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Appendices
Dear Sir/Madam,

I am a post graduate candidate of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, conducting a research under the supervision of Prof. N. D. Guanawardana University of Moratuwa, as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Science in Construction Project Management.

The research title:
The effectiveness of social capital as an enabler of knowledge creation in construction organisations

Aim of the research:
To investigate whether social capital act as an effective enabler in knowledge creation in construction organisations and help construction organisations to make more informed decision on investment in social capital to improve innovative potential and competitive advantage.

I hereby guarantee the responses of the questionnaires will be used only for aforementioned purpose and will not be exposed to any third party. The research publication will not contain any personal details of the respondents. You are requested to sincerely respond to all the questions in the questionnaire.

Thank you.
Yours truly,
B.H.C. Jeevanthi

Social capital – Definition
Social capital is the sum of potential resources embedded within, available through, and derived from the network of relationships maintained by an individual or social unit (organisation). Thus, social capital comprises both the network and the resources that may be mobilised through that network (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998, p.243).
Instructions

1. Purpose of this survey is to collect information on the ability of personal networks and resources that may be mobilised through those networks to create knowledge in your organisation.

2. This questionnaire should be filled with persons from the managerial staff related to the field of construction in the organisation (e.g. Project Directors, Director Operations, Project Coordinators, Project Managers, Design Managers, Senior Engineers, Senior Quantity Surveyors, etc.).

3. This questionnaire survey consists of Part A, Part B and Part C and you are requested to fill all three parts.

4. The collected information will remain confidential.

Part A: Company background information

Name of the organisation: ____________________________

Years in the field of construction: ________________

Years in the capacity of M1 grade: ________________

Main fields in M1/C1 Grade:

- Buildings
- Roads
- Bridges
- Water supply & drainage
- Irrigation
- Dredging and reclamation

Dredging and reclamation
Part B: Information about the respondent

Name of the respondent (optional):

Designation/ Title:

Years in the field of construction:

Years in the company:

Sex:  

[ ] Male

[ ] Female
Part C: Questionnaire Survey

Please tick (✓) or underline the answer which best describes the extent to which the following constructs apply to you and your organisation.

1.0 Overall pattern of connections between actors

1. To what extent do you discuss work related problems with your superiors through formal links?
   - □ Never  □ Rarely  □ Occasionally  □ Frequently  □ Always

2. On average, how long does each discussion with your superiors last?
   - □ No discussions  □ 1-15 min  □ 15-30 min  □ 30-60 min  □ > 1hr

3. To what extent do you discuss work related problems with your colleagues (or informal networks)?
   - □ Never  □ Rarely  □ Occasionally  □ Frequently  □ Always

4. On average, how long does each discussion with your colleagues last?
   - □ No discussions  □ 1-15 min  □ 15-30 min  □ 30-60 min  □ > 1hr

5. You always feel free to communicate with your superiors to solve work related problems.
   - □ Strongly disagree  □ Disagree  □ Don’t know  □ Agree  □ Strongly agree

6. You always feel free to communicate with your colleagues to solve work related problems.
7. To what extent do you use old friendship ties (links) to tackle current problems of projects which you have not faced before or to get work related advice?

- Never
- Rarely
- Occasionally
- Frequently
- Always

2.0 Resources embedded in social networks (e.g. interpersonal trust, accepted behaviour patterns, identification, obligations and expectations)

8. Most of your organisation members are reliable and dependable in terms of their ability to meet obligations and perform a task in problem solving events or dealing with change events.

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Don't know
- Agree
- Strongly agree

9. You have mutual understanding that other members behave in the same way as you during shared problem solving.

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Don't know
- Agree
- Strongly agree

10. If you ask other members for help when you get into difficult problems, you can feel confident that they will actively engage in problem solving with you.

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Don't know
- Agree
- Strongly agree

11. You have been lenient in making judgments when your organisation/ group members make mistakes during problem solving.

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Don't know
- Agree
- Strongly agree
12. You can always share your opinion openly and freely in problem solving discussions in the organisation.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

13. Constructive criticisms are always encouraged among organisation members during problem solving discussions.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

14. Most of the time there is a team work among yourself and other members during problem solving activities or dealing with organisational/projects change events.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

15. Your organisation always tolerates failures among yourself and other members during trial and error work or experimentation.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

16. Your organisation is always willing to value new things, efficient and effective methods of working and work procedures invented by organisation members.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

17. You feel accepted as a member of the organisation/group when you engage in shared problem solving or dealing with change events.

☐ Strongly disagree  ☐ Disagree  ☐ Don't know  ☐ Agree  ☐ Strongly agree

18. You feel helping other members to solve work related problems as your personal obligation in the organisation.
19. You are main concern is about your organisational needs in making solutions to
difficult problems.

• Strongly disagree • Disagree • Don't know • Agree • Strongly agree

20. You expect some recognition (or praise) among other organisation/ group
members when you engage in knowledge creation activities such as solving a
difficult problem, experimentation, etc.

• Strongly disagree • Disagree • Don't know • Agree • Strongly agree

3.0 Shared engineering culture and storey telling practice

21. To what extent you and other members are using similar language, meaning and
interpretations when you are discussing project/work related problems in formal
context?

• Never • Rarely • Occasionally • Frequently • Always

22. To what extent you are using slang or lingo when engaging in informal
knowledge sharing discussions with other organisation/group members?

• Never • Rarely • Occasionally • Frequently • Always

23. To what extent you have discussed organisational myths or stories on project
problems, success and failure stories of previous projects with other members
during problem solving discussions?

• Never • Rarely • Occasionally • Frequently • Always
4.0 Level of accessibility to information and knowledge during problem solving

24. You always know who owns knowledge you need in formal organisation structure (formal relations) to deal with immediate work related problems.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Don't know
   - Agree
   - Strongly agree

25. You can search and access knowledge easily through informal links in the organisation when you face a challenge.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Don't know
   - Agree
   - Strongly agree

26. You can always exchange knowledge with others by different interpersonal channels in the organisation.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Don't know
   - Agree
   - Strongly agree

27. You have many informal communication channels in the organisation to exchange and combine knowledge with other members.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Don't know
   - Agree
   - Strongly agree

28. You have many old personal relationships to access knowledge and expertise that is otherwise difficult to obtain to solve immediate problems.
   - Strongly disagree
   - Disagree
   - Don't know
   - Agree
   - Strongly agree

5.0 Level of perception on the outcome of knowledge sharing activities

29. You believe you will be receiving valuable knowledge by participating knowledge sharing activities in your organisation.
30. The knowledge you receive from other organisation/group members during problem solving discussions is useful in helping to get your work done.

31. You always use technical norms or standards developed by other members as frame of reference for your work.

32. You would participate knowledge sharing activities in the organisation although you are unknown what you are going to learn there or what path you will take in the pursuit of this knowledge.

6.0 Level of motivation to knowledge exchange and combination

33. You would take the initiative to exchange experience with other members in the event of a problem.

34. You would propose ideas as far as possible in problem solving discussions.

35. You are willing to take a part in problem solving discussions sponsored by other members.
36. You will turn to other members for help if you get into difficulties in the organisation.

37. Your organisation members consider knowledge sharing as a daily habit.

7.0 Level of capability to combine knowledge

38. You can understand knowledge other members provide during problem solving discussions.

39. You can communicate and exchange ideas with other members easily during problem solving discussions.

40. You can hold the latest progress in your field in the organisation.

41. You can distinguish and collect new knowledge and information rapidly.

42. You can study knowledge you are lack of rapidly.
43. Your creativity can be enhanced by participation in problem solving discussions in the organisation.

I would like to thank you for the information given and time you have dedicated to this research. If you are interested to know the outcome of this research, it would be my pleasure to share it with you.
## APPENDIX B: QUESTIONNAIRE REFERENCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-construct</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Measurement items</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural dimension</strong></td>
<td>Network ties</td>
<td>Q1, Q3</td>
<td>Chua (2002); Chow and Chan (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network configuration</td>
<td>Q2, Q4, Q5, Q6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriate organisation</td>
<td>Q7</td>
<td>Researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relational dimension</strong></td>
<td>Interpersonal trust</td>
<td>Q8, Q9, Q10, Q11, Q12</td>
<td>Li and Zhu (2009); Chua (2002); Chow and Chan (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation</td>
<td>Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of identification</td>
<td>Q17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obligations &amp; expectations</td>
<td>Q18, Q19, Q20</td>
<td>Researcher; Li and Zhu (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive dimension</strong></td>
<td>Shared language &amp; codes</td>
<td>Q21, Q22</td>
<td>Chua (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared narratives</td>
<td>Q23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge</td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 1 Q24</td>
<td>Li and Zhu (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 2 Q25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 3 Q26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 4 Q27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 5 Q28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipation of value</td>
<td>Anticipation of value 1 Q29</td>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipation of value 2 Q30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipation of value 3 Q31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipation of value 4 Q32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li and Zhu (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to knowledge</td>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 1 Q33</td>
<td>Li and Zhu (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 2 Q34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 3 Q35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 4 Q36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 5 Q37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability</td>
<td>Combination capability 1 Q38</td>
<td>Li and Zhu (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability 2 Q39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability 3 Q40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability 4 Q41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability 5 Q42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combination capability 6 Q43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## APPENDIX C: VARIABLE ALLOCATION DOCUMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Indicator variable</th>
<th>Reference to questionnaire item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural dimension</td>
<td>Network ties 1</td>
<td>Q1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network ties 2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network configuration 1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network configuration 2</td>
<td>Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network configuration 3</td>
<td>Q5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Network configuration 4</td>
<td>Q6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Appropriable organisation</td>
<td>Q7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relational dimension</td>
<td>Interpersonal trust 1</td>
<td>Q8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interpersonal trust 2</td>
<td>Q9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interpersonal trust 3</td>
<td>Q10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interpersonal trust 4</td>
<td>Q11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation 1</td>
<td>Q12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation 2</td>
<td>Q13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation 3</td>
<td>Q14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation 4</td>
<td>Q15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Norms of corporation 5</td>
<td>Q16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sense of identification</td>
<td>Q17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obligations &amp; expectations 1</td>
<td>Q18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obligations &amp; expectations 2</td>
<td>Q19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obligations &amp; expectations 3</td>
<td>Q20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive dimension</td>
<td>Shared language &amp; codes 1</td>
<td>Q21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared language &amp; codes 2</td>
<td>Q22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shared narratives</td>
<td>Q23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge</td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 1</td>
<td>Q24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 2</td>
<td>Q25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 3</td>
<td>Q26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 4</td>
<td>Q27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accessibility to knowledge 5</td>
<td>Q28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipation of value</th>
<th>Anticipation of value 1</th>
<th>Q29</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipation of value 2</td>
<td>Q30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipation of value 3</td>
<td>Q31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anticipation of value 4</td>
<td>Q32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motivation to knowledge</th>
<th>Motivation to knowledge 1</th>
<th>Q33</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 2</td>
<td>Q34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 3</td>
<td>Q35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 4</td>
<td>Q36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Motivation to knowledge 5</td>
<td>Q37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combination capability</th>
<th>Combination capability 1</th>
<th>Q38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination capability 2</td>
<td>Q39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination capability 3</td>
<td>Q40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination capability 4</td>
<td>Q41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination capability 5</td>
<td>Q42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Combination capability 6</td>
<td>Q43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>