SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF UP FLOW ANAEROBIC SLUDGE BLANKET REACTORS (UASBS) IN A DAIRY WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT

Achala Samarakoon Godawita

(119256U)

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree

Master of Science

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

June 2014

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AN SUPERVISOR

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text."

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).



The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor

.....

Date

ABSTRACT

Anaerobic digestion is commonly used in industrial waste water treatment applications and it is a combination of many biochemical and physicochemical processes. Modeling and simulation of an anaerobic digestion is very vital to identify the behavior of industrial waste water treatment plant to optimize its operations.

In this research, anaerobic digestion model called ADM1(Anaerobic digestion model no 1) developed by IWA task group is applied to model and simulate UASB reactors. ADM1 model comprises with dynamic state variables which represent all biological and physic chemical reactions. This model was built in simulator called Aquasim 2.1f (Reichert, 1998).To model UASB reactors, simplified model is proposed based on different research compartments.UASB modeled by simulator taking as three CSTR compartments named sludge bed, blanket and settler. Influent for experimented waste water plant is waste water generated from dairy plant.

Experiments were conducted at waste wafer treatment plastr (WWTP) under three volumetric flow rates 10^m/d and 25^m/dc plant is actually spectrade at 25^m/d. Three parameters such as cumulative gas volume, plant coop were measured. At the same volumetric flow rate WWTP was simulated with the new model and parameters such as VFA, gas composition ,inhibition and biomass growth rates were obtained.

By varying recycle ratio from bed to blanket and settler to blanket, appropriate recycle ratio is replaced to get best fitted model. (bed to blanket-0.8 and settler to blanket 0.6).

Optimization was performed under two scenarios.ie by varying volumetric flow rates and input COD level. it was found that above $30m^3/d$ UASB become unstable producing 21% of CH₄ and 75% of CO₂. Also it can be found that 7500mgCOD/l can be treated at the volumetric rate of $30m^3/d$ without any instability

Key Words: UASB, dairy wastewater, anaerobic digestion, ADM1

DEDICATION

Dedicate with gratitude to my loving PARENTS for being the greatest pliers of my life...



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to deeply thank my supervisor Dr.P.G.Rathnasiri, Head of the Department of Chemical and Process Engineering for sharing your enthusiasm and great knowledge within the anaerobic digestion, and answering all my questions and thoughts you have given for the successes of this research. I gratefully acknowledge Professor Suren Wijekoon for introducing me into the exciting and vast world of wastewater treatment.

I am immensely grateful to Dr.Sanja Gunawardhana for giving me her kind advices, guidance for success of this research as my Co-supervisor .I owe my deepest gratitude to Dr Shantha Amarasinhe who is the former Head of the department of Chemical and process for giving me a great motivation to complete this Msc.

I would like to express my gratitude to Mr.Lal Keerthi Amarasiri Gunawardhana , Chairman of Lucky Lanka Milk processing Co limited to give his fullest cooperation in this research. Also I would like to thank Eng.Janaka Nanayakkara for his grate support for this work and University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. To Manager, Mr.Shiith Somasiri and other employees at Environmental Department in Electronic Theses & Dissertations Lucky Lanka mike Processing Co.Ltd, for valuable comments on my work, helping me in moving forward within the process.Special Thanks goes to Mr. Dhanushka Gallage who is working as my assistant in the organization for helping me in all experiments and other related work in this research.

I would like to thank all colleagues following Msc throughout this study.

Most importantly, none of these would not have been possible without the love and patience of my wife Prasadi. I deeply indebted to my parents, mother & father in law who always supported and encouraged me.

I believe that my research will contribute something for Environmental Engineering and anaerobic digestion.

