18/00N/52/2013

1022/08

## FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING OF DEFORMATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOFT SOILS IN COLOMBO OCH PROJECT

LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA, SRI LANKA

MORATUWA

### **DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING**

#### FOUNDATION ENGINEERING AND EARTH RETAINING SYSTEMS



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.NoR:PtNcNILMINIE

### DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

### **FACULTY OF ENGINEERING**

### **UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA**

#### **SRI LANKA**



624 "12" 624.15 (043)

105055

### **DECEMBER 2012**

105055

# FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING OF DEFORMATION CHARACTERISTICS OF SOFT SOILS IN COLOMBO OCH PROJECT

This Thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Master of Engineering

Foundation Engineering and Earth Retaining Systems



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lN.R.P. N. Milminie

### Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

December 2012

### **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to

My beloved Parents and My Husband Prasanna



#### **DECLARATION**

I hereby certify that this dissertation does not incorporate any material without acknowledgement, and material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any university to the best of my knowledge, and further I believe it does not contain any material previously published, written or orally communicated by another person except where due reference is made in the text

Signature of the candidate.

W.N.R.P.N. Nilminie

This is to certify that this thesis submitted by W.N.R.P.N.Nilminie is a record of the candidate's own work carried out by hen under by supervision. The matter embodied in this thesis is original and has his been submitted for the award of any other degree.

### **UOM Verified Signature**

Signature of the Supervisor Prof. U.G.A. Puswewala Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

Date

21-12-2012

Date

#### ABSTRACT

In Sri Lanka, currently many development projects such as major highways are being constructed over soft soil deposits of low bearing capacity and excessive settlement characteristics, mainly due to unavailability of good land and high cost involved in land acquisition. The Colombo Outer Circular Highway (OCH) is one such infrastructure development project, being constructed with the objectives of encouraging the development of current or future growth centers connected by radial routes, and diverting through traffic from the center of the city.

Deformations, stability and time required for consolidation are major considerations in the design and construction of embankments over soft sub-soils. The sub-soil of OCH Southern section consists of peat, organic and inorganic-clay and loose sand. Therefore countermeasures are required to control the settlement of underlying deep and extensive layers of soft soil. One method adopted is to install pre-fabricated vertical drains (POD into the underlying soft soils and place earth embankments on top, partly as necessary substructure for the highway, and partly as preload to accelerate the settlement of soft soils beneath.

This work presents a numerical simulation of the deformation of the earth embankments and soft soil underlying the Colombo Outer Circular Highway. Finite Element analysis software Plaxis 8.2(2002) is used to model the long-term creep deformation behavior of soft soil loaded by embankments, with pre-fabricated vertical drains installed in the soft soil strata. Two constitute models are used for the analysis; Mohr-Coulomb Model to represent the earth embankment and Soft Soil Creep model to simulate the soft sub soils.

A major effort was needed to determine appropriate material parameters for input to the selected constitutive models, and the final selection was made based on empirical considerations. The actual three-dimensional problem domain is converted to equivalent two-dimensional plane-strain domain. The equivalence between the planestrain and axi-symmetric analyses were established by a permeability matching procedure. All field conditions including the load incrementing sequences are simulated, and coupled consolidation/creep analysis is performed to predict the settlement behavior. Numerical predictions are compared with observed field settlement records, and agreement is seen between the predicted results and the observed field measurements, indicating the feasibility of using the numerical model for predicting purposes, and the empirical method need to determine the applicable material parameters for the selected constitutive models.



### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Prof. U.G.A. Puswewala, who has contributed a great deal to this research. His guidance, support and encouragement made this research a great success.

My sincere appreciation is also extended to Dr. U.P. Nawagamuwa, Course Coordinator, and Dr. L.I.N. De Silva, Research Coordinator in M.Eng/PG Diploma in Foundation Design and Earth Retaining Systems. Further my gratitude goes to all staff members of the Geotechnical Division, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for support extended and kind guidance.

