

AR 04/09

LB/DON/88/2014

DAR 07/09

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA
MORATUWA

**AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF ATTRIBUTES
OF URBAN PUBLIC SPACES THAT CONTRIBUTE TO
QUALITATIVE PUBLIC LIFE
WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE EMERGING PUBLIC
SPACES IN COLOMBO**

Lasanka Dinusha Fernando

119403U

Degree of Master of Urban Design

Department of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

72 "13"
711.4(043)

2013

107142

University of Moratuwa



107142

107142

TABLE OF CONTENT

PAGE NO

Declaration	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Abstract	vi
List of figures	vii
List of tables	x
List of abbreviations	xi

INTRODUCTION

i. Background to the study	01
ii. Research problem and question	01
iii. Aims and object of the study	02
iv. Method of the study	02
v. Scope and limitation of the study	02

CHAPTER ONE

NOTION OF URBAN PUBLIC SPACES

1.1 Introduction and definition of urban public spaces	04
1.2 Significance, functions and need for urban public spaces	06
1.3 Evolution of urban public spaces	07
1.4 Spatial arrangement in public spaces	08
1.4.1 Planning theories evolved in public spaces	09
1.4.2 Spatial character of urban public space	11
1.4.3 Elements and facilities in urban public spaces	12
1.5 Categories of urban public spaces	14
1.5.1 Public squares as urban public space	14
1.5.2 Urban parks as urban public spaces	16
1.5.3 Water fronts as urban public spaces	17
1.5.4 Plazas as urban public spaces	18
1.6 Urban public spaces in Sri Lanka	19

CHAPTER TWO

PUBLIC LIFE AND QUALITY STANDARDS OF URBAN PUBLIC SPACES

2.1	Public Life in urban public spaces	23
2.1.1	User groups in urban public spaces	23
2.1.2	Features in urban public spaces	25
2.1.3	Degree of sociability in urban public spaces	28
2.2	Characteristics of quality in urban public spaces	29
2.2.1	Definitions and role of quality in urban public spaces	29
2.2.2	Indicators of qualitative urban public spaces	30
2.3	Organization of urban public spaces	36
2.3.1	Geometric shapes and forms of public spaces	37
2.3.2	Affects of Building shapes on urban spaces	38
2.3.3	Affects of building elevations on urban public spaces	39
2.3.4	Affects of intersections in urban public spaces	40
2.4	Concise Description on adopted data	41

CHAPTER THREE

APPLICATION OF QUALITATIVE ASPECTS IN URBAN PUBLIC SPACES & ANALYSIS

3.1	Basis for selection of case studies	43
3.2	The process and the limitation of the study	44
3.3	Macro analysis of the case studies	45
3.3.1	Site selection	45
3.4	Micro analysis of case studies	48
3.4.1	Qualitative & Aesthetic analysis	48
A)	Morphological attributes	48
B)	Qualitative attributes	51
3.4.2	Social Analysis	71
A)	User behavior analysis	71
B)	Quality of life analysis	75

CHAPTER FOUR

ORGANIZING A TYPOLOGY FOR URBAN PUBLIC SPACES IN SRI LANKA

4.1	Organizing a framework for urban public spaces	80
4.2	Macro analysis	81
4.2.1	Site selection for urban public spaces in Sri Lanka	81
4.2.2	Theme, concept or a slogan for urban public spaces	82
4.3	Micro analysis	83
4.3.1	Morphological attributes	83
4.3.2	Qualitative & Aesthetic attributes	83

CONCLUSION	87
-------------------	----


BIBLIOGRAPHY	89
---------------------	----

APPENDICES	91
-------------------	----

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).




.....
Lasanka Fernando

28/02/2013

.....
Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters dissertation under my supervision.



.....
Signature of the supervisor

25/03/14

.....
Date



MASTERS PROGRAM OF URBAN DESIGN
Program Director: Dr. Janaka Wijesundara
FIA (SL), MIP (SL), MCIP (Canada)
Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to those who were the driving forces in making this dissertation a reality.

A special gratitude over to Director Program of Urban Design Dr.Janaka Wijesundara, Architects D.B Nawarathna, Harsha Fernando, Senaka Dharmathilake, Anura Ratnavibhushana, Shashikala Ranasinghe and Danya Udukumbure whose contribution in stimulating suggestions and encouragement helped me to coordinate my MUD Program.

It is with deep sense of gratitude that I thank all the teachers who have taught me throughout my carrier.

This shouldn't have been a success without the help of my eternal friends Kamani, Chiranji, Bhagiya, Bodhini,Ravindri and Nyara.

Love and heartfelt gratitude goes to my brother, sister-in-law, the extended families and my dear husband for their patience throughout the program.

