	. 59
Table 4-6: Standard contract documents familiar with	. 60
Table 4-7: Importance of condition precedent notice provision	. 65
Table 4-8: Objections for condition precedent notice provision	. 66
Table 4-9: Common Reasons for not complying with notice provision	. 69
Table 4-10: Additional reasons for not complying with notice provision	. 70
Table 4-11: Factors caused to prejudice the contractor	. 73
Table 4-12: Important factors for developing time bar notice provision	. 78
Table 4-13: Suitable developments to the Contractor's claim clause	. 80
Table 4-14: Proposed developments to contractor's claim clause	. 81

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

Abbreviation Description

CIOB : Chartered Institute of Building

ECC : Engineering and Construction Contract

EOT : Extension of Time

FIDIC : Fédération Internationale Des Ingénieurs Conseils

(International Federation of Consulting Engineers)

GCC : General Conditions of Contract for Construction

JBCC : Joint Building Contract Committee

JCT : Joint Contract Tribunal

LD : Liquidated Damages

NEC : New Engineering Contract

PBA: Principal Building Agreement

RICS : Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors

SBD : Standard Bidding Document

UK : United Kingdom

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix Description

Appendix – A: Sample Questionnaire

Appendix – B: Preliminary Interview Guide Line