My appreciations should go to Mr. R.W.R Pemasiri, Director General of Road Development Authority (RDA) for giving me necessary approvals to carry out this research. I express my gratitude to the OCH division of RDA and Oriental Consultants (Pvt) Ltd for providing data on the instrumentation, monitoring and relevant field and aboratory measurements; say wells as their Kind cooperation and support to make this effort a great success.

My sincere gratitude should go to my beloved parents and husband for their kind cooperation, support and encouragement throughout the progress of this task.

Also, I would like to thank all the people who supported me in this work in many ways, but whose names are not mentioned.

Weerawarna Nilaweera Ran Patabandighe Nadeeka Nilminie

01<sup>st</sup> December 2012

### CONTENTS

DEDICA	ATION	i
DECLA	RATION	ii
ABSTR	ACT	iii
ACKNO	OWLEDGEMENT	v
CONTE	ENTS	vi
СНАРТ	ER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	General Background	1
1.2.	Project Outline	
1.3.	Scope and Objectives of the Study	
1.4.	Study Area	6
1.5.	Significance of the Study ity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	7
СНАРТ	TER 2: LITERATURE REIVIEW. ac.1k	
2.1.	Preloading	8
2.2.	Vertical drains	
2.2.	.1. Prefabricated Vertical Drains (PVD)	14
2.2	.2. Installation of PVDs	15
2.2	.3. Field instrumentation	16
2.3.	Characteristics of Vertical Drain Systems	16
2.3	.1. Purpose and application	16
2.4.	Design of Prefabricated Vertical Drains	19
2.4	1.1. Plane strain consolidation model and conversion procedure	19
2.4	4.2. Influence zone of drains	24
2.4	4.3. Consolidation around vertical drains	24

2.5.	Factors Influencing the Efficiency of Vertical Drains	24
2.5.	1. Smear zone	.24
2.5.	2. The effect of unsaturation during installation	.27
2.6.	Finite Element Method (FEM)	.28
2.7.	Case histories of Finite Element Modelling of geotechnical problems	.29
2.8.	Plaxis 8.2 (Plaxis, 2002)	31
СНАРТ	ER 3: METHODOLOGY	.33
3.1.	Basic Concepts and Application of Plaxis 8.2(2002) software	.33
3.1.	1. Introduction to the software tools of Plaxis	. 33
3.1.	2. Elements	. 34
3.1.	3. Calculation Types.	. 35
3.1.	.4. Material Modelstronic Theses & Dissertations	. 36
3.1.	.5. Numerical modelling using Plaxis	. 38
3.2.	Research Methodology	. 39
3.2	.1. Selection of locations	. 39
3.2	.2. Selection of soil parameters	. 39
3.2	.3. Correlation equations for soil compressibility / consolidation	. 43
3.2	.4. Plane strain consolidation model and conversion procedure	. 43
3.2	.5. Numerical modelling using Plaxis	. 44
3.3	.6. General modelling sequence for embankments over soft soil	. 44
СНАРТ	TER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 59
4.1.	Field Construction and Settlement Monitoring behavior	. 59
4.2.	Finite Element mesh for case study sections	. 63
4.3.	Numerical Predictions and Comparisons of Case Study Sections	. 66

4.3.1.	Predicted settlements of the embankment	. 71			
4.3.2.	Effective stresses at 22.530 km	. 79			
4.3.3.	Surcharging without PVD	. 82			
4.3.4.	Updated mesh analysis	. 85			
CHAPTER 5	SE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	. 93			
5.1. Sun	nmary	. 93			
5.2. Cor	nclusions	. 94			
CHAPTER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES					
6.1. Sug	gestions for future research areas	. 96			
REFERENC	ES	97			
APPENDICI	Electronic Theses & Dissertations	101			

