

REFERENCES

A press release for regulator signed agreement with local authorities for land use in Cairo (2008), available at <http://www.mcit.gov.eg/PressreleaseDetails.aspx?id=hBpTbiMvWFY=> Accessed on 26/9/2009.(Information Technology Department, India 2001)

Consultation paper published on ICT infrastructure sharing by Bhutan InfoComm and Media Authority, Royal Government of Bhutan in 2009

Communication Updates published by TeleGeography, available at <http://www.telegeography.com>. Accessed on 12/2/2010.

Discussion paper published in 2008, 8th Forum of Telecommunications/ICT Regulations in Africa, available at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/afr/events/FTRA/Nairobi-2008/index.html>. Accessed on 26/9/2009

Discussion paper published in “Underground Infrastructure Middle East 2010” Conference & Exhibition by Ministry of Works Bahrain in2010.

Discussion Paper published in 2008, 8th Global Symposium for Regulators, Best Practice Guidelines on innovative infrastructure sharing strategies to promote affordable access for all. Available at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/treg/bestpractices.html>, Accessed on 3/7/2009

FTTH Business Guide/FTTH Operator Models published at http://wiki.ftthcouncil.eu/index.php/FTTH_Business_Guide/FTTH_Operator_Models Accessed on 10/06/2010

Final report for Ofcom Telecoms infrastructure access – sample survey of duct access, March 2009 published by Analysis Mason Limited

Kochi Prefecture, “Development of a High-Speed Information and Communications Network in Kochi Prefecture: Survey Report,” 2003

Oniki, Hajime, “The Economics of Building the Information Highway,” Nippon Hyoronsha, 1996

Paper published by Infrastructure Investment Facilitation Center (IIFC), Bangladesh in 2007

Paper published on Working Party on Communication Infrastructures and Services Policy & public right of way for fiber deployment to the home by directorate for science, technology and industry committee for information, and computer and communication in 2008

Street Works Position Paper in support of “Underground Technologies” *January 2009 European GAS research group.*

Singapore Telecommunication Act, amended in 2005 published at <http://statutes.agc.gov.sg/>
Accesses on 2/10/2010.

Telecommunications Council in Japan, “Reforms for the Knowledge-Based Society of the Twenty-first Century,” 1994

Valuation of Telecommunications Infrastructure Sharing Arrangements 2008, Daniel J. Korczyk, CPA, ABV, ASA, Available at www.american-appraisal.us/userfiles/, Accessed on 21/1/2010

APPENDIX A: QUESTIONNAIRES

General Public Questionnaire

Please rate the degree of your agreement with the following statement:
(Please mark your answer in the relevant box)

		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q1	Laying optical Fiber networks in the country are beneficial to the general public					
Q2	I like if the fiber connectivity is there in my home area via underground fiber optic cables					
Q3	The way operators used to lay the cables in road is acceptable					
Q4	Property values of our properties will increase with the fiber connectivity to home					
Q5	Damages happen to underground properties like water supply, electricity supply, drainage lines and other communication links during installations can be accepted					
Q6	Uneven road surfaces after fiber cable laying can be accepted in carpeted roads					
Q7	I am fully aware on new fiber cable laying in my area					
Q8	I think government is responsible in monitoring fiber cable installation works on roads					
Q9	I think government should aware general public on new fiber cable laying projects via radio/television/newspaper/websites					
Q10	Enough objections are there from general public on new cable laying projects					
Q11	I would oppose laying of new fiber cables on roads					
Q12	I think operators should share the fiber cable capacity without laying their own cables in the areas where fiber cables have been laid already.					
Q13	I feel there is no place to inform issues/problems general public faced in laying new cables on roads					
Q14	I think public awareness doesn't happen for most of new fiber cable laying projects					

