## **REVIEW OF PENETRATION GRADING SYSTEM AND SUITABILITY OF THE VISCOSITY GRADING SYSTEM FOR ASPHALT BINDERS IN SRI LANKAN HIGHWAYS**

Jeewana Nileena Meegahage

(118019N)



Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

April 2014

## **REVIEW OF PENETRATION GRADING SYSTEM AND SUITABILITY OF THE VISCOSITY GRADING SYSTEM FOR ASPHALT BINDERS IN SRI LANKAN HIGHWAYS**

Jeewana Nileena Meegahage

## (118019N)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

April 2014

### Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature	Date
J.N.Meegahage University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
Department of Civil Engineering	
University of Moratuwa	
Sri Lanka.	

The above candidate has carried out this research for the dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor **Dr. W.K. Mampearachchi** Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka.

Date

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Dr. W.K. Mampearachchi who has been with me throughout the research sharing his knowledge and giving guidance not only as a supervisor but also as a mentor.

My sincere gratitude is also forward to Prof. J.M.S.J Bandara, former research coordinator, for his valuable advice and encouragement and for giving me the opportunity to initiate the research.

I would be thankful to Prof. Mang Tia and Prof. Khandhal for valuable advice given for the completion of this research and the problems that I encountered during the period research.

I would also like to thank the progress evaluation committee member, Dr. H.L.D.M.A Judith and Prof. H.S. Thilakasiri for the support and advice given throughout the research work.

My special thanks goes to Prof. S.M.A. Nanayakkara for his valuable advice during the research work.

My sincere gratitude is expressed to Senior Lecturer Dr. H.R. Pasindu and Senior Lecturer Mr. Loshaka Perera for the valuable support they have given during my studies at the Transport Engineering Division.

I would like to thank Mr. Indika Yohan and Mr. Indika Perera who assisted me to conduct the laboratory testing during my research at Highway Engineering Laboratory.

I would be thankful to the contractors and the Engineers in government and private Institutions who assisted me in sample collection from Road Projects.

Also my sincere thanks goes to the members of Transportation Engineering Division and all the staff of Department of the Civil Engineering who supported my research studies at the Department of Civil Engineering.

Finally my sincere gratitude expressed to my parents, brother, sister, Miss L.M. Rathnayake and all the colleagues who helped me to complete this task successfully.

### ABSTRACT

# Review of penetration grading system and suitability of the viscosity grading system for asphalt binders in Sri Lankan highways

Road development has become a dominant component in modern Sri Lanka. As a developing nation durability and long term performance of roads are very much important to the country. In Sri Lanka flexible pavements are the frequently used for road construction. In flexible pavement bitumen and aggregate are the main ingredients for surface layer. The quality of bitumen for roads is assessed using penetration grading system which was initiated in early 19<sup>th</sup> century. The quality of bitumen and the method of grading bitumen in Sri Lanka have made several discussions among the professionals because of the premature failures on some major roads. In this research twenty three bitumen samples were collected from various construction sites and tests were carried out to assess their quality. A sample of test reports issued by the bitumen suppliers were also taken in to consideration. Penetration test, Softening point test and Viscosity test were carried out using the collected samples. Penetration and Softening Point were assessed using the local bitumen specifications and viscosity was assessed based on the requirements of viscosity grading system. The Quality of bitumen was further analysed using the temperature susceptible measures such as Penetration Index, Viscosity Temperature Susceptibility and Pen-Vis Number. Relationship of temperature susceptibility measures were carried out using statistical means. Behaviour of bitumen at operation level was analysed using equi-viscous method of Asphalt Institute MS-2. Indirect methods were used to obtain the stiffness of bitumen and asphalt concrete. Stiffness measures were analysed with climatic considerations and traffic loading. Based on the test results and analysis, modifications for the standard of bitumen selection are recommended. Testing of bitumen properties at construction temperature range is essential. So, addition of kinematic viscosity at 135 C to the current specification in selecting bitumen for road construction in Sri Lanka and the importance of viscosity based grading system in bitumen selection was emphasized in this study.

Key words: Bitumen, Penetration, Viscosity, Grading

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Dee	claratio	n	i
Acl	knowle	dgements	ii
Ab	stract		iii
Tał	ole of c	ontents	iv
Lis	t of fig	ures	vii
Lis	t of tab	les	vii
Lis	t of abb	previations	xi
Lis	t of app	pendices	xii
1.	Intro	duction	1
	1.1	General	1
	1.2	Problem statement	2
	1.3	Objectives	3
	1.4	Scope of the Report	3
2.	Liter	ature review	5
	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Asphalt Material	5
		2.2.1 History	6
		2.2.2 Bitumen	8
	2.3	Asphalt Refining	10
		2.3.1 Crude oil	10
		2.3.2 Distillation	11
	2.4	Refining of Asphalt Cement	11
	2.5	Tests on Bitumen	12
	2.6	Bitumen Grading	14

	2.6.2 2.6.3	Penetration Grading System	15
	2.6.3		
		Viscosity Grading System	19
	2.6.4	Super pave Grading System	26
2.7	Temper	rature susceptibility	28
	2.7.1	Comparison of Temperature susceptibility parameters	29
2.8	Stiffnes	ss of bitumen and asphalt concrete	36
	2.8.1	Determination of Mix stiffness	39
2.9	Mixing	and Compaction temperatures	47
	2.9.1	General	47
	2.9.2	History and development	47
	2.9.3	Equi-viscous method	48
	2.9.4	Pavement temperature	52
2.10	Paveme	ent distresses	53
	2.10.1	Fatigue cracking	53
	2.10.2	Rutting University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	54
Curre	ent condi	tion in sri lanka	57
3.1	Introdu	ction	57
3.2	Bitumen	Specification	57
Meth	odology		59
4.1	Introdu	ction	59
4.2	Laborat	tory test procedure	59
4.3	Temper	rature susceptibility of Sri Lankan bitumen	61
4.4	Effect of	of bitumen in HMA	62
	4.5.1	Determination of Mixing and Compaction Temperatures	62
	4.5.2	Stiffness of bitumen and asphalt concrete	62
4.5	Modifie	cations for grading bitumen in Sri Lanka	63
Labo	ratory tes	sting and results	64
	<ul> <li>2.8</li> <li>2.9</li> <li>2.10</li> <li>Curree</li> <li>3.1</li> <li>3.2</li> <li>Methe</li> <li>4.1</li> <li>4.2</li> <li>4.3</li> <li>4.4</li> <li>4.5</li> <li>Labor</li> </ul>	2.7.1 2.8 Stiffnes 2.8.1 2.9 Mixing 2.9.1 2.9.2 2.9.3 2.9.4 2.10 Paveme 2.10.1 2.10.2 Current condi 3.1 Introdu 3.2 Bitument Methodology 4.1 Introdu 4.2 Laborat 4.3 Temper 4.4 Effect of 4.5 Modifie Laboratory test	<ul> <li>2.7.1 Comparison of Temperature susceptibility parameters</li> <li>2.8 Stiffness of bitumen and asphalt concrete <ul> <li>2.8.1 Determination of Mix stiffness</li> </ul> </li> <li>2.9 Mixing and Compaction temperatures <ul> <li>2.9.1 General</li> <li>2.9.2 History and development</li> <li>2.9.3 Equi-viscous method</li> <li>2.9.4 Pavement temperature</li> </ul> </li> <li>2.10 Pavement distresses <ul> <li>2.10.1 Fatigue cracking</li> <li>2.10.2 Rutting Uncertor of Montunes, Sri Lanka</li> <li>2.10.1 Fatigue cracking</li> <li>2.10.2 Rutting Uncertor of Montunes, Sri Lanka</li> </ul> </li> <li>3.1 Introduction <ul> <li>3.2 Bitumen Specification</li> </ul> </li> <li>Methodology <ul> <li>4.1 Introduction</li> <li>4.2 Laboratory test procedure</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.3 Temperature susceptibility of Sri Lankan bitumen</li> <li>4.4 Effect of bitumen in HMA <ul> <li>4.5.1 Determination of Mixing and Compaction Temperatures</li> <li>4.5.2 Stiffness of bitumen and asphalt concrete</li> </ul> </li> <li>4.5 Modifications for grading bitumen in Sri Lanka</li> <li>Laboratory testing and results</li> </ul>

	5.1	Introdu	uction	64
	5.2	Labora	atory testing	64
		5.2.1	Penetration Test	64
		5.2.2	Softening point test	66
		5.2.3	Absolute viscosity test	67
		5.2.4	Kinematic Viscosity test	70
	5.3	Test re	esults	72
	5.4	Test re	eport data	75
6.	Analy	ysis and	discussion	77
	6.1	Introdu	uction	77
	6.2	Test re	esults analysis	77
		6.2.1	Analysis of penetration at 25 <sup>0</sup> C	77
		6.2.2	Softening point analysis	82
		6.2.3	Kinematic viscosity	85
		6.2.4	Absolute viscosity	87
	6.3	Tempe	erature susceptibility	91
		6.3.1	Penetration Index (PI)	91
		6.3.2	Viscosity Temperature Susceptibility (VTS)	102
		6.3.3	Pen-Vis Number (PVN)	106
	6.4	Test re	eport analysis	110
	6.5	Mixing	g and Compaction temperatures	114
	6.6	Mixing	g and Compaction Viscosity	120
	6.7	Stiffne	ess of bitumen and asphalt concrete	122
	6.8	Pavem	ent Temperatures	128
7.	Conc	lusion a	and recommendations	131
Refe	erence	list		133
Appendix				136

# LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1: Trinidad Lake Asphalt	7
Figure 2.2: Arrangement of components in asphalt cement	10
Figure 2.3: Change in stiffness of asphalt cement with temperature	18
Figure 2.4: Stiffness for AC-30 bitumen at various temperatures	24
Figure 2.5 shows an overview of the Super pave PG system	27
Figure 2.6: Nomograph for the PI of bitumen (Whiteoak, 1990)	32
Figure 2.7: Shell nomograph to find Penetration Index (Shell Hand Book)	33
Figure 2.8: Graph to get L and M for PVN chart (McLeod, 1976)	35
Figure 2.9: Vander Poel Nomograph (Van der Poel, 1954)	40
Figure 2.11: McLeod Nomograph (McLeod, 1976)	43
Figure 2.12: Vander poel chart for mix stiffness (Heukelom & Klomp, 1964)	44
Figure 2.13: Stiffness of Bitumen in PSI (Heukelom & Klomp, 1954)	45
Figure 2.14: Shell Nomograph (Shell hand Book)	46
Figure 2.15: Viscosity Temperature chart for bitumen as Asphalt Institute	50
Figure 2.16: Longitudinal depressions in the wheel paths	55
Figure 4.1: Operational Framework for the study	60
Figure 5.1: Penetration apparatus-automatic (left) and manual (Right)	65
Figure 5.2: Softening point apparatus	66
Figure 5.3: Pressure control system developed for absolute viscosity test	68
Figure 5.4: Suggested vacuum system by ASTM D 2171	68
Figure 5.5: Asphalt Institute vacuum capillary viscometer	69
Figure 5.6: Kinematic viscometer and bath	70
Figure 5.7: Zeitfuchs Cross-Arm Viscometer	71
Figure 6.1: Penetration values of the tested samples at 25C	79
Figure 6.2: Softening values for the test samples	83
Figure 6.3: Comparison of penetration and softening points for test samples	84
Figure 6.4: Viscosity values at 135C with mixing and compaction requirements	86
Figure 6.6: Relationship of absolute viscosity vs. softening point	90
Figure 6.7: PI index (with penetration and softening point) of the tested samples	93
Figure 6.8: comparison of penetration and PI values	94

Figure 6.9: Comparison of softening point and PI	94
Figure 6.10: Relationship of penetration and temperature	96
Figure 6.11: Penetration Index by two temperature method	97
Figure 6.12: PI (by two temperature method) vs. penetration	98
Figure 6.13: Comparison of PI with two methods	100
Figure 6.14 Comparison of PI(SP) and PI(T1/T2)	101
Figure 6.15: Relationship between viscosity and temperature for test samples	103
Figure 6.16: VTS for tested bitumen samples	105
Figure 6.17: PVN of the tested samples	107
Figure 6.18: Penetration values from collected test reports	111
Figure 6.19: softening point values from collected test reports	111
Figure 6.20: softening point vs. penetration for test report data	112
Figure 6.21: PI of bitumen samples from test report data	113
Figure 6.22: Calculating mixing and compaction viscosity for test samples	116
Figure 6.23: Mixing temperatures for tested bitumen samples	118
Figure 6.24: Compaction temperatures for tested bitumen samples	119
Figure 6.25: Comparison of Mixing temperature and penetration of test samples	120
Figure 6.26: Comparison of Mixing temperature and penetration of test samples	122
Figure 6.27: Mix Stiffness comparison for two methods	126

# LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1: Details of various crude oil sources	11
Table 2.2: Requirements for penetration grading system	17
Table 2.3: Advantages and disadvantages of the penetration grading	18
Table 2.4: Viscosity grade Bitumen specification table 1	21
Table 2.5: Viscosity grade Bitumen specification table 2	22
Table 2.6: Viscosity grade Bitumen specification table 3	23
Table 2.7: Advantage and disadvantages of viscosity grading system	25
Table 2.8: PG binders for low and high temperatures	28
Table 3.1: ICTAD table 1702-1 a-Requirement of penetration grade bitumen 6	0/70 58
Table 5.1: Penetration and softening point values for tested bitumen samples	73
Table 5.2: Kinetic viscosity for tested bitumen samples	74
Table 5.3: Kinetic viscosity for tested bitumen samples	75
Table 5.4: Test Report data from construction sites and bitumen suppliers	76
Table 6.1: Penetration values of the tested samples at 25C	78
Table 6.2: Maximum difference for penetration readings in ASTM 05	80
Table 6.3: Penetration readings for test samples in penetration test	81
Table 6.4: Softening values for the test samples	82
Table 6.5: Kinematic viscosity of test samples at 135C	85
Table 6.6: Absolute viscosity of tested samples at 60C	87
Table 6.7: Viscosity grades and properties ASTM D 3381 table 2	88
Table 6.8: comparison of other test properties with absolute viscosity	90
Table 6.9: PI values related to penetration and softening point data	92
Table 6.10: Penetration test results for test sample at various temperatures	95
Table 6.11: Penetration Index by two temperature method	96
Table 6.12: Comparison of PI with two methods	99
Table 6.13: Viscosity test results for test samples at various temperatures	103
Table 6.14: Viscosity temperature susceptibility	104
Table 6.15: PVN values for tested bitumen samples	106
Table 6.16: Comparison of Temperature susceptibility measures	108
Table 6.17: Collected Test Report data	110

Table 6.18: Kinematic viscosity values at different temperatures	115
Table 6.19: Kinematic viscosity of test sample 2 at different temperatures	116
Table 6.20: Mixing and Compaction temperatures for tested bitumen samples	117
Table 6.21: Mixing (160C) and Compaction (135C) viscosities of tested bitumen	121
Table 6.22: Stiffness of tested bitumen at various temperatures for 0.1S loading	124
Table 6.23: Stiffness of mixture related to bitumen stiffness	125
Table 6.24: Stiffness of bitumen at various loading times	127
Table 6.25: Max and min air temperatures and latitudes of major districts	128
Table 6.26: Max and min pavement temperatures calculated for major districts	129



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation		Description	
AI	_	Asphalt Institute	
PI	-	Penetration Index	
VTS	-	Viscosity Temperature Susceptibility	
PVN	-	Penetration Viscosity number	
HMA	-	Hot Mix Asphalt	
ICTAD	-	Institute of Construction Training And Development	



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	page
Appendix A:	Sample Test Report	136
Appendix B:	Penetration Results for test samples	137
Appendix C:	Kinematic Viscosity of the test samples	141



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk