

ALTERNATIVE BUILDING MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR SRI LANKA

by

Chintha Jayasinghe

**A thesis submitted to University of Moratuwa
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

පුස්තකාලය
මොරටුව විශ්ව විද්‍යාලය, ශ්‍රී ලංකාව
මොරටුව.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.moratuwa.lk



70502

Research work supervised

by

Dr A. A. D. A. J. Perera

30/10/1999

I3

UOMAC

**DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
MORATUWA
SRI LANKA**

February 1999

70502

ABSTRACT

The housing requirement in Sri Lanka is rising due to the growth of population and urbanisation. This rising demand has to be met in an environment of rapidly rising prices of conventional building materials. Over exploitation of conventional building materials such as bricks, sand and timber has caused a number of environmental problems such as excessive clay and sand mining and deforestation. In this context, introduction of cost effective and environmentally friendly alternative building materials is of considerable importance. Such alternative building materials should be sufficiently strong and durable so that social acceptance would be at a reasonably high level.

In the research work presented in this thesis, cement stabilised soil blocks are introduced as an alternative to brickwork and cement sand blockwork. These blocks are manufactured with laterite soils using a suitable machine. Since brickwork can be used as a loadbearing material with considerable saving for residential buildings, an attempt was made to use cement stabilised soil blocks also as a loadbearing material. This will require structural designing of residential buildings for which the design methodology, design data and the quality controlling required at construction need to be established. A detailed experimental programme was conducted to establish such information as a part of the research work presented. These findings can be used to carryout detailed structural design of cement stabilised soil block structures and hence it will now be possible for practising engineers to introduce this technology to Sri Lanka with a lot of confidence. A detailed design study and a cost study are also presented as guidance.

Reinforced concrete solid slabs are often used in multi-storey residential buildings as the preferred option in Sri Lanka. These insitu cast slabs need a considerable amount of formwork and falsework. Since timber is a scare resource, the prices are increasing rapidly, thus making solid slabs an expensive solution for floor slabs. The utilisation of concrete in solid slabs is also not optimum. As an alternative to insitu cast solid slabs, a precast reinforced concrete composite beam slab system is introduced. This system has optimised usage of concrete, steel and minimises the need for formwork and falsework, thus leading to considerable savings in cost. The results of load testing are used to show that these composite slab systems can be designed by using the guidelines given in BS 8110 : Part 1 : 1985. It is also shown that only 66.67% of the imposed load need be considered for the design of individual precast slab panels of the composite system due to load sharing. A detailed cost study is also presented which can be used as guidance for cost comparison purposes. Therefore, now it would be possible to use this cost effective slab system in residential buildings with a lot of confidence and also to adopt it for other buildings as well.

Key words: cement stabilised soil blocks, precast reinforced concrete slabs, alternative building materials.



Acknowledgements

A special thank is due for the Vice Chancellor, Dean, Faculty of Engineering, the Chairman and the members of the Senate Research Committee for making available two research grants to carry out the research work presented in this thesis. I also wish to thank Head, Department of Civil Engineering, who has supported this research work by providing laboratory and other facilities.

I am grateful to the members of the Higher Degrees Committee for continuous monitoring of this research which was very helpful for the timely completion of the research work and the thesis.

I am most grateful to my research supervisor, Dr Asoka Perera of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for introducing me to the area of alternative building materials. He has supervised the research work presented in this thesis with much dedication and encouragement. He also assisted in securing the finance required for the project. The advice and comments given by Prof Priyan Dias of Department of Civil Engineering throughout the research project is also acknowledged gratefully.

The research work presented in this thesis involved a lot of laboratory testing work. The laboratory staff of Building Materials, Structural Testing and Building Engineering laboratories have helped in many ways to make this research work a success. The excellent support of Messrs S P Madanayake, S L Kapuruge, Nalinda Fernando and Lienas Perera is acknowledged gratefully. The technical assistants, Messrs Chatura Karunaratne, Amila Kariyapperuma and Chinthaka Jayanetti have helped to the best of their ability to carry out the experimental work. Messers Nuwan Kodagoda and Vajira Somaratne of the computer centre of the Department of Civil Engineering have also helped in many ways.

Last, but not least, I wish to pay special thanks to my husband, Dr Thishan Jayasinghe for his unfailing support and encouragement.

I also wish to thank all those who have helped to carry out this research work successfully.

Declaration

This thesis is a report of research work carried out in the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, between July 1995 and February 1999. Except where references are made to the other work, the contents of this thesis is original and includes nothing which is the outcome of work done in collaboration. The work has not been submitted in part or in whole to any other university. This thesis is 215 pages.

Chintha Jayasinghe
Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Declaration	iv
Contents	v
List of Figures	xiii
List of Tables	xvi
List of Charts	xix
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Methodology	4
1.4 Main findings	6
1.4.1 Main findings for cement stabilised soil blocks	6
1.4.2 Main findings for precast beam slab system	7
1.5 Arrangement of the thesis	7
Chapter 2: Literature review	9
2.1 General	9
2.2 Cement stabilised soil blocks	10
2.2.1 Stabilisation of soil	12
2.2.2 Selection of soils for block making	12
2.2.3 Physical identification of soils suitable for stabilisation	14
2.2.4 Methods of stabilisation for soil blocks	16



2.2.5 Chemical stabilisation of soil	16
2.2.5.1 Cement	16
2.2.5.2 Lime	18
2.2.5.3 Bitumen	18
2.2.5.4 Pozzolanas	19
2.2.6 Process of block making	19
2.2.7 Properties of cement stabilised soil block masonry	20
2.2.7.1 Compressive strength of cement stabilised soil blocks	21
2.2.7.2 Modulus of elasticity of cement stabilised soil block masonry	22
2.2.7.3 Determination of blockwork strength based on elastic analysis	23
2.2.7.4 Mortars suitable for cement stabilised soil blocks	25
2.2.8 Machines available for making compressed blocks	25
2.2.8.1 Cinva ram machine	26
2.2.8.2 The Auram Press 3000	28
2.2.8.3 Modified Cinva ram interlocking block press	28
2.2.9 Construction of structures with cement stabilised soil blocks	29
2.2.9.1 Foundations for cement stabilised soil block buildings	30
2.2.9.2 Provision of openings in walls	30
2.2.9.3 Plasters and coatings	31
2.3 Concrete floor systems	32
2.3.1 Floor systems used in multi-storey buildings	33
2.3.1.1 One way slabs on beams and walls	33

2.3.1.2 Two-way slab on beams	33
2.3.1.3 One way pan joists and beams	34
2.3.1.4 One way slab on beams and girders	34
2.3.1.5 Two way flat plate.	34
2.3.1.6 Two way flat slab	34
2.3.1.7 Waffle flat slab	34
2.3.2 Alternative floor systems used for houses in Sri Lanka	34
2.3.2.1 Precast prestressed concrete beam and insitu slab systems	35
2.3.2.2 Precast prestressed beam slab systems with hollow blocks	35
2.3.2.3 Precast reinforced concrete beam and insitu slab system.	35
2.3.3 Other floor systems	36
2.3.4 Membrane action of one way slab strips	36
2.3.5 Load testing of slabs.	37
2.3.6 Stiffness increases in slabs due to non-structural screeds.	38
2.3.7 Vibrational characteristics of slab panels.	38
2.4 Summary	39
Chapter 3: Cement Stabilises Soil Blocks	50
3.1 Introduction	50
3.2 Testing programme on soil blocks	50
3.2.1 Manufacturing of blocks for the testing programme	52
3.2.2 Testing of cement stabilised soil blocks	53
3.2.2.1 Compression testing of cement stabilised soil blocks	54

3.2.2.2 Flexural testing of cement stabilised soil blocks	55
3.2.3 Selection of a suitable mortar for panel construction	56
3.2.4 Testing of wall panels	57
3.2.4.1 Experimental determination of compressive strength	58
3.2.4.2 Experimental investigation on wall panels	58
3.2.4.3 Results of panel testing	60
3.3 Analysis of block and panel test results	64
3.4 Use of recommended strengths for limit state design	67
3.5 Summary	69
Chapter 4: Alternative floor systems	79
4.1 Introduction	79
4.2 The design study	81
4.2.1 Design of precast slab panels for construction and imposed loads	83
4.2.2 Design of precast beams for construction loads and imposed loads	85
4.2.3 Reinforcement details for precast slabs, beams and composite system	86
4.3 Experimental programme for composite slabs	86
4.3.1 Testing of individual precast panels	87
4.3.1.1 Casting of precast panels	87
4.3.1.2 Testing of individual precast panels	88
4.3.1.3 Cracks at service conditions	89
4.3.1.4 Ultimate load carrying capacity	89
4.3.2 Testing of precast slabs for load sharing	89

