DESIGN AND SIMULATION OF FUZZY INFERENCE BASED MULTIPLE PID CONTROLLERS FOR 6-DOF UNMANNED UNDERWATER VEHICLE

Charita Darshana Makavita

(108407T)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Electronics and Automation

Department of Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

January 2014

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:  Date: 19/01/2014

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:  Date: 20.01.2014

Prof. Rohan Munasinghe
PhD, CEng, MIE(SL), MIEEE
Senior Lecturer
Department of Electronic and
Telecommunication Engineering
University of Moratuwa.

ABSTRACT

Design and Simulation of Fuzzy Inference Based Multiple PID Controllers for 6-DOF Unmanned Underwater Vehicle

Keywords: PID, fuzzy, inference, multiple controllers, UUV, unmanned, underwater vehicle

Unmanned underwater vehicles are currently being utilised for scientific, commercial and military underwater applications. These vehicles require autonomous guidance and control systems in order to perform underwater tasks. Modelling, simulation and control of these vehicles are still major active areas of research and development.

This thesis explores the design of a control system for a 6-Dof unmanned underwater vehicle. The thesis consists of two phases; the first involves the design of three single decoupled PID controllers for surge, yaw and depth. Then it is shown that it is not possible to cover the entire range of operations of UUV using only single controller by simulation using MATLAB SIMULINK. The second phase is concerned with the design of multiple PID controllers covering the entire range of UUV operation, as well as the fuzzy inference based supervisor design to switch between the different controllers as the operations conditions vary.

The design of the PID controllers are based on MATLAB PID tuning algorithms which is a robust response time tuning algorithms that allows for faster design process with robust gain values. It is shown that these new tuning methods as well as graphical tuning interface overcome the adhoc and time consuming process of finding the PID gains. Further it is shown that fuzzy gain scheduling using fuzzy inference mechanism is a valid method for controlling a UUV with nonlinear dynamics.

It can be concluded that new tools such as MATLAB tuning algorithms and Fuzzy toolbox allows for fast and accurate design of controllers for highly complex systems as well as the viability of fuzzy inference multiple controllers as a method for UUV control with desired response characteristics. Finally the author recommends an actual vehicle implementation and testing as future work to be carried out.

To my parents and teachers

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research project within the Master of Science degree program provides a great opportunity to apply the engineering knowledge gained through the taught modules to a real world scenario. During this period of research I gained vast amount of knowledge and practice with the support of numerous parties. Therefore I would like to thank them all for the successful completion of the project.

First and foremost I wish to convey my sincere gratitude to Prof. Rohan Munasinghe, course coordinator of the Master in Science in Electronics and Automation Engineering and my thesis supervisor. He gave the knowledge on control theories which encouraged me to pursue a research in control related area. As my supervisor he continuously encouraged and guided me to the successful, completion of the research project.

I would like to thank Dr. Ajith Pasqual, Head of the Department of Electronic and Telecommunication Engineering, for leading us with the supporting hand.

I would also thank Prof. Dev Ranmuthugala of Australian Maritime College who introduced me to the idea of unmanned underwater vehicles and encouraged me to pursue the master's thesis in relation to that field.

Finally I would like to thank all the members of the academic staff, for sharing their knowledge and previous experiences with us, in successful completion of the project, all the non-academic staff members and our batch mates for giving me support in numerous ways.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration i