Q15	Government provide enough time period for a public consultation process					
Q16	I think government rules and regulations are not sufficient to take actions against public, private property damages during cable laying.					
Q17	Police control the traffic on roads during fiber cable laying					
Q18	Government should control the sharing of fiber cables among operators in providing their services to customers.					
Q19	Sharing of Fiber cable networks is mandatory for Sri Lanka among operators					
Q20	I like if government acquire all the fiber laid in Sri Lanka and set up a sharing scheme among operators					

Please enter some general information about yourself	
Q21	Age <input type="text"/> Years
Q22	Gender <input type="text"/> Male <input type="text"/> Female
Q23	Home Town <input type="text"/> Urban <input type="text"/> Rural
Q24	Select the group that best represent you <input type="text"/> Student <input type="text"/> Occupied
Q25	Do you use any Telecommunication Service? <input type="text"/> yes <input type="text"/> No

		ඉතාමත් වැදගත් වේ.	වැදගත් වේ.	වැදගත් වන්නේ වැදගත් යොමුවක් ලෙසය.	වැදගත් නොවේ.	ඉතාමත් වැදගත් නොවේ.
1	රට තුළ ආලෝක තන්තු "Optical Fiber" ජාල වලින් සාමාන්‍ය පොදු ජනතාවට ප්‍රයෝජනවත් වේ.					
2	මාගේ නිවෙස් පුද්ගලයන් ගැන ආකාරයට ආලෝක තන්තු සම්බන්ධතා තිබේ නම් මා ඒ සඳහා කැමති වෙමි.					
3	දුරකථන සමාගම්, මාර්ගවල ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් යොදන ආකාරය පිළිබඳ විකෘති වෙමි.					
4	නිවෙස් වලට ඇති ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් සම්බන්ධතා නිසා ඒ අවට ඇති දේපළ වටිනාකම වැඩි වේ.					
5	ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් ගැනත් යෙදීමේදී, ගැනත් ජල තල වලට, වැදගත් සම්බන්ධතා වලට, අපද්‍රව්‍ය නිෂ්පාදන කරන කාන්තා පද්ධති වලට හා අනෙකුත් සම්බන්ධතා වලට එන තානි පිළිගත හැකිය. (ඒ සඳහා විකෘති වෙමි.)					
6	ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් ගැනත් යෙදීමේදී පසු මනාව සැකසූ මහා මාර්ග වල ඒකාකාර මතු පිටත් නොමැති වීම ගැන විකෘති වෙමි.					
7	මාගේ පුද්ගල තුළ ජීවත් වන / නැවතත් නව ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලින් පිළිබඳ මා දැනුවත්ය					
8	මාර්ග වල ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලින් අධ්‍යයනය පිළිබඳව රජය වගකිවයුතු යැයි මම සිතමි.					
9	තුවන් වැදගත්, රූපවාහිනිය, පුවත්පත් හා වෙනි අඩවි මාර්ගයෙන් නව ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලින් පිළිබඳව රජය මගපත්තාව දැනුවත් කළ යුතුයැයි මම සිතමි.					
10	නව ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලින් පිළිබඳ මගපත්තාවගෙන් සැලකිය යුතු වරදක්වියක් ඇත.					
11	මාර්ගවල ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලින් පිළිබඳ මා වරදක් වෙමි.					
12	දුරකථන සමාගම් දැනට ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් වලට ඇති පුද්ගලවල නව ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් නොවලා, පවතින රැහැන් ඔවුන්ගේ අතර බෙදා ගෙන පොදුවේ පරිහරණය කළ යුතුයැයි යැයි සිතමි.					
13	නව ආලෝක තන්තු රැහැන් යෙදීමේදී මග ජනතාවට සිදු වන ප්‍රශ්න/නැවතුම් දැනුම් දීම සඳහා කිසිදු ස්ථානයක් නොමැති යැයි මම සිතමි.					

