# INVESTIGATIONS ON STATICALLY STABLE, SLOPING, RUBBLE MOUND COASTAL STRUCTURES

by

Prasanthi Dilukshi Mirihagalla

A thesis submitted to University of Moratuwa for the Degree in Master of Engineering





පුස්තකාලය **මොරටු**ව විශ්ව විදනලය. **ශුි ලංකාව** මොරටුව.

Research supervised

by

Professor S.S.L.Hettiarachchi

627.2

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA MORATUWA SRI LANKA

September 2000



#### **ABSTRACT**

The ability to predict the level of reflection, transmission, run-up and run-down for various types of coastal structures plays an important role in the assessment of their hydraulic performance. These parameters together with the hydraulic, geotechnical and structural stability of the individual components and of the structure as a whole determine the overall performance of the structure. The porosity and permeability of the structure too has a significant influence on the hydraulic performance and the economics of construction. This study has done a literature review and presents the results from a study of the hydraulic performance of a wide range of structures used in harbour and coastal engineering. The results of two detailed hydraulic model investigations of trapezoidal layered breakwaters at scale 1:20 (tested at LHI). The results are compared with a model investigation done on a homogeneous breakwater at scale 1:40 (tested at Imperial College, London). The investigations were designed to obtain a full profile of the energy dissipation characteristics of the structures tested, including the damping of waves as they propagate through the structure. The results are discussed in the context of the importance of porosity and permeability of wave absorbing structures, their application in practice and further research.

**Key words** Breakwaters, Reflection, Transmission, Dissipation, Porosity, Permeability, Scale Effects





#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My sincere gratitude goes to the research supervisor Professor S.S.L.Hettiarachchi for introducing me to the area Statically Stable Sloping Rubble Mound Coastal Structures and for the guidance given to me in many ways. I am also grateful for him for the valuable criticism, advice and comments, encouragement given and handling the tedious task of correcting the study. I am thankful for him for arranging me to work at Lanka Hydraulic Institute (LHI), Katubedda, Moratuwa, for my model investigations. Guidance and encouragement given with respect to publishing and presenting research papers are appreciated. I am thankful for him for giving me an opportunity to get an exposure to the industry by permitting me to attend conferences, seminars and workshops.

I am grateful to the Vice Chancellor, Dean of the Engineering Faculty and the Senate Research Committee, Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Director, Postgraduate Studies of the University of Moratuwa, for funding this project and giving me an opportunity for research.

I wish to thank the management and staff of Lanka Hydraulic Institute (LHI) for their utmost corporation and making available their facilities at a reduced cost. My special thanks go to Mr. Jayantha Rajapakse for the support given in my model testing.

I thank Peter Hyllested of the Danish Hydraulic Institute, Denmark, for helping me to sort out the wave gauge distance calculations for the measurement of wave reflection through e-mail communications.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to Dr. (Mrs.) Premini Hettiarachchi for the assistance, ideas, comments, criticism and advice given. I am thankful for her for helping me in many ways.

I thank my parents and my husband for the encouragement given and standing by me throughout. I thank my father for binding this thesis. My thanks go to my friends Ramesh Perera and Subashi Pooliyadda for the support given.

#### Declaration

This thesis is a report of research carried out in the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, between March 1999 and September 2000. Except where references are made to other work, the contents of this thesis are original and have been carried out by the undersigned. The work has not been submitted in part or whole to any other university. This thesis contains 90 pages.

P.D.Mirihagalla Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. Sri Lanka.

### **UOM Verified Signature**

Supervisor Prof. S.S.L.Hettiarachchi Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa. Sri Lanka.



## **CONTENTS**

Αł	ostract	i
Ac	Acknowledgement  Declaration  Contents  Summary of Tables and Figures  1. Introduction  1.1. Background 1.2. Objective of the study 1.3. Guide to the thesis  University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, Www.lib.mrt.ac.lik	ii
De		iii
<ol> <li>Objective of the study</li> <li>Guide to the thesis</li> <li>Chiversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.</li> <li>Design issues and review of literature</li> <li>Design issues</li> <li>Harbour design</li> <li>Classification of harbour and coastal structures</li> <li>Breakwater design</li> <li>Analysis of wave reflection, transmission and dissipation</li> <li>Influence of porosity and permeability with respect to stability</li> <li>Importance of wave reflection</li> <li>Prediction of wave reflection</li> <li>Review of literature</li> <li>Non porous sloping structures</li> </ol>	iv	
Su	ammary of Tables and Figures	vi
1.	Introduction	1
	<ul><li>1.2. Objective of the study</li><li>1.3. Guide to the thesis University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.</li></ul>	1 2 3
2.	Design issues and review of literature	4
	2.1. Design issues	4
	<ul> <li>2.1.2. Classification of harbour and coastal structures</li> <li>2.1.3. Breakwater design</li> <li>2.1.4. Analysis of wave reflection, transmission and dissipation</li> <li>2.1.5. Influence of porosity and permeability with respect to stability</li> <li>2.1.6. Importance of wave reflection</li> </ul>	8
	2.2. Review of literature	11
	<ul> <li>2.2.2. Rock armoured sloping structures</li> <li>2.2.3. Concrete armoured sloping structures</li> <li>2.2.4. Porous sloping protection to vertical structures</li> <li>2.2.5. Armoured porous trapezoidal structures</li> </ul>	11 12 12 14 14
	2.2.6. Field investigations on wave reflection	15

3.	Experimental programme - Design approach and details	25
	3.1. Relevance of large scale hydraulic models	25
	3.2. Investigating energy dissipating characteristics	25
	3.3. Structures investigated	26
	3.3.1. Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater	27
	3.3.2. Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater	27
	3.4. Experimental Set-up	27
	3.5. Test conditions and parameters measured	28
	3.6. Instrumentation and data acquisition	28
4	Discussion of results	35
7.	Discussion of results	3 <b>3</b>
	4.1. Approach to the discussion	35
	4.2. Rock armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater	35
	4.3. Concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater	38
	4.4. Comparison between rock armoured and concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwaters	40
	4.5. Homogeneous trapezoidal breakwater University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	41
5.	Conclusions and recommendations	64
Reference		67
Annexe A		70
Anneve B		79

## **SUMMARY OF TABLES AND FIGURES**

TABLE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
2.1	Damage reported to seawalls (Thomas and Hall, 1992)	17
2.2	Structural configurations investigated	18
2.3	Prediction equations for wave reflection, based on experimental data	19
2.4	Prediction equations for wave reflection, based on field investigations	22
3.1a	Prototype characteristics of armour material for rock armoured and concrete armoured (secondary layer) trapezoidal layered breakwaters	30
3.1b	Prototype characteristics of core material for rock armoured and concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwaters	30
4.1a	Prototype conditions investigated experimentally on rock armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater - scale 1:20	44
4.1b	Percentage of wave energy dissipation at the sections where wave transmission was measured - rock armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater- scale 1:20	44
4.2a	Prototype conditions investigated experimentally on concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater - scale 1:20	45
4.2b	Percentage of wave energy dissipation at the sections where wave transmission was measured – concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater- scale 1:20	45
4.3a	Effect of wave period on wave transmission and reflection for the rock armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater	46
4.3b	Effect of wave period on wave transmission and reflection for the concrete armoured trapezoidal layered breakwater	46
4.4a	Equivalent prototype conditions investigated experimentally on 1:40 scale models of homogeneous trapezoidal breakwaters - (tests conducted at Imperial college, London)	47
4.4b	Percentage of wave energy dissipation computed from model measurements corresponding to the sections where wave probes were located. Scale ratio 1:40, water depth = 8m (prototype)	<b>4</b> 7

FIGURE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
2.1	Types of rubble mound breakwaters	23
2.2	Relationship between block stability and voids ratio Willock (1981)	23
2.3	Reflection performance of rock protection to existing seawall Allsop and Hettiarachchi (1989)	24
3.1	Prototype breakwater cross-sections of the structures investigated	31
3.2	Lanka Hydraulic Institute (LHI) - Laboratory Flume	32
3.3	Experimental set-up for 1:20 scale model of Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater tested at LHI	33
3.4	Experimental set-up for 1:20 scale model of Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater tested at LHI	34
4.1	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Steepness for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	48
4.2	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Steepness for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	49
4.3	Coefficients of Transmission and Reflection vs. Steepness for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	50
4.4	Coefficients of Transmission and Reflection vs. Steepness for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	51
4.5	Coefficient of Reflection vs. Iribarren Number for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20	52
4.6	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Relative Distance for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	53

4.7	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Relative Distance for Rock Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	54
4.8	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Steepness for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	55
4.9	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Steepness for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Layered Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	56
4.10	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Relative Distance for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	57
4.11	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Relative Distance for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	58
4.12	Coefficients of Transmission and Reflection vs. Steepness for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Regular waves)	59
4.13	Coefficients of Transmission and Reflection vs. Steepness for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20 (Random waves)	60
4.14	Coefficient of Reflection vs. Iribarren Number for Concrete Armoured Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:20	61
4.15	Coefficient of Reflection vs. Steepness for Homogeneous Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:40	62
4.16	Coefficient of Transmission vs. Steepness for Homogeneous Trapezoidal Breakwater at scale 1:40	62
4.17	Details of the 1:40 scale model - Homogeneous Trapezoidal Breakwater	63