1.55

# Hydro-Geotechnical Behaviour of Porous Coastal Structures

#### A H R Ratnasooriya

This Thesis was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Philosophy

624 °02" 627.52

CHIVELSIVE CHARGE STREAMED

Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka TH

October 2002



76271

The work included in this Thesis in part or whole has not been submitted for any other academic qualification at any Institution

7

ł



.....

Professor S S L Hettiarachchi

Supervisor



#### ABSTRACT

Theoretical modeling of the flow field in the vicinity of a porous coastal structure was carried out to investigate the hydro-geotechnical behaviour of such a structure. The area of interest consists of the regions in front of and within the structure and in the sub soil below the sea bed, resulting in three inter-related flow models, External Flow, Internal Flow and the Pore Pressure Response Models.

In the External Flow Model, the governing equations are based on the principles of conservation of mass and momentum and were solved numerically by an explicit finite difference method. The model was shown to yield the flow characteristics and the computed wave run-up, run-down and reflection coefficient were shown to be in qualitative agreement with the available empirical formulae.

The governing equations in the Internal Flow Model are also based on the principles of conservation of mass and momentum and were solved by a mixed numerical technique involving a combined finite difference-method of characteristic scheme and a finite element method. The model was shown to represent the two dimensional nature of flow and yield satisfactory agreement with the experimental data for the position of the phreatic surface.

In the Pore Pressure Response Model, the governing equation for the flow in the soil is based on the principle of conservation of mass with the generation of pore pressure represented by an empirical expression. An explicit finite difference method was used to solve it and the solution provides the complete time history of pore water pressure response due to cyclic wave loading.

With the flow models shown to be capable of simulating the flow field in the vicinity of a porous coastal structure, this study forms a basis for further studies aiming at supplementing the design practices of such structures presently based on physical modeling and empirical formulations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

۲.

This thesis has culminated from a research study carried out over a long period and I wish to express my gratitude to all who helped in numerous ways for me to reach its completion.

The study commenced at the Imperial College, London and I am thankful to the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission of the Association of Commonwealth Universities for providing financial assistance for me to study in U K. The research topic was introduced by Prof Patrick Holmes who supervised the study during my stay in U K. I wish to express my gratitude for his advice, support and encouragement. The advice and assistance given by my former colleague Paul Norton are gratefully acknowledged. I am thankful to Achela and her husband Lalith for providing their personal computer for my studies. The support and encouragement extended by my cousin Roshan is acknowledged with gratitude.

The study continued at the University of Moratuwa and I am grateful to the University authorities for their cooperation. The support extended by the Vice Chancellors, Registrars, Deans of the Faculty of Engineering and the Heads of the Department of Civil Engineering during the period of this study is gratefully acknowledged.

My sincere thanks are due to Prof Lakshman Ratnayaka and Prof (Mrs) Niranjanee Ratnayaka, Director, Postgraduate Studies, for their concern, advice, continuous encouragement and interest. Special thanks are due to Prof Malik Ranasingha and Dr Gamini Kodikara for their keen interest and support. Assistance given by Priyantha and the Computer Services Centre is greatly appreciated.

I am thankful to colleagues of the Hydraulics Division and Premini, Dammika, Thishan and many other colleagues and friends for their concern and constant encouragement.

My parents and family were always keenly interested in my studies and I am grateful for their advice, encouragement and assistance.

I would not have succeeded in completing this study without the invaluable support of Prof Samantha Hettiarachchi. He introduced me to the field of Coastal Engineering, guided my career since then, stood by and helped me through many difficulties, had faith in my ability and encouraged me, undertook the supervision of this study and led me to its completion. His immense contribution is acknowledged with utmost gratitude.

Finally, I owe my gratitude to Priyangani, my wife, for her support at all times. She patiently encouraged me and was always willing to help in spite of the sacrifices she had to make during the long years of this study.

## LIST OF FIGURES

.

\*

.