14	බොහෝමයක් ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් යෙදීමේ ව්‍යාපෘති පිළිබඳව මහජනතාව දැනුවත් කිරීමක් සිදු නොවේ යැයි සිතමි.						
15	මහජනතාව බොහෝමයක් ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් යෙදීමේ ව්‍යාපෘතියක් පිළිබඳව වමසීම සඳහා රජය ප්‍රමාණවත් කාලයක් සපයා දී ඇත.						
16	තව ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් යෙදීමේදී පොදු හා පෞද්ගලික දේපල සඳහා වන හානි වලට පියවර ගැනීමට ප්‍රමාණවත් හිඟ හා නියාමනයන් රජය එසින් සකසා නොමැත.						
17	මාර්ගවල ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් යෙදීමේදී පොදුසිය රට්ටාගත කැසිරවීම කෙරේ.						
18	දුරකථන සමාගම් තම සේවා සැපයීම සඳහා, ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් බෙදා ගෙන පරිභෝජනය කිරීමට රජයේ පාලනය මත සිදු විය යුතුය.						
19	සියළු දුරකථන සමාගම් ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් බෙදාගෙන පොදු වශයෙන් පරිභෝජනය කිරීමට අනිවාර්යය කල යුතුය.						
20	දැනට වලා ඇති සියළු ආශ්වාත තත්ත්ව රැහැන් රජය පරිවර්තන අනෙකුත් දුරකථන සමාගම්වලට පොදුවේ පරිභෝජනය කිරීම සඳහා ලියා පිළිවෙතක් සකස් කළ යුතු යැයි මම සිතමි.						

කරුණාකර ඔබගේ සාමාන්‍ය විස්තර පහතට ලබා දෙන්න.

21

වයස

22

ලිංගය

23

නිවාස ප්‍රදේශය

24

ඔබ අයිති යුද්ධ කාණ්ඩය

25

ඔබ කිසියම් දුරකථන සේවාවක් පරිභෝජනය කරන්නේද?

අවුරුදු

පිරිමි

කාගරික

පොදු ජනතාව

රාජ්‍යය

දුරකථන සමාගම්

වෙනත්

ඔව්

නැත.

73

		வெளிப்புற ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே	தேவநாட்டின் ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே
Q1	தேவநாட்டின் ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q2	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q3	தேவநாட்டின் ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q4	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q5	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q6	“காபெர்” ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q7	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q8	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q9	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					
Q10	ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே ஒப்பந்தங்களின் கீழே					

Q11	வத்யோபாயம் பத்ய ஓஸிபியல் இரைகளை நிறுவுதை எதிகின்றேன்.					
Q12	ஏற்கனவே ஓஸிபியல் இரைகள் நிறுவப்பட்ட பிரதேசங்களில் தொலைத்தொட்பு சேவை வரங்குனர்கள் பத்ய இரைகளை நிறுவுதை தவிர்த்து இருக்கும் இரைகளை பதிர்த்து கொள்ள வேண்டும் என நான் கருதுகின்றேன்.					
Q13	பாதையோயம் தொலைத்தொட்பு வளங்களை நிறுவதில் உள்ள இடங்களைபும் பிரச்சனைகளைபும் முறைபிடுவதற்கு ஒரு பொறுப்பான அமைப்பு இல்லை என கருதுகின்றேன்.					
Q14	பொதுமக்களிடம் பத்ய ஓஸிபியல் இரை நிறுவும் பணிகள் பற்றி பொது மக்களிற்கு அறிவுறுத்தல் வரங்கப்படுவதில்லை எனக் கருதுகின்றேன்.					
Q15	பொதுமக்களிடம் கருதுதலோசப்பதற்கும், கருத்து பரிமாறுத்திற்கும் அரசாங்கம் பத்ய காலத்தை வரங்குவதாக நான் கருதுகின்றேன்.					
Q16	தொலைத்தொட்பு வளங்களை நிறுவுதலில் அரசு தனிவர் சேத்தககவும் ஏற்படும் சேதங்ககக்கு எதுமக நடவடிக்கை எடுப்பகற்கு அரசாங்கத்தால் ஏற்படுத்தப்பட்டிருள்ள விதககவும் கண்காணிப்பு முறைககவும் பொதுமகளை என கருதகில்லை.					
P17	ஓஸிபியல் இரைகளை நிறுவுபதொது விதககில் பொலிஸ் வககணிப் போககுவரத்தை கட்டுப்படுத்தகிறார்கள்.					
Q18	தொலைத்தொட்பு சேவை வரங்குனர்கள் பள்ளையமளிககக்கு சேவைகளை வரங்கும் பொது ஓஸிபியல் இரைகளை பதிர்த்து பண்பிடுத்த அரசாங்கம் நிர்ப்பத்திக் கேண்டுமேன நான் கருதுகின்றேன்.					
Q19	இலங்கையில் ஓஸிபியல் இரைகளை தொலைத்தொட்பு சேவை வரங்குனர்கள் பதிர்த்து பண்பிடுத்துவது கட்டாயமகது.					
Q20	நிறுவப்பட்டுள்ள எல்லா ஓஸிபியல் இரைகளைபும் அரசாங்கம் பொறுப்பேற்று பதிர்த்து உபயோகககக்கும் முறைமகையை அமுலககவேண்டும் என நான் கருதுகின்றேன்.					