Last but not least the shadow of my life, my parents for been the guiding spirit from where ever they are!

ABSTRACT

Urban Public Space (UPS) is a vital component in the formation of a cityscape. They are also the activity nodes where people interact with each other in various manners or simply spent time in relaxation. It is understood that urban public spaces (UPS's) play a considerable role as means for social transformation in today's context. Researchers have proved that high quality UPS's have a direct impact in terms of public benefits which may be in two folds of physical and psychological.

The importance of UPS's is that it helps to keep a balance between built and un-built areas in a city as most of the time UPS's are open spaces. Public squares, water fronts, parks, gardens and streets are some of the identifiable UPS's. These UPS's help to break the monotony and enrich the character of a city and further be amused for the restless lives of the urban population. Sometimes for some individuals the public spaces become memorable spaces of their day to day lives also becoming the communication & urban activity nodes which makes the cities as living entities.

There are many physical elements present in UPS's. It is of paramount importance that UPS's are made user friendly and alive in order to make the space more viable and vibrant. The evolution and formation of UPS's and their contribution for betterment of society was an essential aspect when analyzing the UPS's.

It is a fact with the rapid urbanization, UPS becomes an essential component and Sri Lanka too has no exemption to such prerequisite requirements. But in close scrutiny it will be disclosed that many UPS's in Sri Lanka, had emerged without prior prerequisite requirements and thereby compelling, not to have proper design or standards in quality.

Thus the need has arisen to understand the qualitative attributes of UPS's when provides higher level of comfort, protection and enjoyable environment. Therefore it is important to identify the attributes of present UPS's in the city of Colombo and the reaction to such by the public and their expectations of such places. This research helped in generating a suitable qualitative typology for the usage of future urban designers of UPS's in the country.

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER ONE

Figure number	Description	Page No.
1.1	Ti Time square of New York, The Spanish Steps in Rome and TV square in Beijing.	5
1.2	Galle Face Green, Viharamahadevi Park and Independence square, Colombo7	6
1.3	Recreational and memorable event locations Viharamahadevi Park, Town Hall and GFG & DHC	6
1.4	Chart of activity percentages	7
1.5	Plan and the view of AGORA	8
1.6	“kamatha” and Royal pleasure gardens of Sigiriya & Vesagiriya.	8
1.7	Six typological patterns of solid and voids creating spaces	9
1.8	Figure ground diagram of Piazza del Campo, Siena and Savana,USA	10
1.9	Linkage Theory	10
1.10	A perspective sequence of townscape	11
1.11	Different forms of enclosure provide for public space	11
1.12	St. Peter’s square showing enclosure of space	12
1.13	International designed seating arrangements	12
1.14	DUB, Viharamadevi park benches and seating arrangements & DHC.	13
1.15	Fountains in Singapore , Viharamahadevi park fountain and DUB.	13
1.16	Monuments and art work in Singapore	13
1.17	Monuments at Independens square & war memorial at Viharamahadevi park and street art at DUB.	13
1.18	Orthogonal plans of squares	14
1.19	Piazza del Campo, Siena.	15
1.20	Pershing Square, Los Angeles images and behavior map in 1985.	15
1.21	Piazza San Marco, Venice and Piazza Campo Santa Margherita, Venice.	15
1.22	Present parks in city, New York City, USA	16
1.23	Section of a Water front development	17
1.24	HTO water front development, Toronto, Liverpool waterfront and Singapore water front area	17
1.25	Lyon renovated River Park and People’s Park, Shanghai, China	17
1.26	The John Hancock Building Plaza, Singapore zoo entrance plaza and Comcast Center in Philadelphia.	18

1.27	GFG & Viharamahadevi park area in early Sri Lanka maps	19
1.28	Galle Face Green, area in early Sri Lanka maps.	19
1.29	Chart indicating public outdoor spaces requirement.	20
1.30	Existing parks and playgrounds with public spaces marked – 1996 – City of Colombo	21

CHAPTER TWO

2.1	Survey results of public UPS users in South Yorkshire, UK	25
2.2	Images of few meetings places	26
2.3	Moving through a public space by foot or foot bicycles.	26
2.4	Some optional activities	27
2.5	Social activities in GFG and DUB.	27
2.6	The Place Diagram is one of the tools Projects for public spaces (PPS) has developed to help communities evaluate places.	31
2.7	Qualitative aspects involved in a place	32
2.8	Twelve quality criteria list by Jan Gehl	33
2.9	Basic forms of the public space	37
2.10	Building sections affecting the forms of a public space	38
2.11	Effects of building elevation on the public space	39
2.12	Effects of glazed and opening types of a building effect on the public space	39
2.13	Public spaces derive from basic shape.	40
2.14	Public spaces A-St. James Square, London highlighted in red B-Paris Palace, Paris.	40