٣

# Page No

Figure 1.1	Typical Cross Section of a Porous Coastal Structure	2
Figure 1.2	Failure Modes of a Porous Coastal Structure	3
Figure 2.1	Structure of the Literature Review	7
Figure 4.1	Domain of the External Flow Model	
Figure 4.2	External Flow Model: Location of the Instantaneous Waterline	42
Figure 4.3	Domain of the Internal Flow Model	44
Figure 4.4	Internal Flow Model: Finite Difference Discretisation of the Solution Domain	47
Figure 4.5	Internal Flow Model: Finite Element Discretisation of the Solution Domain	50
Figure 4.6	Internal Flow Model: Seaward Boundary Condition	51
Figure 4.7	Domain of the Pore Pressure Response Model	53
Figure 5.1	Regions Associated with Flow Models	69
Figure 5.2	Internal Flow Model: Region of Unsaturated Flow	
Figure 5.3	Pore Pressure Response Model: Variation of the Cyclic Stress Ratio with Depth of Soil Deposit	83
Figure 5.4	Pore Pressure Response Model: Variation of Pore Water Pressure Ratio with Time	87
Figure 5.5	Pore Pressure Response Model: Residual Pore Water Pressure Generation under Undrained Conditions	88
Figure 5.6	Pore Pressure Response Model: Number of Stress Cycles required to cause Initial Liquefaction	89
Figure 6.1	External Flow Model: Spatial Variation of Normalised Free Surface Elevation over the Slope	94

Figure 6.2	5.2 External Flow Model: Spatial Variation of Normalised Horizontal Velocity over the Slope	
Figure 6.3	External Flow Model: Spatial Variation of Normalised Horizontal Velocity in the Permeable Under Layer	
Figure 6.4	External Flow Model: Comparison of Normalised Run-up with Empirical Formula	
Figure 6.5	External Flow Model: Comparison of Normalised Run-down with Empirical Formula	
Figure 6.6	6.6 External Flow Model: Comparison of Coefficient of Reflection with Empirical Formula	
Figure 6.7	gure 6.7 Internal Flow Model: Comparison of Computed Phreatic Surface with Experimental Data: Homogeneous Structure	
Figure 6.8	re 6.8 Internal Flow Model: Comparison of Computed Phreatic Surface with Experimental Data: Two Layered Structure	
Figure 6.9	igure 6.9 Internal Flow Model: Two Dimensional Nature of the Computed Flow Field	
Figure 6.10	10 Pore Pressure Response Model: Spatial Variation of Pore Water Pressure	
Figure 6.11	e 6.11 Pore Pressure Response Model: Temporal Variation of Pore Water Pressure	
Figure 6.12	Figure 6.12 Pore Pressure Response Model: Influence of Pore Water Pressure Dissipation	
Figure 6.13	Pore Pressure Response Model: Influence of Coefficient of Permeability	106

۲

, T

## LIST OF TABLES

•

Page No

Table 4.1	Pore Pressure Response Model: Types of Boundary Conditions	67
Table 5.1	Internal Flow Model: Non Darcy Flow Coefficients: Enguland Equation	73
Table 5.2	External Flow Model: Types of Breaking Waves on Slopes	75
Table 5.3	Pore Pressure Response Model: Relative Density of Sands	85
Table 6.1	External Flow Model: Details of Experiments	91
Table 6.2	Internal Flow Model: Details of Experiments	99
Table 6.3	Internal Flow Model: Details of Input Parameters for Computation	100
Table 6.4	Pore Pressure Response Model: Soil Deposit Characteristics	102
Table 6.5	Pore Pressure Response Model: Storm Characteristics	103



#### LIST OF SYMBOLS

The important symbols used in the text are listed below. The symbols that have been used locally are not listed, but defined in the text. Some symbols have more than one meaning and it is evident from the text which meaning is intended. External Flow Model, Internal Flow Model and the Pore Pressure Response Model are abbreviated by EFM, IFM and PPRM respectively in the description of symbols. Still water level is abbreviated by SWL.