Abastract ii

Acknowledgments iv

Table of Contents v

List of Figures vii

List of Tables x

List of Abbraviations xiii

1. Introduction 1

2. Modeling of Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) 5

   2.1 UUV Kinematics 5

      2.1.1 Reference frames 5

      2.1.2 Six-DOF kinematics equations 7

   2.2 UUV Dynamics 9

      2.2.1 Rigid body dynamics 9

      2.2.2 Longitudinal and lateral models 13

   2.3 The UUV Model Used For Simulation 18

      2.3.1 Rational for model selection 19

      2.3.2 The 6-DOF model 19

      2.3.3 Problems in the model 22

      2.3.4 Linear state space dynamic equations of the NPS model 25

3. UUV Controller Design 26

   3.1 Surge Controller 26

   3.2 Heading Controller 36

   3.3 Depth Plane Controller 44

      3.3.1 Pitch loop controller 46

      3.3.2 Depth loop controller 49

4. Testing of Decoupled PID Controllers 57

   4.1 Testing Heading Controller 57

      4.1.1 Test1: derivative gain of heading controller 57

      4.1.2 Test 2: proportional gain of the heading controller 59

      4.1.3 Summary of heading controller test 60

4.2 Testing Depth/Pitch Controller 61
4.2.1 Test3: proportional gain of depth controller 61
4.2.2 Test 4: proportional gain of pitch controller 63
4.2.3 Test 5: derivative gain of pitch controller 64
4.2.4 Summary of results of depth controller test 66
4.3 Testing Surge Controller 66
4.3.1 Test6: proportional and integral gains of surge controller 66
4.4 Conclusion 71
5. Multiple PID Controller Design 69
5.1 Heading PID Controllers 69
5.1.1 Gains of the multiple heading controllers 69
5.2 Depth PID Controllers 70
5.2.1 Gains of multiple dive plane controllers 70
6. Fuzzy Gain Scheduling Supervisor Design 71
6.1 Heading Fuzzy Supervisor Design 71
6.2 Depth Fuzzy Supervisor Design 76
7. GUI Interface Design and Final Testing 82
7.1 GUI Interface Design 82
7.2 Testing of the Fuzzy Gain Scheduled PID Controller Performance 84
7.2.1 Heading controller results 84
7.2.2Depth controller results 86
8. Discussion 88
9. Conclusion 90
10. Future Work 91
Reference List 92

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1.1: Remotely Operated Vehicle	1
Figure 1.2: Autonomous Underwater Vehicle	1
Figure 2.1: Earth fixed and Body fixed reference frames	6
Figure 2.2: NPS AUV II	18
Figure 3.1: Step response of controller in equation 3.1	27
Figure 3.2: Step response of controller in equation 3.2	28
Figure 3.3: Step response of controller in equation 3.3	28
Figure 3.4: Controller effort of controller in equation 3.3	29
Figure 3.5: Step response of controller in equation 3.4	29
Figure 3.6: Controller effort of controller in equation 3.4	30
Figure 3.7: Simulink model of surge controller simulation	30
Figure 3.8: Speed (m/s) vs. time (s) for a unit step input	31
Figure 3.9: Propeller rate (RPM) vs. time(s) for a unit step input	31
Figure 3.10: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) for a unit step input	32
Figure 3.11: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) with anti windup	33
Figure 3.12: Two step speed response (m/s) vs. time(s) without anti windup	33
Figure 3.13: Unit step response (m/s) vs. time(s) without anti windup	34
Figure 3.14: Full nonlinear simulink model for surge control	34
Figure 3.15: Normalized speed (m/s) vs. time(s) for nonlinear model	35
Figure 3.16: Propeller rate (RPM) vs. time(s) for nonlinear model	35
Figure 3.17 : Unit step response at different speeds	36
Figure 3.18: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.5	37
Figure 3.19: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.6	38
Figure 3.20: Unit step response for controller in equation 3.7	38
Figure 3.21: Initial simulink linear model for heading controller	39
Figure 3.22: 60^0 response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller	39
Figure 3.23: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. Time (s) for heading controller	40
Figure 3.24: New Simulink Model for Heading Controller	40
Figure 3.25: 60^0 response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller	41
Figure 3.26: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. time (s) for heading controller	41
Figure 3.27: Nonlinear simulink model for heading controller	42
Figure 3.28: 60^0 response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of heading controller	42

Figure 3.29: Rudder deflection (degrees) vs. time (s) for heading controller 43
Figure 3.30: 60^0 response at different speeds of heading controller 43
Figure 3.31: Normalized yaw response of heading controller 44
Figure 3.32: Block diagram of depth transfer function 44
Figure 3.33: Double loop depth control system 45
Figure 3.34: Block diagram of inner loop structure 1 46
Figure 3.35: Block diagram of inner loop structure 2 46
Figure 3.36: Step response of controller in equation 3.8 48
Figure 3.37: Step response of controller in equation 3.9 49
Figure 3.38: Block diagram of outer loop 49
Figure 3.39: Step response of controller in equation 3.10 50
Figure 3.40: Step response of controller in equation 3.11 51
Figure 3.41: Simulink linear model for depth plane controller 51
Figure 3.42: Unit response (m) vs. time(s) of depth controller 52
Figure 3.43: Pitch response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller 52
Figure 3.44: Stern plane deflection (degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller 53
Figure 3.45: Nonlinear simulink model for depth controller 53
Figure 3.46: Unit response (in meters) vs. time(s) of depth controller 54
Figure 3.47: Pitch response (in degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller 54
Figure 3.48: Stern plane deflection (degrees) vs. time(s) of depth controller 54
Figure 3.49: 1m dive at different speeds of depth controller 55
Figure 3.50: Normalized depth response at U0of depth controller 56
Figure 3.51: Normalized depth response at 3U0of depth controller 56
Figure 6.1: Membership function plots of speed variable 71
Figure 6.2: Membership function plots of yaw variable 72
Figure 6.3: Rule editor with rules added 75
Figure 6.4: Surface mapping inputs to output variable K_P 75
Figure 6.5: Surface mapping inputs to output variable K_D 76
Figure 6.6: Membership function plots of speed variable 76
Figure 6.7: Membership function plots of depth variable 77
Figure 6.8: Rule editor with rules added 80
Figure 6.9: Surface mapping inputs to output variable pitch K_P 80
Figure 6.10: Surface mapping inputs to output variable pitch K_D 81
Figure 6.11: Surface mapping inputs to output variable depth 81

Figure 7.1: GUI Interface with default 82
Figure 7.2: GUI interface with new values 83
Figure7.3: GUI interface with speed and heading 83
Figure 7.4: GUI interface with speed and depth output 84

LIST OF TABLES

Page

Table 4.1: Settling time in seconds for changes in K_D of heading controller 58

Table 4.2: Peak overshoot for changes in K_D of heading controller 58

Table 4.3: Speed hit in m/s for changes in K_D of heading controller 59

Table 4.4: Settling time in seconds for changes in K_p of heading controller 60

Table 4.5: Peak overshoot for changes in K_p of heading controller 60

Table 4.6: Speed hit in m/s for changes in K_p of heading controller 60

Table 4.7: Settling time in seconds for changes in K_P of depth controller 62

Table 4.8 : Peak overshoot for changes in K_P of depth controller 62

Table 4.9: Speed hit in m/s for changes in K_P of depth controller 63

Table 4.10: Settling time in seconds for changes in K_P of pitch controller 64

Table 4.11: Peak overshoot for changes in K_P of pitch controller 64

Table 4.12: Speed hit in m/s for changes in K_P of pitch controller 64

Table 4.13: Settling time in seconds for changes in K_D of pitch controller 65

Table 4.14 : Peak overshoot for changes in K_D of pitch controller 65

Table 4.15: Speed hit in m/s for changes in K_D of pitch controller 66

Table 4.16: Performance Criteria of Surge Controller 67

Table 5.1 : Proportional gain values of heading controllers 69

Table 5.2: Derivative gain values of heading controllers 70

Table 5.3 : Proportional gain values of pitch controllers 70

Table 5.4: Derivative gain values of pitch controllers 70

Table 5.5: Proportional gain values of depth controllers 70

Table 6.1 : Singletons of output variable K_P 72

Table 6.2: Singletons of output variable K_D 73

Table 6.3 : Singletons of output variable pitch K_P 77

Table 6.4: Singletons of output variable pitch K_D 78

Table 6.5 : Singletons of output variable depth K_P 78

Table 7.1: Settling time results for heading control of initial operating conditions 84

Table 7.2: Peak overshoot results for heading control of initial operating condition 85

Table 7.3: Speed hit results for heading control of initial operating conditions 85

Table 7.4: Settling time results for heading control of intermediate operating point 85

Table 7.5: Peak overshoot results for heading control of intermediate operating points 85
Table 7.6: Speed hit results for heading control of intermediate operating points 86
Table 7.7: Settling time results for depth control of initial operating conditions 86
Table 7.8: Peak overshoot results for depth control of initial operating conditions 86
Table 7.9: Speed hit results for depth control of initial operating conditions 86
Table 7.10: Settling time results for depth control of intermediate operating points 87
Table 7.11: Peak overshoot results for depth control of intermediate operating points 87
Table 7.12: Settling Speed hit Results for depth control of intermediate operating points87

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AUV	Automated Underwater Vehicle
CB	Centre of Buoyancy
CG	Centre of Gravity
CGS	Conventional Gain Scheduling
DOF	Degrees Of Freedom
FGS	Fuzzy Gain Scheduling
GUI	Graphical User Interface
GUIDE	GUI Development Environment
NED	North–East–Down
NPS	Naval Postgraduate School
MSS	Marine systems Simulator
PD	Proportional Derivative
PI	Proportional Integral
PID	Proportional Integral Derivative
ROV	Remotely Operated Vehicle
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
URV	Underwater Recovery Vehicle
UUV	Unmanned Underwater Vehicle