

FOR THE M.S.C (ARCHITECTURE) EXAMINATION, 2001.

MORATUWA, SRI LANKA

72 01 711.4:7.036.5

TH

W.M.D.DAMAYANTHI RANASINGHE FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

University of Moratuwa



76201





This dissertation

Is dedicated to sections

All my teachers

Since my childhood...

"A monument is intended to call forth fear or wonder in the observer to succeed in its aims a monument needs to joint the individual out of his mundane concerns...it should aspire to the sublime and evoke sensations of awe, not of affectionate familiarity and certainty not of amused condescension." (Olsen, 1986: p.9)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Many people helped me to make this dissertation a reality. It is to them that I humbly pay tribute.

- * Prof. Nimal De Silva, Head, Dept. of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for his consultant guidence.
- * Dr.Samitha Manawadu and Mr. Gamini Weerasinghe year masters department of architecture for their Kind assistance and guidence in carring out the dissertation.
- * Dr. Ranjith perera, Senior lecturer, Archt. Vidura sri Nammuni Senior lecturer, Department of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, for valuable advice given.
- * Dr. Janaka Wijesundara, Director, Rearch and Development Division, Urban Development Authority, for interest taken and valuable information.
- * Archt. Prasanna Silva, Archt. D.N. Nawarathna, Town planner L.H. Indrasiri, for valuable reference material, and data base ideas.
- * My friends Thushani, Renuka, Iyesha, for all the assistance offered throughout the course of this study.

To each and every one, mentioned and unmentioned here, thank you again for being there for me, sparing time being a valuable part of my effort in making my dissertation a possibility.

ABSTRACT

The man-made environment, its architecture, urban design are all manifested through this thinking. Further, the quality achieved and one intended are both a result of this thinking in the present-day environment.

Monumentality in the man-made environment is a quality which has been perceived according to the general attitudes and thinking. The attitudes towards monumentality have changed with the general forces and trends that prevailed in history. It has travelled a great distance, from its inception as a spiritual gesture of devotion, to the present day individualistic expression, with a series of changes in between.

The conception of urbanity as an experience in the environment has been common since long, based on its monumental attributions. The urban form has been a form of expression of the monumentality of urbanity. This study examines how monumentality is manifested in the urban form, arriving through different attitudes and thinking.

The strength and the power of monumentality in the man-made environment have been a result of the strength of each attitude adopted. The strength of urbanity as a monumental experience, and the manifestation of which through urban form would again be a result of the strength of each attitude adopted.

The present day individualistic attitude adopted towards monumentality in the man-made environment, and in particular on urban form is being questioned in the study on how it environment, and in particular on urban form is being questioned in the study, on how it affects the conception of urbanity, which survives on its own individuality of thinking.

The background is first prepared showing how on urban thinking affects its form. The for a single discussion which then takes place proves that the present day individualistic thinking makes no rationale on urbanity. The need discipline of thinking to make the present day context meaningful is desired; a discipline that makes an urban form; that which makes it monumental; and urbane.

CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABSTRACT

CONTENTS

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

INTRODUCTION

Introduction to Study	1
Intention of Study	ii
Scope and Limitation	iv
Method of Study	v



CHAPTER ONE

1.0 MONUMENTALITY AS A QUALITY IN THE ENVIRONMENT

1.1	Definition of the Monumentality	1
1.2	Monuments and monumentality	2
	1.2.1 Recognising Monumentality 1.2.2 Perception of Monumentality	6 7
1.3	Monumentality as a experience	8
	1.3.1 Spiritual 1.3.2 Symbol	9 11

	1.4	1.4 Monumentality in environment	
		1.4.1 Observer 1.4.1.1 Personal group 1.4.1.2 Small group 1.4.2.3 Large communal 1.4.2.4. Universal Monumentality	11 12
		1.4.2 Place 1.4.2.1 Urban monumentality 1.4.2.2 Rural monumentality	
СНА	PTER	TWO	
2.0	MON	NUMENTALITY IN THE MAN-MADE ENVIRONM	IENT
	2.1	Spiritually	
		2.1.1 Early history 2.1.2 Classical period for the Serial Dissertations	
	2.2	Religion	26
		2.2.1 Islam2.2.2 The Christian church2.2.3 Asia Buddhism	27 28 31
	2.3	Symbolism	32
		2.3.1 Renaissance2.3.2 Baroque2.3.3 Indian style	32 34 38
	2.4	Individuality	40
		2.4.1 Modern movement2.4.2 The post modern	40 42

CHAPTER THREE

3.0	URBAN FORM	AS	AN EXPRESSION OF URBANIT	\mathbf{Y}
J.V		I I I I I I I I I I		

3.1	Urbanity	
3.2	Urbanity 3.2.1 Visual need 3.2.2 Symbolic need 3.2.3 Aspirant	48 48 48
3.3	Urban quality	50
3.4	Urban expression	52
3.5	Urban form	54
	3.5.1 Attributes	55
	3.5.2 Image of the formatalwa, Sri Lanka.	56
3.6 Ur	ban form and urbanity	57
	3.6.1 History 3.6.2 City in history 3.6.3 Industrial 3.6.4 Modern 3.6.4.1 Present day 3.6.4.2 Developed city 3.6.4.3 Under developed city	60 63 64 66 71 73
CHAPTER	FOUR	
MANIFEST	TATION ON MONUMENTALITY ON URBAN F	ORM
4.1	Manifestations on urban form	76
	4.1.1 Urban monumentality4.1.2 Urban symbolism	76

4.2	4.2 Expression monumentality on urban form		
		History Historic pattern	79
4.3 form	Monumenta	ality on urban form – an evaluation	n of contemporary
	4.3.2	Urban buildings Urban streets Squares	83 90 96
4.4	Expression	urbanity monumentality in urban for	rm 101
	4.4.2	Balance Order in these & Dissertations Identity at Ik	101 108 110
4.5	Discussion 4.5.1 I	Expression	112
		Aspirations	113 115 117
CONCLUSI	ON		118
BIBLIOGRA	APHY		122

LIST OF ILLUSTRATION

1Urban Monumentality as a conception – Singapore city	I
Washington Monument, USA	v
	3
A monument in the city	4
A monument in the city	
A monument making sence in its contex-Acropolis of Athans	5
The pathenon in ancient Greece	8
A collection of monuments in the environment	10
The urban form is monumental to its context	14
The urban form is monumental to its context –sydney	19
Plan of the central portion of Teotihuacan	19
Teotihuaacan	20
Plan of view of the step pyramid of Zoser, Sakkara	21
Phyramids of Giza	22
Plan of the phyramid group, Giza	22
Acropolis of Athenssssss	23
Erechtheion	24
	25
Plan of the Acropolis WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk	25
Colosseum, Rome	26
The great Mosque, isfahan	27
Taj Mahal, Agra	28
Santa Sophia, Istanbul	29
St. Marks, Venice	30
Sanchi Stupa in India	31
Study of propotion in the Renaissannce	32
Villa Rotunda, Vicenza by Palladio	33
St. peters, Rome	34
Versailles	35
Bramante's plan- St. peters Rome	36
Mereworth Casttle	37
The use of new materils, Naval Dockyard at Kent	38
Eiffel Toer, paris	39
Sagrada Famila, Barcelonia	40
Guggenheim Musium, New york	41
	Washington Monument, USA The physical feature of a monument A monument in the city A monument in the city A monument making sence in its contex-Acropolis of Athans The pathenon in ancient Greece A collection of monuments in the environment The urban form is monumental to its context Th

31.	Expo 70 pavilio, Tokio	41
32	Notre -dame- du- haut, Ronchamp, France	42
33.	Sy dney Opera House	44
34.	Kuwait Water Towers	43
35.	The Louvre phyramid in France	4 4
36.	The urban quality in an environment	46
37.	The monumental urban quality	49
38.	Urban quality in little details	51
39.	The city-urban expession	53
40.	The city form- bulky, dnsely packed type	51
41.	Streets and tall buildings giving a particular character	51
42.	Avila, spain- a walled city	57
43.	Khafaje, Sumerian city planning	59
44.	Plan of priene	59
45.	Phaestos, Minoan town planning	61
46.	Temple of Queeen Hatshepsut	61
47.	Axial form in Egyptian planning	62
48.	The greek city planning	62
49.	Imperial Rome plan	63
50.	Voisin plan of paris By Le Cobushier	64
51.	Le Corbusier,s plan for a city of 3 million people	65
52.	Ebenezor Howard's garden city	66
53.	The giants in the city	67
54.	The rich look of the city	70
55.	A street in Paris- a new neighbour creeping	73
56.	The city with a new feeling	74
57.	A new foam and a new thinking to the city- Colombo	75
58.	A forced trend in design	75
59a.	The Assyrian passion for symmetry	76
59b.	Plan of the city Khorsabad	79
60	Peking-Ming Dynasty- plan	84
61.	World Trade Centre, New york- Urban buildings	84
62.	Urban square- Leningard Memorial, Russia	85
63.	Public squares in Washington D.C	85
64.	Public squares with free atmosphere- Washington D.C	86
65.	The square leading to a network of open spaces	87
66.	The grand arch- Paris	87
67.	Aerial view- Manhattan	87
68.	New York city-The commissioners 1811 plan	88
69.	The balance of the city –Dissssstored with the new "giants"	89
70.	The existing city character	89

71a.	The closer look	90
71b.	The end of the road opening out to a new style of the city	91
72.	The new block – the opening or the end to the old city	92
73.	The balance of the city is retained, Singapore	93
74.	The balance is distored, Colombo	94
75.	The balance and ordering of streets in Paris	95
76a.	Washington D.C – Plan	95
76b.	A high way in Washington D.C	98
77.	Unmistakably Manhattan identity	99
78.	Arial vie the identity remains	99
79 .	New York city-The commissioners 1811 plan	100
80.	The balance of the city –Dissssstored with the new "giants"	102
81.	The existing city character	102
82.	The closer look	102
83.	The end of the road opening out to a new style of the city	106
84.	The new block – the opening or the end to the old city	106
85.	The balance of the city is retained, Singapore	107
85a.	The balance is distored, Colombo	107
85b.	The balance and ordering of streets in Paris	108
86a.	Washington D.C Plan	109
86b.	A high way in Washington D.C. Dissertations	110
86c.	Unmistakably Manhattan identity	111
87	Arial vie the identity remains	111

"We perceive the sum of our own experience. And these experience are in the highest degree a result of the demands made by our soci

"Intention of the expression' means that the feelings come to dominate perception. The feelings, however, are not mystical qualities which exist independently of the objects. No perception is in reality completely free from an emotional content" (Schutz, 1961: p.49)