CHAPTER THREE

3.1	Selection of case study	43
3.2	A - Early images of GFG and B - DHC	47
3.3	During construction of UWP in early 2012	47
3.4	Sufficient room for walking – during week days	51
3.5	Crowds on weekends and festival time- blocking space for walking	52
3.6	Space for walk in DUB	52
3.7	A) Sufficient room for walking in UWP & B) Multi functional courtyard space	52
3.8	Obstructions in the sea show	53
3.9	Typical section through GFG. (NTS)	53



3.10	Different surfaces to walk at GFG	53
3.11	Different surface to walk at DUB	53
3.12	Different surfaces to walk-in UWP and paved surface at DHC	54
3.13	Ramp facilities at DUB & DHC	55
3.14	GFG "Space".	55
3.15	The pavilion facades at DUB	55
3.16	DHC inner and outer façade	55
3.17	Activities at GFG	56
3.18	Supports in GFG	56
3.19	Sitting areas in GFG	57
3.20	Sitting areas – B in GFG.	57
3.21	Sitting areas - C in GFG.	57
3.22	Sitting areas - D in GFG.	57
3.23	Sitting areas – E in GFG	57
3.24	Sitting areas - F in GFG	58
3.25	Other sitting areas in A - GFG and B - UWP	58
3.26	Sunset viewing at GFG.	58
3.27	The location to watch the sun set at DUB.	58
3.28	Seating benches A - GFG, B - UWP, C - DHC & D - DUB.	59
3.29	Lighting – A - GFG, B - DHC, C - DUB & D - UWP	60
3.30	Talkscapes in A - DHC & B - DUB	61
3.31	Varying activity in GFG	62
3.32	Night food market, Thursday and Friday special sales of plants, exhibitions etc.	62
3.33	Day time use in GFG & UWP	64
3.33	Different proposals stages of developing GFG	65
3.34	Many features in a limited space	66
3.35	Trees in UWP & GFG	66
3.36	Different social groups using GFG & lattes trend of raising car watching from GFG	68

3.37	Opening day of UWP	69
3.38	Fontanne at A - DUB & B - UWP	70
3.39	3D street art at DUB	70
3.40	Signage's in DUB	71
3.41	locations where counts were taken indicated in red	71
3.42	Types of users	72
3.43	Number of users during weekday & weekends	73
3.44	Activity count	74
3.45	Emotional experiences	76

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER ONE

Table	Description	Page No.
1.1	Activity percentages in public spaces	7
1.2	Comparison of Parks and Open Spaces among Major West Coast Cities	16
1.3	Pedestrian behavior in plazas	18

CHAPTER TWO

2.1	Age, gender and times of users in Parque Central on 3 rd Aug.1986	24
2.2	Graphical relationship of quality of outdoor spaces and the rate of occurrence of outdoor activities	28
2.3	Effect of wind on pedestrians	36
2.4	Interaction with roads and basic forms of the public space	39

CHAPTER THREE

3.1	The process of study of case studies	44
3.2	Location of the Public Spaces	46
3.3	Site selection analysis	47
3.4	Geometry of public space	48
3.5	Building section affecting the public space	49
3.6	Intersections in public spaces	50
3.7	Opportunity to walk analysis	51
3.8	Opportunity to walk analysis	51
3.9	Disable access requirement	54
3.10	Opportunities for stand and stay.	56
3.11	Opportunities for sit	56
3.12	Opportunity to see	59
3.13	Opportunity to talk and listen	60
3.14	Opportunity to play and excursive	61
3.15	Opportunity to play and excursive	63
3.16	Opportunity to enjoy the positive aspects of climate	63
3.17	Numbers of users in UPS's on a week day and weekend from 11am-13pm	64
3.18	Positive Sensory experience	65
3.19	Positive Sensory experience	67
3.20	Positive Sensory experience	68
3.21	Positive Sensory experience	69
3.22	Data on fountains in the public spaces	70
3.23	Data on fountains in the public spaces	71

3.24	Types of users	72
3.25	Number of users	73
3.26	Activity counts during a weekend	75
3.27	Gender and age groups of users	75
3.28	Economical aspects analysis	77



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

UDA	Urban Development Authority
UPS	Urban Public Space
UPL	Urban Public Life
LOS	Left Over Spaces
QOL	Quality Of Life
QUPS	Quality of Urban Public Space
GFG	Galle Face Green
UWP	Urban Wetland Park
DHC	Dutch Hospital Courtyard
DUB	Diyatha Uyana Battaramulla
VP	Viharamahadevi Park
PORS	Public outdoor recreation Space