தயவு செய்து உங்களைப்பற்றி சில பொது தகவல்களை தரப்பிக்கவும்.

- Q21 வயது
- Q22 பால்
- Q23 அதிவிலம்
- Q24 உங்களை பித்தியலிக்கும் குழந்தை துறவினை தெரிவு செய்யுங்கள்

	வருடங்கள்		பெண்
	அண்		நீராம்பு
	தரப்பும்		
	பொதுமக்கள்		
	அரசு அதிகாரி நேதலவர்		
	தொலைத்தொடப்பு		
	சேவை வழங்குதல்		
	சேவைகள்		
	குறைபாடுகள்		
	அவர்		இல்லை

- Q25 நீங்கள் ஏதாவது தொலைத்தொடப்பு சேவையை பாவிக்கின்றீர்களா

Operator Questionnaire

A1. Do you think present Fiber installation approval time frame (or time taken to approve a Fiber network segment installation) is too high to cater the rapid growth of demand in Telecommunication?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Please comment.

A2. What is the current approval time frame for Fiber Cable installations?

- ☐ 30 – 60 days
- ☐ 60 – 120 days
- ☐ 120 – 180 days
- ☐ More than 180 days

A3. What is your view on the current time period for the process of approving Fiber cable installations?

Is this time frame:

- ☐ Too short
- ☐ About right
- ☐ Too long
- ☐ No opinion

A4. What should be the most appropriate time frame for the process of approving and resolving debates surrounding specific Fiber network routes?

A5. Do you feel that the current procedures place too little emphasis on public consultation, too much emphasis, or about the right amount?

- ☐ Too little emphasis on public consultation
- ☐ Too much emphasis on public consultation
- ☐ About the right amount of emphasis on public consultation
- ☐ No opinion

A6. In your experience, are adequate efforts made to notify the general public of proposals for new Fiber Cables installations?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

A7. In the cases you are familiar with, what is the most common method of notifying the public about a proposal for a new Fiber installation?

A8. How effective is this method of notification in ensuring that members of the public are aware of proposals for new Fiber installations?

- ☐ Very effective
- ☐ Somewhat effective
- ☐ Not very effective
- ☐ Not effective at all
- ☐ No opinion

A9. What do you feel would be the most effective way of notifying members of the public about proposals for new Fiber installations?

A10. How much time do you feel should be allowed between public notification of a proposed installation and the start of any public consultations on the proposal?

- ☐ 1-2 weeks
- ☐ 2 - 4 weeks
- ☐ 4- 6 weeks
- ☐ More than 6 weeks
- ☐ No opinion

A11. How much time should be allowed for public consultations to take place?