### List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Location map of Outer Circular Highway (OCH)
Figure 2:1 Idealized types of settlement (Rixner et al. 1986)9
Figure 2.2: Initial and final stresses under the center of the test embankment with range of preconsolidation pressure (Bergado et al. 1991)
Figure 2.3: Preloading of subsoil
Figure 2.4: Resulting settlement due to preloading
Figure 2.5: Typical vertical drain installation for a highway embankment (Rixner et al. 1986)
Figure 2.6: System of PVDs with sand blanket and surcharge preloading (Indraratna et al., 2005d)
Figures 2.7: Conceptual illustration of band- shaped PVD and equivalent diameter of drain well (Indraratina et al., 2005fy of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, 17 17   Electronic Theses & Dissertations 17   Figures 2.8: Assessment of equivalent diameter of band shaped vertical drain (Indraratina et al., 2005f). 17
Figure 2.9: PVD deformation modes (after Holtz et al. 1991)
Figure 2.10: Conversion of an axisymmetric unit cell into plane strain condition (after Redana, 1999)
Figure 2.11: Ratio of kh/kv along the radial distance from the central drain (after Indraratna and Redana, 1995)
Figure 2.12: Ratio of kh/kv along the radial distance from the central drain (after Indraratna and Redana, 1995)
Figure 3.1: Position of nodes and stress points in soil elements (a). 15- nodes; (b). 6-nodes
Figure 3.2: Borehole log at 22.508 km
Figure 3.3: Borehole log at 22.960 k
Figure 3.4: Surcharge completion at sta.28+100

Figure 3.5: Surcharge completion at sta.28+150
Figure 3.6: Borehole log at 27.940 km
Figure 3.7: Borehole log at 0.900 km
Figure 4.1: Embankment Construction and Settlement behavior at Location of 22.580km
Figure 4.2: Embankment Construction and Settlement behavior at Location of 22.900km
Figure: 4.3 Finite element mesh of embankment for the location of 22.530 km63
Figure: 4.4 Finite element mesh of embankment for the location of 22.900 km 64
Figure: 4.5 Finite element mesh of embankment for the location of 23.000 km 64
Figure: 4.6 Finite element mesh of embankment for the location of 28.050 km 65
Figure 4.7: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 22.530 66   University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 67   Figure 4.8: Comparison of interperical results and fields data tat 22.580 km 67   www.lib.mrt.ac.lk 67   Figure 4.9: Comparison numerical results and field at 22.900 km 67
Figure 4.10: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 22.950 km
Figure 4.11: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 23.000 km
Figure 4.12: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 23.050 km
Figure 4.13: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 28.050 km
Figure 4.14: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 28.150 km
Figure 4.15: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 0.850 km of Ramp-5 
Figure 4.16: Comparison of numerical results and field data at 0.900 km of Ramp-5 
Figure 4.17: Vertical displacement at 22.530
Figure 4.18: Vertical displacement vs time plot at 22.530 (at embankment toe)72

Figure 4.19: Horizontal displacement vs time plot at 22.530 (at embankment toe)73
Figure 4.20: Cross section of Vertical displacement underneath the embankment (from center to toe of the embankment
Figure 4.21: Cross section of Vertical displacement along the center line of the embankment (from top to bottom of soft layer)
Figure 4.22: Excess pore pressure dissipation at 22.530 km
Figure 4.23: Excess Pore Pressure along the center line of the soft soil layer at 22.530 km
Figure 4.24: Vertical effective stress ( yy) distribution at 22.530 km
Figure 4.25: Numerical simulations in surcharging with and without PVD at 22.530 km
Figure 4.26: Numerical simulations in surcharging with and without PVD at 22.900 km
Figure 4.27: Numerical, simulations in surcharging with and without PVD at 22.950 km
Figure 4.28: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 22.530km
Figure 4.29: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 22.580 km
Figure 4.30: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 22.900 km
Figure 4.31: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 22.950 km
Figure 4.32: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 23.000 km
Figure 4.33: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at 28.050 km
Figure 4.34: Settlement of the centre of the embankment using updated mesh calculation at Ramp-5:0.900 km

Figure A.1: Flow chart of Settlement Analysis with Countermeasure (from Chapter 3 soft soil countermeasures-3-19)
Figure B.1: The Mohr-Coulomb yield surface in principal stress space(c=0) 106
Figure B.2: The two dimensional state of Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion (Murty 2003)
Figure B.3: Condition and creep behavior in standard oedometer test
Figure B.4: Idealized stress-strain curve from oedometer test with division of strain increments into an elastic and a creep component. For t' $+tc = 1$ day, one arrives precisely on the NC-L ine
precisely on the NC-Line. 112



### List of Tables

Table 2.1: Types of vertical drains (after Holtz et al., 1991)	14
Table 2.2: Modified Cam- clay parameters used in consolidometer analysis   (Indraratna et al., 2004)	26
Table 2.3: Proposed smear zone parameters (Xiao, 2002)	27
Table 3.1: Coefficient of Permeability	40
Table 3.2: Permeability and drainage conditions of some soil types (Lambe and Whitman, 1969)	40
Table 3.3: Classification of soils accordingly to their permeability (Lambe and Whitman, 1969).	41
Table 3.4: Typical values of permeability for sands (Murthy, 2003)	41
Table 3.5: Value range for static stress- strain modulus Es for selected soils (after Bowels, 1996)   University of Moratuwa; Sri Lanka.	42
Table 3.6: Soil Parameters for case study section 22.530km, 22.580km	47
Table 3.7: Soil Parameters for case study section 22.900km- 23.050km	51
Table 3.8: Soil Parameters for case study section 28.050km- 28.150km	55
Table 3.9: Soil Parameters for case study section 0.850km and 0.900km	58
Table 4.1: Summary of field construction and settlement monitoring	60
Table 4.2: Vertical displacement values underneath the embankment (from center t toe of the embankment).	.o 75
Table 4.3: Vertical displacement values, along the Center line of the embankment.	77
Table 4.4: Results obtained from Numerical analysis for case study sections	81
Table 4.5: Comparison of surcharging with and without PVD	84

### **List of Symbols**

- B Half width of plane strain cell
- $b_s$  Half width of the smear zone
- $b_w$ -Half width of the drain
- C-Cohesion
- Cc-Compression Index
- $C_r$ -Recompression index
- $C_{\alpha}$ -Coefficient of Secondary Compression
- D- Influence zone
- d<sub>w</sub> Equivalent diameter of band drain Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. E- Young's module Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk
- $E_s$ -Static deformation modulus
- $e_{int}$ -Initial voids ratio
- $k_{hp}$  Plane Stain horizontal permeability
- $k'_{hp}$ -Smear zone horizontal permeability
- $k_h$  Vertical permeability
- $k_s$ -Smear zone permeability
- $k_v$  Horizontal permeability
- $k_{\chi}$ -Plane strain Horizontal permeability
- $k_{\gamma}$  Plane strain Vertical Permeability

n- Spacing ratio

 $q_{z}$ -Equivalent plane strain discharge capacity

R- Radius of axisymmetric unit cell

 $r_{\rm s}$  - axisymmetric radii of smear zone

 $r_w$ - axisymmetric radii of drain

s- Drain spacing

 $s^2$  - Mean square distance of flow net

T- Ultimate Tensile Strength

 $T_{hp}$  - Time factor in Plane strain

U -Overall degree of consolidation University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  $U_r$  - Average degree of consolidation due to radial drainage www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

 $U_{v}$ : Average degree of consolidation due to vertical drainage

 $\overline{U}_h$  - Average degree of consolidation for axisymmetric

 $\overline{U}_{hp}$  - Average degree of consolidation for equivalent plane strain condition

 $\frac{1}{u}$  - Pore pressure at time t

 $\bar{u}_0$  - Initial excess pore pressure

 $\phi$  - Internal friction Angle

**Y**-Dialatancy Angle

v- Poisson's ratio

 $\gamma_{sat}$  - Saturated Unit weight

 $\gamma_{unsat}$ -Bulk Unit weigh