а	Non Darcy flow: laminar flow coefficient
а	Empirical constant: PPRM
$A_{aw}$	Area of unsaturated flow: IFM
$A_0$	Reference area for unsaturated flow region: IFM
b	Non Darcy flow: turbulent flow coefficient
b	Empirical constant: PPRM
С	Normalised wave celerity: EFM
<i>c</i> ′	Wave celerity
$C_{am}$	Inertial coefficient associated with added mass: IFM
C <sub>m</sub>	Maximum value of c: EFM
$C_p$	Pressure distribution correction factor: IFM
$C_{vm}$	Virtual mass coefficient: IFM
$D'_{10}$	Effective size in mm for sands: PPRM
$D'_{15}$	15% finer diameter from sieve analysis: EFM
$D'_{85}$	85% finer diameter from sieve analysis: EFM
$D'_p$	Representative armour diameter: EFM
Dr	Relative density of soil
<i>d'</i>	Depth of water below SWL: PPRM
d' <sub>50</sub>	Nominal diameter of particles in the permeable layer: EFM
$d'_p$	Representative diameter of particles in the permeable layer: EFM

vi

$d_{i}$	Normalised depth of water below the SWL at the toe of the slope: EFM	
$d'_t$	Depth of water below the SWL at the toe of the slope: EFM	
е	Void ratio	
e <sub>max</sub>	Maximum void ratio	
e <sub>min</sub>	Minimum void ratio	
ſ	Normalised friction factor associated with the slope: EFM	
f'	Friction factor associated with the slope: EFM	
g	Acceleration due to gravity	
H'	Wave height	
H'	Piezometric head	
H' <sub>eq</sub>	Equivalent wave height: PPRM	
$H'_i$	Incident wave height: IFM	
$H'_0$	Still water depth: IFM	
$H'_m$	Height of m <sup>th</sup> wave component of storm: PPRM	
$H'_s$	Significant wave height	
h	Normalised depth of water above the slope: EFM	
h'	Depth of water above the slope: EFM	
$h_c'$	Characteristic thickness of the permeable layer: EFM	
h <sub>p</sub>	Normalised vertical thickness of the permeable layer: EFM	
$h'_p$	Vertical thickness of the permeable layer: EFM	
i	Hydraulic gradient	
i <sub>L</sub>	Non Darcy flow: laminar hydraulic gradient	
<i>i</i> <sub>T</sub>	Non Darcy flow: turbulent hydraulic gradient	
$K_D$	Stability coefficient	
$K(\nabla \phi')$	Non linear hydraulic conductivity: IFM	
<i>k'</i>	Coefficient of permeability	
k' <sub>x'</sub>	Coefficient of permeability in $x'$ direction: PPRM	
k'_z'	Coefficient of permeability in z' direction: PPRM	

k <sub>r</sub>	Reflection coefficient: PPRM	
L	Normalised wave length: EFM	
L'	Wave length	
$L'_0$	Deep water wave length	
$L'_m$	Length of $m^{th}$ wave component of storm: PPRM	
М	Number of different wave components of the storm: PPRM	
т	Normalised volume flux per unit width: EFM	
$m'_{v}$	Coefficient of volume compressibility of soil: PPRM	
$m'_{v0}$	Coefficient of volume compressibility of soil when the pore water pressure is zero: PPRM	
Ν	Number of stress cycles: PPRM	
N <sub>eq</sub>	Equivalent number of uniform stress cycles: PPRM	
N <sub>L</sub>	Number of stress cycles to cause initial liquefaction: PPRM	
$N_m$	Number of waves for $m^{th}$ wave component of the storm: PPRM	
$n_p$	Porosity	
р	Dimensionless parameter expressing the degree of permeability effects on the flow over the rough permeable slope: EFM	
р	Pore water pressure ratio	
p'	Pore water pressure: PPRM	
Pu	Dimensionless parameter: EFM	
$p'_0$	Wave induced cyclic pressure on the sea bed: PPRM	
$p_0$	Amplitude of wave induced cyclic pressure on the sea bed: PPRM	
$(p)_{Rs}$	Residual pore water pressure ratio	
$p'_{Rs}$	Residual pore water pressure	
$q_b$	Normalised volumetric flow rate per unit horizontal area into the permeable layer: EFM	
$q_b'$	Volumetric flow rate per unit horizontal area into the permeable layer: EFM	
$R_d$	Normalised wave run down	

$R'_d$	Wave run down
$R_u$	Normalised wave run up
$R'_u$	Wave run up
Re	Reynolds number
S	Relative density of armour unit
S	Relative density of soil particles
S	Wet node next to instantaneous waterline : EFM
t	Normalised time
T'	Wave period
$T'_m$	Period of m <sup>th</sup> wave component of storm: PPRM
$T'_m$	Average period of zero up crossings of waves: EFM
t'	Time
$T'_D$	Duration of storm
и	Normalised depth averaged horizontal velocity above the slope: EFM
u'	Depth averaged horizontal velocity above the slope: EFM
u'	Depth averaged flow velocity: IFM
u <sub>b</sub>	Normalised horizontal flow velocity into the permeable layer: EFM
$u_b'$	Horizontal flow velocity into the permeable layer: EFM
<i>u</i> <sub>i</sub>	Normalised horizontal velocity due to incident wave: EFM
$u_m$	Maximum value of <i>u</i> : EFM
u <sub>p</sub>	Normalised vertically averaged horizontal flow velocity in the permeable layer: EFM
$u'_p$	Vertically averaged horizontal flow velocity in the permeable layer: EFM
<i>U</i> <sub>r</sub>	Normalised horizontal velocity due to reflected wave: EFM
U <sub>r</sub>	Ursell parameter
$u'_{x'}$	Flow velocity in $x'$ direction: PPRM
$u'_{z'}$	Flow velocity in $z'$ direction: PPRM
ν'	Flow velocity
$v'_p$	Mean flow velocity of pore fluid

$V_{max}$	Maximum run up velocity: IFM
$V_r$	Run up velocity: IFM
W'	Weight of armour unit
x	Normalised horizontal coordinate
<i>x</i> ′	Horizontal coordinate
$x_{max}$	Normalised half width of the soil deposit: PPRM
$x_s$	Normalised horizontal coordinate of the moving waterline: EFM
Ζ	Normalised vertical coordinate
Ζ'	Vertical coordinate
$Z_{max}$	Normalised thickness of the soil deposit: PPRM
$Z'_{max}$	Thickness of the soil deposit: PPRM
α	Landward advancing characteristic: EFM
α	Characteristic direction: IFM
α΄	Non Darcy flow: laminar flow coefficient: EFM
$\alpha_{_0}$	Dimensionless constant: non Darcy flow
β	Seaward advancing characteristic: EFM
β	Characteristic direction: IFM
eta'	Non Darcy flow: turbulent flow coefficient: EFM
$\beta_{0}$	Dimensionless constant: non Darcy flow
r	Unit weight of armour unit
Ý	Submerged unit weight of soil: PPRM
$\gamma_{sat}$	Saturated unit weight of soil
$\gamma_w$	Unit weight of water
δ	Normalised water depth specified at the moving waterline : EFM
$\delta'$	Water depth specified at the moving waterline : EFM
Δp'	Net change in pore water pressure: PPRM
$\Delta p'_d$	Pore water pressure dissipated: PPRM
$\Delta p'_{g}$	Pore water pressure generated: PPRM

$(\Delta p'_g)_{Rs}$	Residual pore water pressure generated: PPRM	
$(\Delta p'_g)_{T_r}$	Transient pore water pressure generated: PPRM	
$\Delta t$	Normalised temporal increment of numerical computation	
$\Delta t'$	Temporal increment of numerical computation	
$\Delta t'_{max}$	Maximum temporal increment of numerical computation	
Δx	Normalised horizontal spatial increment of numerical computation	
$\Delta x'$	Horizontal spatial increment of numerical computation	
Δz	Normalised vertical spatial increment of numerical computation	
∆z′	Vertical spatial increment of numerical computation	
$\Delta\sigma$	Change in mean total stress: PPRM	
$\Delta\sigma'$	Change in effective stress: PPRM	
$\mathcal{E}_{x'}$	Normal strain in $x'$ direction: PPRM	
$\epsilon_{z'}$	Normal strain in $z'$ direction: PPRM	
η	Normalised free surface elevation above SWL: EFM	
$\eta'$	Free surface elevation above SWL: EFM, IFM, PPRM	
$\eta_i$	Normalised free surface elevation of the incident wave above SWL: EFM	
$\eta_r$	Normalised free surface elevation of the reflected wave above SWL: EFM	
θ	Normalised angle of the structural slope: EFM	
θ	Empirical constant related to pore water pressure generation: PPRM	
heta'	Angle of the structural slope	
λ	Friction factor	
μ	Dimensionless parameter expressing the order of magnitude of the laminar flow resistance as compared to turbulent flow resistance: EFM	
ξ	Surf similarity parameter	
ρ	Density of fluid	
σ	Mean normal total stress in the soil deposit: PPRM	
σ'	Infiltration per unit horizontal length: IFM	
$\sigma_{h}$	Horizontal normal total stress in the soil deposit: PPRM	

xi

- $\sigma_{v}$  Vertical normal total stress in the soil deposit: PPRM
- $\sigma'_{v0}$  Initial vertical effective stress in soil when the pore water pressure is zero: PPRM
- $\tau'_b$  Shear stress related to the roughness of the slope: EFM
- $au_{vh}$  Shear stress in the soil deposit: PPRM
- *v* Coefficient of kinematic viscosity
- $\phi$  Normalised piezomeric head: IFM
- $\phi'$  Piezomeric head: IFM

۴

 $\psi'$  Function giving pore water pressure generation under undrained conditions: PPRM



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk CONTENTS

•

M

X

7

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	
LIST OF FIGURES	iii
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF SYMBOLS	vi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	1
1.2 Wave-Structure-Sea Bed Interactions	4
1.3 Structure of the Thesis	4
CHAPTER 2 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS INVESTIGATIONS	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Literature Review: Periodic Flow over Steep Slopes	7
2.3 Literature Review: Flow through Porous Media	11
2.3.1 Hydraulic Gradient-Velocity Relationship	11
2.3.2 Theoretical Modeling of Porous Media Flow	
2.4 Literature Review: Behaviour of Saturated Soils under Cyclic Loadin	g 18
CHAPTER 3 APPROACH TO THE PROBLEM	25

\*

×

\*

٠

## CHAPTER 4 THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENTS

28

İ

4.1	Introduction	28
4.2	Governing Equations: External Flow Model	28
4.3	Numerical Solution: External Flow Model	34
4.3.1	Introduction	34
4.3.2	Finite Difference Solution	34
4.3.3	Stability of the Finite Difference Scheme	38
4.3.4	Boundary Conditions	39
4.3.4.1	Seaward Boundary Condition	39
4.3.4.2	2 Landward Boundary Condition	41
4.3.5	Initial Condition	42
4.3.6	Solution Procedure	43
4.4	Governing Equations: Internal Flow Model	43
4.5	Numerical Solution: Internal Flow Model	46
4.5.1	Introduction	46
4.5.2	Finite Difference Formulation by of Moraluwa, Sri Lanka.	47
4.5.3	Characteristic Formulation <sup>w lib</sup> mt ac lk	48
4.5.4	Finite Element Formulation	49
4.5.5	Stability of the Finite Difference Scheme	50
4.5.6	Boundary Conditions	50
4.5.7	Initial Condition	52
4.5.8	Solution Procedure	52
4.6	Governing Equations: Pore Pressure Response Model	53
4.7	Numerical Solution: Pore Pressure Response Model	64
4.7.1	Introduction	64
4.7.2	Finite Difference Solution	64
4.7.3	Boundary Conditions	66
4.7.4	Initial Condition	67
4.7.5	Stability of the Finite Difference Scheme	68
4.7.6	Solution Procedure	68

# CHAPTER 5 APPLICATION OF MODELS

5.1	Introduction	69
5.2	External Flow Model	70
5.2.1	Introduction	70
5.2.2	Input Parameters	70
5.2.2.1	Slope Characteristics	70
5.2.2.2	Wave Characteristics	73
5.2.2.3	Parameters related to the Numerical Scheme	74
5.2.3	Output Parameters: Flow Characteristics	75
5.3	Internal Flow Model	76
5.3.1	Introduction	76
5.3.2	Input Parameters	76
5.3.2.1	Wave Characteristics	77
5.3.2.2	Porous Media Characteristics	77
5.3.2.3	Parameters related to Numerical Schemes	78
5.3.3	Output Parameters www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	79
5.4	Pore Pressure Response Model	80
5.4.1	Introduction	80
5.4.2	Input Parameters	80
5.4.2.1	Storm/Wave Conditions	81
5.4.2.2	Soil Characteristics	82
СНАР	TER 6 RESULTS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	90
6.1	Introduction	90
6.2	External Flow Model	90
6.3	Internal Flow Model	99



69

# APPENDIX AEXTERNAL FLOW MODEL: PROCEDUREFOR WATERLINE COMPUTATION108

#### REFERENCES

111



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk