REFERENCE LIST

(n.d.). Non-Black Fillers For Rubber . RT Vanderbilt Company Inc.

Aeslina Abdul Kadir, A. M. (2011). Bricks: An Excellent Building Material For Recycling Wastes – A Review. *Iasted International Conference Environmental Management and Engineering*, (pp. 108-115). Calgary, AB, Canada.

Andres Juan, C. M. (2010). Re-use of ceramic wastes in construction. In W. W. (Ed.), *Ceramic Materials* (p. 228). Sciyo.

Boccaccini, A. R. (n.d.). *Comment on Surface Abrasion of Glazed Tiles*. Retrieved April 03, 2013, from http://ceramicayvidrio.revistas.csic.es

Byrne, M. F. (2008). *Properties of Ceramic Tile*. Retrieved from smartgreenbuild.com

CEB. (n.d.). *Electricity for your business*. Retrieved April 02, 2013, from Ceylon Electricity Board: http://www.ceb.lk/sub/business/tp_generalpurpose.html

César Medina, M. I. (2011). Using Ceramic Materials in Ecoefficient Concrete and Precast Concrete Products. In P. C. (Ed.), *Advances in Ceramics - Electric and Magnetic Ceramics, Bioceramics, Ceramics and Environment* (p. 550). InTech.

Chandana Sukesh, B. K. (2012). A Study of Sustainable Industrial Waste Materials as Partial Replacement of Cement. 2012 IACSIT Coimbatore Conferences.28, pp. 161-166. IACSIT Press, Singapore.

D. Tavakoli, A. H. (2013). Properties Of Concretes Produced With Waste Ceramic Tile Aggregate. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (BHRC), 14* (No.3), 369-382.

Design and Material Selection for Quality - Rectified Ceramic Tiles. (2010,
November 29). Retrieved April 03, 2013, from CONQUAS 21 Enhancement Series
Good Industry Practices Guide Book:
http://www.bca.gov.sg/publications/publications.html

Edificio Expo, c. I. (2007). Reference Document on Best Available Techniques in the Ceramic Manufacturing Industry. Spain: European Commission. (2012). EIA Report, Proposed Clinker Manufacturing Plant and Excavation of Mineral Aruwakkalu- Puttalam. Holcim (Lanka) Limited.

EML Consultants, EIA for proposed co-processing of scheduled wastes in kiln of cement plant at Holcim Cement works-Puttalam, 2008 May

Eva Vejmelková, T. K. (2012). Application of Waste Ceramics as Active Pozzolana in Concrete Production. *2012 IACSIT Coimbatore Conferences*.28, pp. 132-136. IACSIT Press, Singapore.

F. Puertasa, I. G.-D.-R. (2008). Ceramic wastes as alternative raw materials for Portland cement clinker production. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, *30* (9), 798-805.

Graeme, M. (2003). Cements. In J. N. Choo (Ed.), Advanced Concrete Technology, Contituent Materials (Vol. 1). Elsevier.

H. Koyuncu, Y. G. (2004). Utilization of Ceramic Wastes in the Construction Sector. (H. M. Öveçoglu, Ed.) *Key Engineering Materials*, 264 - 268, pp. 2509-2512.

IFC. (2007, April 30). World Bank Group Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines. *Environmental, Health, and Safety Guidelines for Ceramic Tile and Sanitary Ware Manufacturing*. Washington, DC 20433 USA: International Finance Corporation (IFC).

Inc., N. A. (2008). Sri Lanka The Competitiveness Program (TCP), Final Report. USAID.

Industrial Technology Institute, Baseline Survey Data, EEPEx Project funded by the European Union Switch Asia Programme, 2009-2010

John Bensted, J. M. (2001). A discussion of the paper "The use of waste ceramic tile in cement production" by N. Ay and M. Unal. *Cement and Concrete Research, Vol* 31, 161-162.

Lamkins, C. (n.d.). *Distinguishing the Differences between Ceramic and Porcelain Tile*. Retrieved April 03, 2013, from cccfcs.com: cccfcs.com/uploads/.../ID%2009/Lamkins-Nov%202009-final.pdf

Lanka Tiles - Technical Specification. (n.d.). Retrieved April 03, 2013, from Lanka Tiles: http://www.lankatile.com/technical.htm

Md. Safiuddin, M. Z. (2010). Utilization of solid wastes in construction materials. *International Journal of the Physical Sciences*, 5 (13), 1952-1963.

Mohd Mustafa Al Bakri Abdullah, K. H. (2006). Concrete With Ceramic Waste And Quarry Dust Aggregates. *Engineering Journal Of Research And Education* (Version 3), 139-145.

Nuran Ay, M. U. (2000). The use of waste ceramic tile in cement production. (30), 497-499.

Ratnasamy Muniandy, E. A. (2011). The effect of type and particle size of industrial wastes filler on Indirect Tensile Stiffness and Fatigue performance of Stone Mastic Asphalt Mixtures. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5 (No. 11), 297-308.



Ratnasamy Muniandy, E. E. (2009). An Initial Investigation of the Use of Local Industrial Wastes and By-Products as Mineral Fillers in Stone Mastic Asphalt Pavements. *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, *4* (No. 3), 54-63.

Richard A. Kruger, M. H. (1999). The Use of Fly Ash Fillers in Rubber. *International Ash Utilization Symposium - Paper #72* (p. 9). South Africa: Center for Applied Energy Research, University of Kentucky.

S. A. Jahan, S. P. (2008). Studies on the Physico-Chemical Properties of Ceramic Tiles Produced from Locally Available Raw Materials. *Bangladesh J. Sci. Ind. Res.* (43(1)), 77-88.

Saikiai, J. d. (2013). Recycled Aggregate in Concrete, Use of Industrial, Construction and Demolishion Waste. *Green Energy and Technology*. Springer-Verlag London.

Sujiyama, M. (2005). The Compressive Strength of Concrete Containing Tile Chips, Crushed Scallop Shells, or Crushed Roofing Tiles. *Journal of Hokkai-Gakuen University*, *No.124*, 9.

Sustainable Construction, A Guide on the Use of Recycled Materials. (2008). *BCA Sustainable Construction Series*(4) . (B. A. Environment, Compiler) Singapore: Building and Construction Authority.

Toyohiko Sugiyama, H. N. (2006). Ceramic Brick with High Water Retentivity Prepared from Ceramic Waste and by Products. (P. Vincenzini, Ed.) *Advances in Science and Technology*, *45*, pp. 2235-2239.

Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, en.wikipedia.org/

Y.Tabak, M. E. (2012). Ceramic Tile Waste As A Waste Management Solution For Concrete. *3rd International Conference on Industrial and Hazardous Waste Management*, (p. 8).



Appendix – I

Reuse/Recycle Potential of Rejected Ceramic Glazed Tiles



| Application | As Recycled Aggregate | | As an Active Additive (with pozzolanic characteristics) | | As an Alternative Raw Material | |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | As a Coarse Aggregate | As a Fine Aggregate | As a Hydraulic Binder | As a Filler | 1 | |
| | (total/ partial replacement of | (total/ partial replacement of | | | | |
| | natural stone aggregate) | natural river or sea sand) | | | | |
| Non Structural | \checkmark | ✓ | | | | Stud |
| Concrete | (30-100%) | (30-100%) | | | | stren |
| Reinforced Concrete | | ✓ | | | | |
| Structural Concrete | \checkmark | ✓ | | | | |
| | (10-20%) | (25-50%) | | | | |
| Precast Concrete | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | | Can |
| Blocks | (4-31.5 mm) | | (Partial replacement of | | | bloc |
| | | | Portland cement) | | | etc. |
| Concrete roofing tiles | | ✓ | \checkmark | | | |
| | | (5-10%) | (Partial replacement of | | | |
| | | | Portland cement: 5-15%) | | | |
| Bricks | | | \checkmark | | | |
| | | | (10%) | | | |
| Mortar | | \checkmark | | | | |
| | | (20-50%) | | | | |
| Pozzolanic Cements | | | ~ | | | Subs |
| | | | (Partial replacement of | | | nega |
| | | | Portland cement:<30%) | | | Port |
| | | | | | | Ende |
| | | | | | | - 1 |
| | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | - 6 |
| | | | | | | 8 |
| Unnafined Concept | | | | | | |
| Unrefined Cement | | | | | v | A - 6 |
| Stone Mastic Asphalt | | | | v | | AS I |
| (SMA) | | | | | | Mini |
| Koad Sub-Base | | | | | v | WIX |
| Rockfill Motorial | | | | | | Mivi |
| Improved Soil | | | | | | Mixi |
| Fynansion Material | | | | | (40%) | |
| Cement clinker | | | | | | Tech |
| production | | | | | (<0.05%) | kiln |
| production | | | | | (<0.05%) | KIIII row |
| | | | | | | Iaw |

| Table I-1: Reusing | / recycling p | otentials of rejected | ceramic tiles |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|

Remarks

lies reviled good abrasion resistance, good tensile and increased durability

be utilized as sub-base paving blocks, seating ks in recreational areas, roofing tiles, drain caver

stitution percentages of below 30% had no ative effects on the mechanical behaviour of land cement

ow the cements with positive characteristics

ncrease in mechanical strength in the medium and ong term

enhance the chemical resistance of concrete to aggressive agents, which has a positive impact on the material's service life

illers in asphalt concrete

ing with natural soil, sand and crushed aggregate

ing with lime, zeolite and cement ing ceramic tile dust with Na.bentonite

nnical feasibility depend on the process conditions, type and condition and other alternative fuels and materials utilized in the process Appendix – II

Details and Specifications of Machinery, Analytical Equipments and Bectronic Theses & Dissertations Measuring Instruments Utilized For the Study

Clamp On Power HiTester

| Manufacturer's Name | : HIOKI E.E. CORPORATION |
|------------------------|---|
| Manufacturer's Address | : 81 Koizumi, Ueda, Nagano 386-1192, Japan |
| Product Name | : CLAMP ON POWER HITESTER |
| Model Number | : 3286-20 |
| Accessory | : 9635 VOLTAGE CORD |
| Options | : 9635-01 VOLTAGE CORD |
| Safety | : EN61010-1:2001, EN61010-031:2002, EN61010-2- |
| 032:2002 | |
| EMC | : EN61326-2-2-2006, Class B Equipment, |
| | Portable test, measuring and monitoring equipment |
| | used in low voltage distribution systems |

Comply with the requirements of the Low Voltage Directive 2006/95/EC and the EMC Directive 2004/108/EC



Appendix – III

Details of Power Meter Readings



| Mea. No. | Power (kW) | | Mea. No. | Power (kW) |
|----------|-------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|
| 1 | 1.33 | | 20 | 1.66 |
| 2 | 1.39 | | 21 | 1.58 |
| 3 | 1.40 | | 22 | 1.50 |
| 4 | 1.48 | | 23 | 1.53 |
| 5 | 1.56 | | 24 | 1.76 |
| 6 | 1.41 | | 25 | 1.82 |
| 7 | 1.51 | | 26 | 1.83 |
| 8 | 1.44 | | 27 | 1.76 |
| 9 | 1.54 | | 28 | 1.83 |
| 10 | 1.62 | | 29 | 1.74 |
| 11 | 1.66 | | 30 | 1.85 |
| 12 | 1.55 | | 31 | 1.72 |
| 13 | 1.52 | | 32 | 1.56 |
| 14 | 1.70 mic Th | Moratuwa, Sri eses & Dissert | tions 33 | 1.54 |
| 15 | 1.68 | IC.IK | 34 | 1.52 |
| 16 | 1.58 | | 35 | 1.46 |
| 17 | 1.55 | | 36 | 1.54 |
| 18 | 1.58 | | 37 | 1.57 |
| 19 | 1.72 | | 38 | 1.58 |

Table III-1: Power Meter readings during hammer milling process

| Mea. No. | Power (kW) | | Mea. No. | Power (kW) |
|----------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------|------------|
| 1 | 1.10 | | 33 | 1.03 |
| 2 | 1.11 | | 34 | 1.04 |
| 3 | 1.09 | | 35 | 1.03 |
| 4 | 1.07 | | 36 | 1.05 |
| 5 | 1.10 | | 37 | 1.05 |
| 6 | 1.09 | | 38 | 1.05 |
| 7 | 1.08 | | 39 | 1.04 |
| 8 | 1.11 | | 40 | 1.04 |
| 9 | 1.10 | | 41 | 1.03 |
| 10 | 1.08 | | 42 | 1.03 |
| 11 | 1.10 | | 43 | 1.03 |
| 12 | 1.09 | | 44 | 1.04 |
| 13 | 1.04 | | 45 | 1.06 |
| 14 | 1.03 | | 46 | 1.05 |
| 15 | 1.05 | | 47 | 1.05 |
| 16 | 1.04 | | 48 | 1.04 |
| 17 | 1.04 | | 49 | 1.03 |
| 18 | 1.07 | f Moratuwa, S heses & Disse | ri Lanka50 | 1.04 |
| 19 | 1.03w.lib.mr | t.ac.lk | 51 | 1.04 |
| 20 | 1.04 | | 52 | 1.04 |
| 21 | 1.04 | | 53 | 1.04 |
| 22 | 1.04 | | 54 | 1.04 |
| 23 | 1.03 | | 55 | 1.03 |
| 24 | 1.04 | | 56 | 1.04 |
| 25 | 1.04 | | 57 | 1.03 |
| 26 | 1.03 | | 58 | 1.03 |
| 27 | 1.05 | | 59 | 1.03 |
| 28 | 1.04 | | 60 | 1.04 |
| 29 | 1.04 | | 61 | 1.04 |
| 30 | 1.03 | | 62 | 1.04 |
| 31 | 1.03 | | 63 | 1.03 |
| 32 | 1.03 | | 64 | 1.03 |

Table III-2: Power Meter readings during ball milling process

_

Appendix – IV

PSD Graphs obtained from Particle size Analyzer for the Ball Milled Products





Figure IV-1: 4hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 1



Figure IV-2: 4hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 2



Figure IV-3: 8hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 1



Figure IV-4: 8hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 2



Figure IV-5: 12hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 1



Figure IV-6: 12hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 2



Figure IV-7: 16hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 1



Figure IV-8: 16hrs Ball Milled Product - Sample 2

Appendix –V

Results of XRD Analysis





Figure V-1: XRD analysis results of 16hrs ball milled sample

FPM Results for Silicate

Model Parameters:

| Sample name: | silicate composition | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| File name: | silicate composition.raw | | |
| Date of fitting: | 20/07/2012 14:35:56 | | |
| Fitting limits: | 2.000 70.000 | | |
| Number of steps: | 20 | | |
| R/R0: | 1.22 | | |
| RWP: | 28.2 | | |
| Delta displacement: | 0.131 mm | | |
| 03-065-0466 | Quartz low, syn | | |
| | SiO ₂ | | |
| FWHM (30): | 0.136 ° | | |
| Crystallite Size (Scherrer): | 597.8 A | | |
| System: | Hexagonal | | |
| Space group: | P3221 (154) | | |
| Cell parameter: | Initial Final | | |
| a: | 4.91410 Fixed | | |
| c: | 5.40600 Fixed | | |

| | www.lib.mrt.ac.lk | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Fitting limits: | 3.000 | 60.000 |
| Background degree: | 3 | |
| Asymmetry [constant]: | 0.9998 | 29 |
| Asymmetry [/tan(th)^2]: | 0.0004 | 19575 |
| Asymmetry [/tan(th)^2]: | 0.0001 | 17626 |
| Broadening [*tan(th)]: | -0.1912 | 274 |
| Broadening [*tan(th)^2]: | 5.1309 | 9 |
| Broadening [*tan(th)^3]: | 0 | Fixed |
| Lorentz width [Left const]: | 1.1589 | 2 |
| Lorentz width [Left/tan(th): | 0.0133 | 586 |
| Lorentz width [Right const] | : -0.036 | 0098 |
| Lorentz width [Right/(tan(th | n)]: 0.2423 | 66 |
| | | |

Appendix – VI

Cure Curves Obtained from the Rheometer Test





Figure VI-1: Cure curve of Compound 01 (sample 01)



Figure VI-2: Cure curve of Compound 02 (sample 02)



Figure VI-3: Cure curve of Compound 03 (sample 03)

Appendix - VII

Size Reduction Cost Calculation



Assumptions

- 1. Power consumption per unit product output in industrial-scale hammer milling and ball milling processes are similar to that of pilot-scale
- 2. Floor tile industry falls under Industrial –(I3) tariff category
- 3. Rejected tiles are processed during off peak time
- 4. Maximum demand is not influenced by this process

| Tariff Category | Approved for 2011 | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|--------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Unit Charge | Fuel Adjustment | Fixed Charge | Demand | | |
| | | Charge | | Charge | | |
| | [LKR/kWh] | [%] | [LKR/month] | [LKR/kVA] | | |
| Industrial-(I1) | 10.50 | 15 | 240.00 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Industrial-(I2) | | | | | | |
| Peak | 13.60 | nic Theses & Dissertatic[5 b mrt.ac.lk | 3000.00 | 850.00 | | |
| Off peak | 7.35 | 15 | | | | |
| Day | 10.45 | 15 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Industrial-(I3) | | | | | | |
| Peak | 13.40 | 15 | 3000.00 | 750.00 | | |
| Off peak | 7.15 | 15 | | | | |
| Day | 10.25 | 15 | | | | |

Table VII-1: Industrial purpose tariff plan of CEB

Source - (CEB)

Based on the power meter readings obtained during hammer milling process (Appendix -II) and ball milling process (Appendix -III), average power consumption can be calculated as below.

Avg. power consumption during hammer milling process= 1.59 kWAvg. power consumption during ball milling process= 1.05 kW

As per 3rd assumption, demand charge can be excluded. Thus only unit charge and the fuel adjustment charge should be calculated in this regard.

| Process | Average Power | Milling | No. of | Unit Charge | Fuel Adjustment | Size Reduction Cost |
|----------------|---------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | Consumption | Time | Units | iri Lanka. | Charge | |
| | [kW] 🧏 | [hr]ib.m | [kWh] | [LKR/kg] | [LKR/kg] | [LKR/kg] |
| Hammer Milling | 1.59 | 0.053 | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.02 | 0.14 |
| Ball Milling | 1.05 | 4 | 4.2 | 10.01 | 1.50 | 11.51 |
| | 1.05 | 8 | 8.4 | 20.02 | 3.00 | 23.02 |
| | 1.05 | 12 | 12.6 | 30.03 | 4.50 | 34.53 |
| | 1.05 | 16 | 16.8 | 40.04 | 6.01 | 46.05 |

Table VII-2: Size reduction cost calculation