- ☐ 1 - 2 weeks
- ☐ 2 - 4 weeks
- ☐ 4- 6 weeks
- ☐ More than 6 weeks
- ☐ No opinion

A12. One type of consultation involves people gathering together for a public meeting to express their views. Another method of consultation involves allowing people to submit their views individually in writing over an extended period of time. Which method would you prefer for consultations on Fiber network proposals?

- ☐ Public meetings
- ☐ Written submissions
- ☐ No opinion

A13. In terms of submitting views in writing, which method of submission would you prefer?

- ☐ By mail
- ☐ Over the internet
- ☐ No opinion

A14. Who do you think should be responsible for organizing public consultations on proposed Fiber Network installations?

- ☐ The local land-use authority
- ☐ The company making the proposal
- ☐ The government-TRCSL
- ☐ Other (please specify)
- ☐ No opinion

A15. Do you feel there should be a common consultation procedure in place for all communities across Sri Lanka, or should local communities develop their own consultation procedures?

- ☐ Common consultation procedure for all communities across Sri Lanka
- ☐ Local communities should develop their own consultation procedures
- ☐ No opinion

A16. Do you feel public consultations should be a requirement for all new Fiber Network proposals, or do you feel consultations should only be required if there is a significant level of concern expressed in the local community?

- ☐ Consultations should be required for all new Fiber laying proposals
- ☐ Consultations should required only if a significant level of
- ☐ Concern is expressed
- ☐ No opinion

A17. What's your feeling about present approval procedure and time frame with other stakeholders in this approval process? (such as Central Environmental Authority, Urban Development Authority, Department of Civil Aviation, Ministry of Defence, Ministry of Forest and Environment, TRCSL, Ministry of Health, Ministry of Information and Media, Ministry of Labour)

A18. What are your suggestions to reduce delays due to too many stakeholders involvement?

A19. Do you like to have one meeting with all stakeholders during set time frame?

A20. Do you have any other specific suggestions for improving the local consultation process regarding Fiber network Installation?

A21. Would you like Government (TRCSL) to coordinate all stake holders and handle this approval process?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

A22. Do you think if government (TRCSL) handle this approval process will be faster than other institutions?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

A23. Do you like Government to pass the constitution regarding the Fiber Network approval and sharing procedures?

A number of issues are sometimes raised in response to the digging Roads for a new Fiber. In our experience, how important is each of the following issues to the general public?

A24. The aesthetics and Surface Quality of Roads?

- ☐ Very important
- ☐ Somewhat important
- ☐ Not all that important
- ☐ No opinion

A25. The potential effect on the property values of nearby properties?

- ☐ Very important
- ☐ Somewhat important
- ☐ Not all that important
- ☐ No opinion

A26. How many Fiber Network installations your organization has done so far?

A27. What will be the future Fiber Network Installations forecast for next five years?

A28. How many individual Fiber installations have you had some involvement with, either in an official capacity or as a concerned member of the public?

A29. Did any of these proposed Fiber Network installations generate significant public opposition?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

A30. And what was the outcome in each of those cases?

- ☐ The Fiber Network was not installed in the proposed location
- ☐ The Fiber Network was installed in the proposed location with significant modifications to meet public concerns
- ☐ The Fiber Network was installed in the proposed location with no significant modifications.
- ☐ Do not remember

A31. What is your general feeling about current government regulations for Fiber Network installations? Do they:

- ☐ Favor the interests of industry in installing Fiber in desired locations?
- ☐ Favor the concerns of communities about the negative impact of fiber laying installations?
- ☐ Strike a good balance between the interests of industry and the concerns of communities?
- ☐ No opinion

A32. Do you think Government regulations to be reviewed? Please write your comment.