Achala Godawita

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION OF CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISORS	i
ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	. iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	.v
LIST OF FIGURES	. viii
LIST OF TABLES	. X
LIST OF ABRIVATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 01 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Objective of the thesis	
1.3 Research objectives.	
1.4 Researce intrategy ty of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
Summary of the nies Theses & Dissertations	4
CHAPTER 02 : LITERATURE REVIEW ac.lk	5
2.1 CONVERSION PROCESSES IN ANAEROBIC DIGESTION	5
2.1.1 Hydrolysis	6
2.1.2 Acidogenesis	6
2.1.3 Acetogenesis	7
2.1.4 Methanogenesis	7
2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	.9
2.2.1Temperature	.9
2.2.2 pH	10
2.2.3Nutrients and trace elements	.10
2.2.4 Toxic material and other controlling factors	.10
2.3 PROCESS PRAMETERS	11
2.3.1 Solid Retention time	. 11
2.3.2 Organic Loading Rate (OLR)	. 11

2.4 ANAEROBIC DIGESTION MODEL 1(ADM1)	12
2.4.1 Steps in ADM1Model	14
2.4.1.1 Disintegration	14
2.4.1.2 Substrate uptake rate	15
2.4.1.3 Process Inhibition	17
2.4.1.4 Differential equations soluble matter	18
2.4.1.5 PH Calculations	19
2.4.1.6 Gas phase equations	22
2.5 UP FLOW ANAEROBIC SLUDGE BLANKET (UASB)	21
2.6 EXITING UASB REACTOR MODELS	22
2.6.1Combined CSTR and PFR model	22
2.6.2 Two zone model	23
2.6.3 One dimensional bio film model	24
2.6.4 Three compartment model	24
2.6.5 One dimension model University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	25
2.6 6. Ewo compartment mode Dissertations	26
2.7 MODELING AND SIMULATION TOOLS	27
2.7.1 AQUASIM 2.0	27
CHAPTER 03 : MATERIAL AND METHODS	29
3.1 Introduction to dairy WWTP	29
3.1.1 Process Description of waste water treatment plant	31
3.2 Dairy Wastewater Characterization	32
3.3 Sampling & testing of raw waste water	33
3.4 Experiments	34
3.4.1 Method of COD Analysis	34
3.4.2 pH measurement	35
3.4.3 Gas flow rate measurements	36
3.5 Model Development	36
3.5.1 Existing Anaerobic Rectors	36
3.5.2 Schematic Model for Simulation	37

3.5.2.1 Sludge bed compartment in UASB R1	8	
3.5.2.2 Sludge blanket in UASB R1	8	
3.4.2.3 Settling compartment UASB R1	9	
3.5.2.4 Head space UASB R1	9	
CHAPTER 04 : RESULTS & DISCUSSION	.0	
4.1.1 Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) Reduction	0	
4.1.2 Reactor PH	-2	
4.1.3 Volatile Fatty acids 44	4	
4.1.4 Gas flow rates	-6	
4.1.5 Bio mass Concentration and Growth Rate	1	
4.1.6 Process Inhibition	4	
4.1.7 Optimization Results	6	
CHAPTER 05: CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS	51	
5.1 CONCLUSIONSITY of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka	51	
Electronic Theses & Dissertations See COMMENDATION FOR FUTURE STUDIES		
REFERENCES	i3	
APPENDIX A	i8	
A.1 ADM1 model	<i>.</i> 18	
A.2 Extended ADM1 model matrix		

