

**EVALUATION OF LONGITUDINAL AND LATERAL
FRICTION OF SRI LANKAN ROADS**

S.Thanenjeyan

128308J

DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

SRILANKA

March 2017

Declaration of the Candidate and Supervisor

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I also retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master/MPhil/PhD thesis/ Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof.W.K.Mampearachchi for his valuable comments, remarks and engagement through the learning process of this research report. I would like to convey special thanks to Dr.H.R.Pasindu, for his contribution in developing the ideas for the research. My sincere thanks go to the Director General, Road Development Authority for making this study possible by providing the financial assistance to enroll to the Masters Programme. My heartfelt gratitude goes to Eng.D.Ganesan, Director for Highway Designs Division of RDA for the enormous contribution of making the necessary provisions for this study and research related things. Let me thank (Late) Eng. (Ms.) M.M.B.Hasseba (Ex- Deputy Director, Highway Designs Division, RDA) and Eng. M.Ramanasundaran for their contribution in arranging and coordinating the field tests. Furthermore, I would like to thank the participants in the research, who have willingly shared their precious time during their research.

ABSTRACT

The road construction boom in Sri Lanka added new roads and expressways. With the improvement of roads, the exposure to accidents appears to be significant. Though appropriate methods are adopted during the design stage to ensure the road safety and due considerations were taken during the construction stages, it has been noticed significant increase in accidents and it may be purely because of the nature of the new road for road users. Pavement friction contribution is one of the key elements required for ensuring highway safety.

The longitudinal as well as the lateral friction determines the functional performance of the roads. The geometric design is carried out in Sri Lanka is based on Austroads, AASHTO publications and the guideline of RDA publication in the year of 1998, which is also prepared based on Austroads and AASHTO publications. It is a timely decision in local context to test the applicability of these friction parameters and to find out any variations and to provide recommendations. The outcome from this research will be very useful in the geometric design of highways, Pavement Management, construction and maintenance of roads. With limited studies and experiments in local roads for dry and wet conditions recommendations for the longitudinal friction coefficient has been formulated.

Regarding friction measurements, every country has instruments and methods of its own. But in Srilanka, due to the unavailability of new testing instruments, our data collection is limited to a conventional testing.

The information provided, will serve as the basis for further research and recommendations. Most importantly, it presents the case for reconsidering values of adopted for the design manual on a) Coefficient of longitudinal friction for asphalt b) the design of highway improvements with the longitudinal and lateral friction.

Table of Contents

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	II
ABSTRACT	III
Table of Contents	IV
List of Tables.....	VI
List of Figures	VII
List of Abbreviations.....	VIII
CHAPTER 1	1
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Problem statement	3
1.5 Scope of the report	4
CHAPTER 2	5
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Terminology used in Friction Evaluation.....	5
2.3 Models for identifying friction	6
2.3.1 PIARC Model	6
2.3.2 Rado Model.....	8
2.3.3 Pennsylvania Model (Penn State Model).....	9
2.4 Measurement of Friction	10
2.4.1 Conventional Methods in Measurement of Friction	12
2.5 Experimental Method of Measurement of Friction	14
2.5.1 Longitudinal Coefficient of Friction Measurement	14
2.5.2 Lateral Coefficient of Friction Measurement.....	15
CHAPTER 3	19
3 EVALUATION PROCEDURE.....	19
3.1 Methodology	19
3.2 Calculation of longitudinal Coefficient of Friction	21
CHAPTER 4	26
4 ANALYSIS.....	26
4.1 Lateral Coefficient of Friction.....	26

4.2	Longitudinal Coefficient of Friction	29
CHAPTER 5		31
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	31
5.1	Lateral Coefficient of Friction.....	31
5.2	Longitudinal Coefficient of Friction	31
5.3	Recommendations for Future Research	32
REFERENCE LIST		33

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Longitudinal Coefficient of friction in RDA Guidelines.....	14
Table 2-2 Values of Side friction in RDA Guidelines	16
Table 3-1 Case 1, Test 1 Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =5yrs, Dry Pavement.....	21
Table 3-2 Case 1, Test 2, Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =5yrs, Dry Pavement.....	22
Table 3-3 Case 1, Test 3 Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =5yrs, Dry Pavement.....	22
Table 3-4 Case 1, Test 4 Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =5yrs, Dry Pavement.....	23
Table 3-5Case 1, Mean value, Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =5yrs, Dry Pavement	24
Table 3-6Case 2,Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =0yrs, Dry Pavement.....	24
Table 3-7 Case3 Stopping sight distance, Asphalt, t =1yrs, Sea spraying Pavement	25
Table 4-1 Coefficient of Friction values with different standards	26
Table 4-2 Derivation of Minimum Curve radius for Australian Standards and RDA standard Lateral Friction coefficient values	27
Table 4-3 Practical speed of the curves	28
Table 4-4 Coefficient of Friction values with different standards with age of pavement and condition	29

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Simplified diagram of forces acting on a rotating wheel (Hall, 2009)	1
Figure 1-2 Pavement texture (Kummer and Meyer, 1962)	2
Figure 2-1 IFI friction model by PIARC	7
Figure 2-2 The IFI friction model (Rado, 1994)	8
Figure 2-3 Locked – Wheel Apparatus	11
Figure 2-4 Friction Supply vs. Demand (Echaveguren et al, 2004)	17
Figure 2-5 Reduction in Demand of Friction (Echaveguren et al, 2004)	17
Figure 2-6 Reduction in Supply of Friction (Echaveguren et al, 2004)	18
Figure 3-1 Practical location and testing	19
Figure 3-2 Practical location and condition of site	20
Figure 3-3 Practical locations	20
Figure 4-1 Speed vs Different standard friction values	26
Figure 4-2 Speed vs Different standard friction values	28
Figure 4-3 Speed vs Different standard and condition friction values	29

List of Abbreviations

Abbreviation	Description
AASHTO	American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials
IFI	International Friction Index
MTD	Mean Texture Depth
NMA	Nominal Maximum size of the aggregate
PIARC	Permanent International Association of Road Congress
RDA	Road Development Authority
SSD	Stopping Sight Distance
VMA	Voids in the mineral Aggregate
VTM	Total voids in the mixture