CRITICAL REVIEW ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN FOREIGN FUNDED WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN SRI LANKA

Peraketiya Asoka Kumara Lalith Jayaratne

(PG/PM/12/99)



Degree of Master of Science in Project Management

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2013

CRITICAL REVIEW ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN FOREIGN FUNDED WATER SUPPLY PROJECTS FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN SRI LANKA

Peraketiya Asoka Kumara Lalith Jayaratne

(PG/PM/12/99)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Project Management

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2013

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that, it contains no materials previously published or written by another person nor material extent, has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of a University or other institution of higher learning, except where an acknowledgement is made in the text.

| P.A.K.L.Jayaratne | Date |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| I beneficio e los contestos de adesa Mor. D.A. IV. I. Januari | |
| I hereby acknowledge that Mr. P.A.K.L. Jayara | ithe has followed the dissertation |
| process set by the Department of Building Econom | nics. |
| University of Moratuwa, Sri Lar Electronic Theses & Dissertation www.lib.mrt.ac.lk | |
| | |
| | |
| Prof. Chitra Wedikkara | Date |
| Dissertation Supervisor | |

DEDICATION

My parents are not aware of all the mathematical computations, matters related to the universe, the world or substances available in the water, air and sand.

But they guided me

by shedding their tears, sweat and labour day and night

So whole heartedly I dedicate this to

MY DEAR PARENTS

who helped me

to stand on my feet

as a good human being

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

At this moment it's a great pleasure for me to pay my gratitude to all the people who helped me in my education and career.

Hence I would like to mention a person who has sacrificed a lot for this dissertation to be a success with her great human qualities from the incepts of the MSc programme.

This is non other than my dissertation supervisor Prof. Chithra Wedikkara who supported me through supervision until the end, where this dissertation become a reality and widen my horizon in many subject areas to extend a better service to the society through this study. Therefore I would like to thanks Prof. Chithra Wedikkara whole heartedly for the tremendous contribution made by her to make the M.Sc. programme and this dissertation a success.

Next, I would like to thanks all the lectures who taught us in the M.Sc. programme for providing the background knowledge, teaching, guiding, supporting and for their commitment towards this study. Further more I extend my gratitude to the Dean of the Faculty of Architecture, Head of the Department of Building Economics and the Course Coordinator of the MSc programme and also the office staff for their valuable support extended to me.

Not only that but my appreciation and gratitude goes to my staff members and the senior officers of NWS&DB also for their fullest co-operation and support extended to me during the time period I have been preparing for the dissertation.

Last not least my gratitude goes to the beneficiaries of ADB funded projects the village people for their humble and generous contribution in pronouncing their genuine feelings of the results of the projects.

ABSTRACT

Sri Lanka a country with a great civilization, a proud history and a great culture for more than 2500 years witnessed developed ancient agricultural systems, irrigation networks and other famous structures due to Community Participation (CP) in development which prevailed during that time.

Even now Government of Sri Lanka (GOSL) has considered CP within a specific environment in order to achieve objectives in terms of social, financial and political perspectives.

As an experienced project manager in foreign funded Rural Water Supply (RWS) projects, my concern is to see whether the community as well as project implementation authorities are achieving the expected goals by implementing the CP concept.

This study is to measure the effectiveness of CP in both community and the implementing authority and to compare similar projects with and without CP in every stage.

One Hundred and Twenty foreign funded rural water supply projects completed in Anuradhapura district were evaluated and compared with projects without CP. To measure the current status of on going Rural Water Supply (RWS) schemes, data related to water quality, quantity and administrative status were collected.

After analyzing the data it is found that the objectives of CP in foreign funded RWS projects are not achieving the goals as expected by the GOSL.

Keywords: Community Participation, Rural Water Supply, Community Base organization.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Declar | ration | | . i |
|---------|---|---|--------|
| Dedic | ation | | . ii |
| Ackno | wledgen | nent | . iii |
| Abstra | act | | . iv |
| Table | of conter | nt | . v |
| List of | f Figures | | . viii |
| List of | f Tables . | | . ix |
| List of | f Abbrevi | ations | . X |
| List of | f Append | ices | . xi |
| CHAI | PTER 01 | | . 1 |
| 1.0 |) INTR | ODUCTION | . 1 |
| 1.1 | l Backg | ground | . 1 |
| 1.2 | 2 Aim. | | . 3 |
| 1.3 | 3 Objec | tives University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka | . 4 |
| 1.4 | 4 Limit | ation University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk | . 4 |
| 1.5 | 5 Metho | odology | . 4 |
| 1.6 | 6 Chapt | er Breakdown | . 5 |
| 1.7 | 7 Hypo | thesis of the study | . 5 |
| CHAI | PTER 02 | | . 6 |
| 2.0 |) LITE | RATURE REVIEW | . 6 |
| 2.1 | l Defini | tion for Community and Community Participation | . 6 |
| 2.2 | 2.2 Objectives of Community Participation | | . 9 |
| • • | | ning Community Participation | . 10 |
| | 2.3.1 | How do people participate? | . 10 |
| | 2.3.2 | Collective Action | . 11 |
| | 2.3.3 | Demand driven approach | . 13 |
| | 234 | What Do We Know about Participation? | 14 |

| | | 2.3.5 | Community Participation | 14 | |
|---|------|--|--|----|--|
| | | 2.3.6 | Community Contribution | 15 | |
| | 2. 4 | Comn | nunity Management | 15 | |
| | | 2.4.1 | Introduction | 15 | |
| | | 2.4.2 | Advantages and problems of Community Management | 17 | |
| | | 2.4.3 | Disadvantages associated with Community Management | 17 | |
| | 2.5 | Role | of government authorities/agency in project effectiveness | 21 | |
| | 2.6 | Relev | ant of Community Participation | 24 | |
| | 2.7 | Success of project without Community Participation | | | |
| | 2.8 | Chapt | er Summary | 26 | |
| | | | | | |
| C | НАРТ | TER 03 | | 29 | |
| | 3.0 | RESE | EARCH METHODOLOGY | 29 | |
| | 3.1 | 1 Introduction | | | |
| | 3.2 | 2 Research process and Design | | | |
| | | 3.2.1 | Initial Impetus | 30 | |
| | | 3.2.2 | Background Study | 30 | |
| | | 3.2.3 | Literature Synthesis and Market Marke | 31 | |
| | 3.3 | Resea | rch Design | 31 | |
| | | 3.3.1 | Research Philosophy | 32 | |
| | | 3.3.2 | Research Approach | 33 | |
| | | 3.3.3 | Research Technique | 36 | |
| | | 3.3.4 | Mode of data collections | 36 | |
| | 3.4 | Resea | rch Validity / Reliability | 37 | |
| | | 3.4.1 | Issues of measurement | 37 | |
| | | 3.4.2 | Reliability | 38 | |
| | 3.5 | | Summary | 38 | |
| | | | | | |
| C | HAPT | ER 04 | · | 39 | |
| | 4.0 | DAT | A ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS | 39 | |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | | | |
| | 4 2 | Metho | od of Data collection | 40 | |

| | 4.2.1 | Data in | project completion report | . 40 |
|-------|---|------------|--|------|
| | 4.2.2 | Method | of Data analysis | . 41 |
| | 4.2.3 | Results | of data analysis | . 42 |
| | | 4.2.3.1 | Results and data analysis for main cost component | |
| | | | and time extension of the projects | . 42 |
| | | 4.2.3.2 | Results and data analysis for present status of projects | . 43 |
| | 4.2.4 | Discuss | ion | . 44 |
| 4.3 | Proce | ss of obta | aining community contribution for ADB funded | |
| | RWS | projects | in Sri Lanka | . 46 |
| | 4.3.1 | Instituti | onal Arrangement for ADB funded | |
| | | RWS pi | rojects implementation process | . 46 |
| | 4.3.2 | Role of | partner organization | . 48 |
| | 4.3.3 | Commu | nity Mobilization phase | . 49 |
| | 4.3.4 | Commu | nity participatory planning and design process | . 49 |
| | 4.3.5 | Constru | ction and commissioning phase | . 50 |
| 4.4 | Objec | tives of t | he partnership between PO and NWS&DB | . 50 |
| 4.5 | CBOs role in RWS project implementation Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk | | | . 51 |
| CHAP' | TER 05 | ••••• | | . 53 |
| 5.0 | CON | CLUSIO | ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | . 53 |
| 5.1 | Concl | usions | | . 53 |
| 5.2 | Recor | nmendat | ions | . 55 |
| 5.3 | Furthe | er Resear | ch | . 58 |
| RE | FEREN | ICES | | . 59 |
| ΔP | PENDI | CES | | 63 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure.2.1: | Top Down Model | 20 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure.2.2: | Partnership Model | 20 |
| Figure.2.3: | Objectives, Intensity &Instruments of CP | 22 |
| Figure.3.1: | Nested Research Methodology | 31 |
| Figure.4.1: | Results and data analysis for present status of projects | 45 |
| Figure.4.2: | Institutional Arrangement | 47 |
| Figure.4.2: | Time Line for RWS project with Community Participation | 48 |
| Figure.5.1: | Income distribution in the country | 57 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table 4.1: | Results and data analysis for present status of project | 45 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 4.2: | Time Line for Community Mobilization | 48 |



ABBREVIATIONS

National Water Supply and Drainage Board NWS&DB

ADB Asian Development Bank

NGO Non Government Organization

CP **Community Participation**

Community Base Organization **CBO**

Additional General Manger Add. GM

PMU Project Management Unit

PIU Project Implementing Unit

Divisional Implementing Unit DIU

DDP Divisional Development Project

EA **Engineer Assistant**

CDA Community Development Assistant

PO Partner Organization

CF **Community Facilitator**

Technical Assistant TA

Overhead Overhead

ОН

Field Officer FO

MDG Millennium Development Goal

RCC Reinforced Cement Concrete

Government of Sri Lanka **GOSL**

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 01 : Capitalization of RWS projects Under ADB funds

Appendix 02: Data related to water quality, water quantity and administrative

status

Appendix 03 : Sample Reports

Appendix 04 : Comparison of implementing RWS schemes

Appendix 05 : Sample of water quality report format