B.1 ADM1 Kinetic parameters	70
	10

INDEX OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Research strategy using block diagram				
Figure 2-1	Steps of anaerobic digestion				
Figure 2.2	Conversion processes in anaerobic digestion as used in the ADM19				
Figure 2-3	COD flux for a particulate composite comprised of 10% inert				
	and 30% each proteins, carbohydrates and fats (in terms of COD)	12			
Figure 2-4	Schematic diagram of a typical single tank digester (Batstone at al,2002				
Figure 2-4	Scheme of ADM1 influent characterization				
Figure 2-4	Schematic drawing of a UASB reactor (Adapted from Chernicharo, 2007) 2				
Figure 2-5	Schematic Model proposed by Wu and Hickey				
	(Adapted from Wu and Hickey, 1997)	23			
Figure 2-6	Schematic Model proposed by Gomes et al				
	(Adapted from Gomes et al., 1998)	23			
Figure 2-7	Biofilm Model. (Adapted from Pritchett and Docker ,2001)	. 24			
Figure 2-8	Schematic Model proposed By Ricardo F.F. Pontes, Jose M. Pinto University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. (Adapted from Ricardo F.F. Pontes, Jose M. Pinto, 2002)	25			
Figure2-9	Schematic Model proposed By Larisa Korsak.				
Figure 2-10	Schematic Diagram of links between AQUASIM subsystems	28			
Figure 2-11	Screen shot of subsystems in modeled AQUASIM Programme				
Figure 3-1	Process flow diagram of Waste water treatment plant				
Figure 3-2	Schematic diagram of gas measuring set up	. 36			
Figure 3-3	Schematic Block diagram of developed model	37			
Figure 4-1	Out let OLR at flow rate of 25m ³ /d	. 40			
Figure 4-2	Out let OLR at flow rate of 10m ³ /d	41			
Figure 4-3	Outlet OLR at flow rate of 15m ³ /d	. 42			
Figure 4-4	At inlet flow rate of 25m ³ /d,pH inside the UASB R2	. 43			
Figure 4-5	Simulation results of VFA concentrations in UASB1	. 44			
Figure 4-6	Simulation results of VFA concentrations in UASBR2	. 45			
Figure 4-7	Flames at the outlets of Gas flow from two reactors	46			
Figure 4-8	Cumulative Gas volume vs. time in UASBR1	46			

Figure 4-9	Simulation and experimental results of gas flow rates in UASBR147				
Figure 4-10	Gas composition in UASBR1 at the flow rate of $25m^3/d$				
Figure 4-11	HCO ₃ - content in UASBR1				
Figure 4-12	Simulation and experimental results of Gas flow rates in UASBR2				
Figure 4-13	Simulated gas composition in UASBR2				
Figure 4-14	Simulation results of Bio mass Growth rates in UASBR1	52			
Figure 4-15	Simulated bio mass concentration in UASB1	. 52			
Figure 4-16	Simulation results of Bio mass Growth rates in UASB2	53			
Figure 4-17	simulated inorganic nitrogen in UASBR1	54			
Figure 4-18	Simulation results of Biomass inhibition in UASB1	55			
Figure 4-19	Inhibition Function in UASBR2	56			
Figure 4-20	COD reduction at 2950mgCOD/l and 30m ³ /day	57			
Figure 4-21	COD reduction at 2950mgCOD/l and 31m ³ /day	57			
Figure 4-22	pHOASBR2 at volumetric flow rate of 31m ³ /dayions	58			
Figure 4-23	Biogas composition of UASBR2 at volumetric flow rate of 31m ³ /day	59			
Figure 4-23	COD reduction at COD level of 7500mgCOD/l at flow rate of 30m ³ /day	. 60			

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Products from glucose fermentation	7
Table 2-2	Reactions related to methanogenesis	. 8
Table 3-1	Composition of Raw cow's milk	. 32
Table 3-2	Raw Wastewater Characterization by Industrial technological institute	. 33
Table 3-4	COD test results for composite sample from EQ tank	34
Table 3-5	Total COD according to ITI analysis report	35



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

LIST OF ABBRIVATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
AA	Amino Acid
ASTM	American Society of testing and materials
AD	Anaerobic Digestion
ADM	Anaerobic Digestion Model
CIP	Clean In Place
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CSTR	Continuously Stirred Tank Reactor
DOC	Degradable Organic Carbon
HAc	Acetic acid
HBu	Butyric Acid
HPr	Propionic Acid
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
HVa	Valaric Acid
IC	University of Murganis Gathan Lanka.
IN	Electronic Thesessandissessations
IWA	www.lib.mrt.ag.lk
LCFA	Long Chain Fatty Acids
MLVSS	Mixed Liquor Volatile Suspended Solids
ODE	Ordinary Differential Equation
OLR	Organic lording rate
SRT	Solids Retention Time
TS	Total Solids
TSS	Total Suspended solids
UASB	Up flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket
VFA	Volatile Fatty Acids
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solid
WWTP	Waste Water Treatment Plant