Optical Fiber Network Sharing Process

The following section of the survey asks about Optical Fiber Network Sharing process in Sri Lanka. Presently operators are sharing the optical fiber networks in mutual understanding basis. But need some attention due to higher number of optical fiber installations taking place in Sri Lanka.

A33. How much capacity in your organization being shared by other operators?

A34. How and to what extent can Network sharing be utilized in order to reduce the total number of fiber networks?

A35. Do you like to promote optical fiber network sharing process in Sri Lanka?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

A36. To what extent do you like to share the optical network with other operators?

A37. What are the impacts due to optical fiber network sharing? (Any technical difficulties)

A38. Do you like government to promote optical fiber network sharing in residential areas as an initial step?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes/no please comment

A39. “To avoid an excessive number of fiber laying projects in same area, the Government expects optical fiber network owners to work cooperatively in reaching agreements which allow for and encourage the sharing of fiber networks”
Do you agree with above statement? If yes/no please comment.

A40. Do you like government to control optical fiber network sharing process by developing new policy? If yes/no please comment.

A41. Do you have any suggestions to propose to government regarding the optical fiber network sharing process? If yes/no please comment.

A42. If Government acquire all the optical fiber networks in Sri Lanka and rearrange the existing setup with optical fiber network sharing and started to lease the optical fiber network to operators, do you like to support the Government? Please write your comment?

A.43. Current position:

A.44 Gender:

A.45 Nature of current involvements:

A.46. Experience in current position (in years):

A.47. Experience in Industry (in years):

Regulator Questionnaire

R1. Is present fiber laying approval process (time taken to approve) take longer time period?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Please comment?

R2. What is the present approximate time taken for such an approval process?

- ☐ 30 – 60 days
- ☐ 60 – 120 days
- ☐ 120 – 180 days
- ☐ More than 180 days

R3. What approximate time duration you recommend for process of approving fiber laying.

- ☐ 30 – 60 days
- ☐ 60 – 120 days
- ☐ 120 – 180 days
- ☐ More than 180 days

R4. How does the TRCSL involve in fiber laying approval process?

R5. What is your view on public consultation (public awareness) in such a fiber laying project?

- ☐ Too little emphasis on public consultation is there presently
- ☐ Too much emphasis on public consultation is there presently
- ☐ Right amount of emphasis on public consultation is there presently
- ☐ No opinion

R6. In your experience, are adequate efforts made to notify the general public on new fiber laying on roads?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R7. What do you think as the most effective way of notifying general public on proposals for new fiber laying projects?

R8. How effective is this method of notification in ensuring that members of the public are aware of proposals for new fiber laying projects?

- ☐ Very effective
- ☐ Somewhat effective
- ☐ Not very effective
- ☐ Not effective at all
- ☐ No opinion

R9. How long period of duration should be given for general public to submit their concerns before starting public consultation?

- ☐ 1-2 weeks
- ☐ 2 - 4 weeks
- ☐ 4- 6 weeks
- ☐ More than 6 weeks
- ☐ No opinion

R10. How long period of duration should be assigned for public consultations to take place?

- ☐ 1 - 2 weeks
- ☐ 2 - 4 weeks
- ☐ 4- 6 weeks
- ☐ More than 6 weeks
- ☐ No opinion

R11. Which kind of method you prefer to get general public view on new fiber laying projects?

- ☐ Through public meetings to get general public's view
- ☐ Written submissions
- ☐ Other (please specify)
- ☐ No opinion

R12. In terms of submitting views in writing, which method of submission would you prefer?

- ☐ By postal mail
- ☐ By electronic mail
- ☐ Over the internet postings
- ☐ Other (please specify)
- ☐ No opinion

R13. Which party you think should be responsible for organizing public consultations on proposed new fiber laying projects?

- ☐ The local land-use authority
- ☐ Road Development authority
- ☐ The company making the proposal
- ☐ The government
- ☐ Other (please specify)
- ☐ No opinion

R14. Which kind of common consultation procedure you prefer for

- ☐ A consultation procedure for all communities across Sri Lanka
- ☐ A consultation procedure for local communities who are effected with this new fiber laying project
- ☐ No opinion

R15. Do you think the public consultation is a must?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R16. What do you recommend to reduce delays due to too many stakeholders involvement?

R17. Do you like to conduct a one meetings sessions with all the stakeholders till the new proposal is approved or disapproved?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R18. What do you recommend to improve the present public consultation process?

R19. IF Government (TRCSL) takes the leadership to coordinate all stake holders and handle this approval process till it is finalized, do you agree?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Fiber Network Installation

So many issues are faced in fiber network installation process by the general public, regulators & the government stake holders and Operators.

R20. The aesthetics or appearance of roads after laying cables is how important?

- ☐ Very important
- ☐ Somewhat important
- ☐ Not all that important
- ☐ No opinion

R21. The potential effect on the damage made to underground property (water lines, electricity lines, drainage lines and other communication lines)?

- ☐ Very important
- ☐ Somewhat important
- ☐ Not all that important
- ☐ No opinion

R22. The potential effect of Road blocking leading to traffic jams during fiber laying Process on the roads?

- ☐ Very important
- ☐ Somewhat important
- ☐ Not all that important
- ☐ No opinion

R23. Which of these three issues would you say is of the greatest concern to the public? general

- ☐ Aesthetics / appearance of roads
- ☐ Damages to underground properties
- ☐ Road blocking/traffic
- ☐ No opinion

R24. Can you describe in greater detail the specific concerns people have made in these areas? each of

The aesthetics or appearance of roads after laying cables

The potential effect on damage made to underground property

The potential effect of Road blocking leading to traffic jams during fiber laying Process on the road?

R25. What is the total length of fiber cables networks installed in Sri Lanka presently?
Approximate Value in Kilometers

R26. What is the total length of fiber cables networks to be installed in Sri Lanka future?
Approximate Value in Kilometers

R27. Do you have information data base of underground infrastructure to pre –informs the operator in excavations to lay the fiber cables?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R28. Do you have enough resources to keep an up to date data base of such underground infrastructure?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Please comment

R29. Do you have any suggestions to address the underground infrastructure damaging issue in future?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please explain with examples

Government Policies

R30. Has Government taken enough regulations to control the Fiber Installations in Sri Lanka ?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Please comment on your view

R31. Do you have “Optical Fiber Network Infrastructure” Policy under Telecommunication act?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R32. If no, do you think that such a policy is required under Telecommunication Act in Sri Lanka, to control and provide a frame work for Fiber Network Installations?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R33. Do you think present rules and regulations are adequate enough to punish public, private and state infrastructure damages in Sri Lanka due to fiber installations?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

34. Do you have any suggestions or considerations to be included in Optical fiber network installation policy in Sri Lanka? Please add your suggestions and considerations.

Fiber Network Sharing Process

The following section of the survey asks about Fiber Network infrastructure sharing Process in Sri Lanka.

R35. Do you think that optical fiber network infrastructure can be shared among operators in Sri Lanka?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R36. As a stakeholder what is your view on optical fiber network infrastructure sharing in Sri Lanka?

R37. What would be the impact on communication infrastructure development in island wide?

R38. Do you recommend for operators to go for optical fiber sharing schemes for existing infrastructure and upcoming?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

Fiber Networks information

R39. Is there any fiber networks information data base for all island?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R40. Is it available for any operator to query information?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

R41. What is the mechanism operator use to access that information?

R42. Are your organization is conducting any awareness programs for general public regarding the fiber network related issues?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please explain with examples

R43. Do you like to propose any mechanism to publish fiber network related issues to general public?

R.44. Current position:

R.45 Gender:

R.46 Nature of current involvements:

R.47. Experience in current position (in years):

R.48. Experience in Industry (in years):

APPENDIX B: GENERAL PUBLIC QUESTIONNAIRE RESULTS

	Frequency	Percent
Male	71	74.7
Female	24	25.3
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.1: Gender Summary

	Frequency	Percent
Using Telco Services	92	96.8
Not Using Telco Services	3	3.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.2: Type of User

	Frequency	Percent
Urban Area	60	63.2
Rural Area	35	36.8
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.3: Living Area

	Frequency	Percent
Public	9	9.5
Government	4	4.2
Telecom Industry	65	68.4
Others	17	17.9
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.4: Respondents by Group

	Age	Valid N (list wise)
N	95	95
Range	25	
Minimum	25	
Maximum	50	
Mean	30.38	
Std. Deviation	4.679	
Variance	21.897	

Table 4.5: Age Summary Statistics

	Frequency	Percent
Sinhalese	33	34.7
Tamil	35	36.8
English	27	28.4
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.6: Language used

Q1	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	38	40.0
Agree	47	49.5
Neither Agree nor Disagree	10	10.5
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.7: Beneficial to the community

Q2	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	29	30.5
Agree	46	48.4
Neither Agree nor Disagree	16	16.8
Disagree	4	4.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.8: Public acceptance of fiber networks near home

Q3	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	2	2.1
Agree	20	21.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	24	25.3
Disagree	38	40.0
Strongly Disagree	11	11.6
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.9: Public acceptance of the way currently fiber networks are laid on roads

Q4	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	10	10.5
Agree	41	43.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	38	40.0
Disagree	3	3.2
Strongly Disagree	3	3.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.10: Increased property values

Q6	Frequency	Percent
Agree	3	3.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	6	6.3
Disagree	42	44.2
Strongly Disagree	44	46.3
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.11: Negative Impacts due to fiber networks

Q8	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	35	36.8
Agree	38	40.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	22	23.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.12: Government Involvement in monitoring fiber network installations

	Frequency	Percent
Agree	2	2.1
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12	12.6
Disagree	50	52.6
Strongly Disagree	31	32.6
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.13: Public opposition for new fiber cable

Q7	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	7	7.4
Agree	22	23.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	15	15.8
Disagree	37	38.9
Strongly Disagree	14	14.7
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.14: Public awareness on current installations in the community

Q9	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	22	23.2
Agree	34	35.8
Neither Agree nor Disagree	35	36.8
Disagree	4	4.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.15: Public awareness using common media

Q13	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	23	24.2
Agree	44	46.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	7	7.4
Disagree	21	22.1
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.16: Unavailability of common body for public to inform any issues

10	Frequency	Percent
Agree	24	25.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	43	45.3
Disagree	28	29.5
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.17: Public opposition for new fiber cables

14	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	26	27.4
Agree	62	65.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	3	3.2
Disagree	4	4.2
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.18: Unavailability of Public awareness

15	Frequency	Percent
Agree	3	3.2
Neither Agree nor Disagree	41	43.2
Disagree	44	46.3
Strongly Disagree	7	7.4
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.19: Public consultation

16	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	18	18.9
Agree	36	37.9
Neither Agree nor Disagree	21	22.1
Disagree	18	18.9
Strongly Disagree	2	2.1
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.20: Government rules & regulations

12	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	53	55.8
Agree	24	25.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	9	9.5
Disagree	9	9.5
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.21: Public agreeability on sharing

18	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	44	46.3
Agree	19	20.0
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12	12.6
Disagree	20	21.1
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.22: Public interest on government control sharing scheme

19	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	29	30.5
Agree	30	31.6
Neither Agree nor Disagree	21	22.1
Disagree	13	13.7
Strongly Disagree	2	2.1
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.23: Necessity of a sharing scheme in the country

20	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Agree	29	30.5
Agree	6	6.3
Neither Agree nor Disagree	12	12.6
Disagree	38	40.0
Strongly Disagree	10	10.5
Total	95	100.0

Table 4.24: Public interest on government ownership of the sharing infrastructure