4.3.2.1 Casting of composite slabs	89
4.3.2.2 Load testing of composite slabs without screed	90
4.3.2.3 Load testing of composite slabs with screed	94
4.3.2.4 Deflection of a precast panel with load sharing	97
4.3.3 Load testing of composite slab system	97
4.3.3.1 Casting of composite slab	98
4.3.3.2 Effects of temperature variation	98
4.3.3.3 Load testing of composite slab	99
4.4 Conclusions of load testing	102
4.4.1 Precast slab panels	103
4.4.2 Behaviour of composite slabs	103
4.5 Vibration response of precast composite slab system	103
4.6 Summary	105
Chapter 5: The design study	122
5.1 Introduction	122
5.2 Design guidelines	122
5.3 The proposed two storey house	123
5.4 Structural design of walls in a typical two storey house	124
5.4.1 Design information	125
5.4.2 Design of Wall A	125
5.4.2.1 Maximum load case	125
5.4.2.2 Maximum eccentricity case	130

5.4.3 Design of Wall B	134
5.4.3.1 Maximum load case	134
5.4.3.2 Maximum eccentricity case	137
5.5 Analysis of results of the design study	139
5.6 Guidelines for the layout selection	140
5.7 Conclusions	141
Chapter 6: The cost study	146
6.1 General	146
6.2 Cost comparison for cement stabilised soil block walls	146
6.2.1 Cost of cement stabilised soil blocks	147
6.2.1.1 Cost of soil	147
6.2.1.2 Cost of cement	147
6.2.1.3 Cost of labour	148
6.2.1.4 Cost of machine usage	148
6.2.1.5 Cost of blocks	148
6.2.2 Cost of 1:6 cement sand mortar for cement stabilised blockwork	149
6.2.2.1 Cost of mortar for 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks	149
6.2.2.2 Cost of mortar for 240 mm x 240 mm x 90 mm blocks	150
6.2.3 Cost of labour for construction of cement stabilised block walls	150
6.2.4 Total cost for construction of 1 m ² area of blockwork	151
6.2.5 Cost comparison with bricks	151
6.2.5.1 Cost of 210 mm thick walls	152
6.2.5.2 Cost of 110 mm thick brick walls	153

6.2.5.3 Cost comparison of cement stabilised block walls with brickwork	153
6.2.6 Cost comparison with cement sand blocks	155
6.2.6.1 Cost of 200 mm thick hollow cement sand block work	155
6.2.6.2 Cost of 100 mm thick hollow cement sand block work	156
6.2.6.3 Cost comparison of cement stabilised block walls with blockwork	156
6.3 Cost comparison for proposed composite slab system	158
6.3.1 Cost of precast panels	158
6.3.1.1 Cost of concrete	158
6.3.1.2 Cost of steel per panel	159
6.3.1.3 Cost of formwork	159
6.3.1.4 Cost of labour	160
6.3.1.5 Total cost per panel	160
6.3.2 Cost of precast beams	160
6.3.2.1 Cost of concrete	160
6.3.2.2 Cost of steel per beam	161
6.3.2.3 Cost of formwork	161
6.3.2.4 Cost of labour	161
6.3.2.5 Total cost per beam	161
6.3.3 Cost of constructing the composite slab	162
6.3.3.1 Cost of insitu cast concrete	162
6.3.3.2 Cost of steel placed with insitu concrete	163
6.3.3.3 Cost of labour for the composite slab	163

6.3.3.4 Total cost of casting the composite slab	163
6.3.4 Cost comparison with solid slabs	164
6.4 Summary	165
Chapter 7: Conclusions and future work	167
7.1 Conclusions	167
7.1.1 Conclusions on cement stabilised soil blocks	167
7.1.2 Conclusions on precast reinforced concrete beam slab system.	170
7.2 Future work	171
References	173
Appendix A: Design of composite precast beam slab system	179
A.1 Design of precast concrete slabs	179
A.1.1 Design for a uniformly distributed load	179
A.1.2 Design for a concentrated load	181
A.1.3 Design for construction loads	183
A.1.4 Check for a concentrated load with load sharing	184
A.1.5 Reinforcement arrangement for precast slab panels	185
A.2 Design of the composite beam	185
A.3 Design of precast beam for construction loads	190
A.3.1 Design of the precast beam for lifting stresses	190
A.3.2 Design of the precast beam for precast slab loads	190
A.4 Testing of precast slab panels	191
A.4.1 Determination of equivalent bending moments and loading	191
A.4.2 Determination of ultimate moment carrying capacity	192

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Boundaries of grading curve for soils suitable for stabilisation	43
Figure 2.2: Elastic theory of failure of brickwork	43
Figure 2.3: Selection of dimensions and locations of openings to minimise cutting of cement stabilised soil blocks	44
Figure 2.4: One way slabs	44
Figure 2.5: Two way slabs on beams	44
Figure 2.6: One way pan joists	44
Figure 2.7: One way slab on beams and girders	45
Figure 2.8: Two way flat plate	45
Figure 2.9: Two way flat slab	45
Figure 2.10: Waffle flat slab	45
Figure 2.11: Precast prestressed concrete beam system with insitu cast slabs	46
Figure 2.12: Precast prestressed concrete beam slab system with hollow blocks and insitu cast screed	46
Figure 2.13: Precast beam and insitu cast slab system used for Koralawella houses	46
Figure 2.14 (a): Precast reinforced concrete beam and plank system used for roof slabs	47
Figure 2.14 (b): Precast reinforced concrete beam and plank system used for roof slabs	48
Figure 2.15: Compressive membrane action in axially restrained reinforced concrete slabs	49
Figure 3.1: Shape of blocks manufactured by using Auram press 3000 machine	70
Figure 3.2: Bend test machine	70
Figure 3.3: Arrangement used for panel testing with instrumentation	70

Figure 4.1: Precast slab panels used for the composite slab system	107
Figure 4.2: Composite flanged beam used for design calculations	107
Figure 4.3: Precast reinforced concrete beam during lifting	107
Figure 4.4: Reinforcement details of the precast slab panels	108
Figure 4.5: Reinforcement details of the precast beams	108
Figure 4.6: Reinforcement details for insitu concrete used in composite slab	109
Figure 4.7: Testing arrangement for individual precast slab panels	109
Figure 4.8: Arrangement of precast slab panels and locations of dial gauges used for the determination of load sharing	109
Figure 4.9: Crack controlling reinforcement in insitu cast concrete of the composite slab	110
Figure 4.10: Full scale model of the composite slab with dial gauge locations used for load testing	110
Figure 4.11: Loading sequence used for load testing of full scale model of the composite slab	111
Figure 5.1: Ground floor plan of the proposed two storey house	142
Figure 5.2: Upper floor plan of the proposed two storey house	142
Figure 5.3: Distribution of loads transferred from beams of the composite slab system for an internal wall (Wall A)	143
Figure 5.4: Slab areas that contribute to the loads on precast beams	143
Figure 5.5: Roof area that can contribute to load on wall A	144
Figure 5.6: Distribution of loads transferred from beams of the composite slab system for an internal wall at 0.4 h below top (Wall A)	144
Figure 5.7: Distribution of loads transferred from beams of the composite slab system for an external wall (Wall B)	145

Figure 5.8: Distribution of loads transferred from beams of the composite slab system for an external wall at 0.4 h below top (Wall B)	145
Figure 6.1: Bond arrangement for 110 mm thick brick walls	166
Figure 6.2: Dimensions of the precast panel of width 300 mm	166
Figure 6.3: Insitu cast concrete above the precast beam	166
Figure 6.4: Dimensions to calculate the volume of insitu cast concrete over block walls	166
Figure A.1: The shape of the precast panels used for composite slab	193
Figure A.2: The bearing arrangement used with precast slab panels	193
Figure A.3: Dimensions of other critical sections for flexure in precast panels	193
Figure A.4: Critical sections for shear in precast panels	193
Figure A.5: Reinforcement details for precast slab panels	194
Figure A.6: Precast composite beam used for design	194
Figure A.7: Arrangement of longitudinal and transverse crack controlling reinforcement provided within insitu concrete over the precast beam	194
Figure A.8: Arrangement of crack control reinforcement provided within insitu cast concrete over a loadbearing wall	195
Figure A.9: Lifting arrangements that can be used with precast beam	195
Figure A.10: Reinforcement arrangement for the precast beam	195
Figure A.11: Loads acting on a precast slab panel during panel testing	195

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Results of shrinkage box test	15
Table 2.2: Effect of cement on compaction characteristics, CBR characteristics and unconfined compressive strength of soil	18
Table 2.3: Properties of pressed soil - cement blocks of 5% cement cured for 21 days	21
Table 2.4: Compressive strength of soil cement block masonry prisms	22
Table 2.5: Modulus of elasticity of soil - cement block masonry at 0.3 N/mm ² stress	23
Table 2.6: Details of some of the cement stabilised soil block making machines	26
Table 2.7: Summary of test results for Cinva ram machine	27
Table 2.8: Characteristic compressive strength of panels made with Cinva ram blocks	28
Table 2.9: Bending strength of blocks and characteristic compressive strength of wall panels made with interlocking blocks	29
Table 2.10: Minimum distance between adjacent openings of cement stabilised soil block walls	31
Table 3.1: Details of experimental programme	52
Table 3.2: Mix proportions and the corresponding cement percentages used for manufacturing of blocks	53
Table 3.3: Average block strength Vs fines content for different percentages of cement with moist cured 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks	54
Table 3.4: Average bending strength Vs fines content for different percentages of cement with moist cured 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks	56
Table 3.5: Average cube strengths obtained for different mortar types	57
Table 3.6: Variation of panel strength with different types of mortar (blocks made with 25% fines)	57
Table 3.7: Panel strength Vs block strength for different percentages of cement	61

with cured 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks

Table 3.8: Characteristic compressive strength of panels for different cement percentages and fines content < 30%	61
Table 3.9: Characteristic compressive strength of panels for different cement percentages and fines content of 40% - 45%	62
Table 3.10: Stress at 1 st crack for cured 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm block walls	62
Table 3.11: Characteristic panel strength Vs average bending strength for different percentages of cement with cured 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks	63
Table 3.12: Panel strength for different percentages of cement with cured 240 mm x 240 mm x 90 mm blocks made with laterite soil containing of 25% fines	64
Table 3.13: Partial safety factors recommended for material strength (γ_m) in BS 5628	68
Table 4.1: Average compressive strengths of concrete used for casting precast panels	87
Table 4.2: Load deformation results for precast slab panels	88
Table 4.3: Concrete cube test results for the mix of 1.5:2.5:3.5 (cement, sand, 8 mm chips)	90
Table 4.4: Variation of dial gauge readings with changes in surrounding temperature	90
Table 4.5: Load sharing in a precast slab connected by insitu concrete when an interior panel was loaded (without a screed)	91
Table 4.6: Load sharing characteristics of precast slab panels without a screed where five panels participate in load sharing	92
Table 4.7: Load sharing characteristics of precast slab panels without a screed where only three panels participate in load sharing	92
Table 4.8: Load sharing in a precast slab connected by insitu concrete when an edge panel was loaded (without a screed)	93
Table 4.9: Load sharing characteristics with an edge slab panel loaded where three panels participate in load sharing (slab without a screed)	93

Table 4.10: Load sharing characteristics with an edge slab panel loaded where two panels participate in load sharing (slab without a screed)	94
Table 4.11: Load sharing of a precast slab connected by insitu concrete when an interior panel was loaded (with a screed)	94
Table 4.12: Load sharing characteristics of precast slab panels with a screed when an interior panel was loaded where five panels participate in load sharing	95
Table 4.13: Load sharing characteristics of precast slab panels with a screed when an interior panel was loaded where three panels participate in load sharing	95
Table 4.14: Load sharing in a precast slab connected by insitu concrete when an edge panel was loaded (with a screed)	96
Table 4.15: Load sharing characteristics with an edge slab panel loaded where three panels participate in load sharing (slab with a screed)	96
Table 4.16: Load sharing characteristics with an edge slab panel loaded where only two panels participate in load sharing (slab with a screed)	96
Table 4.17: Effects of temperature variation on the dial gauges used for the load testing of composite slab	99
Table 4.18: Deflections in the loading cycle No 1 of full scale load testing	100
Table 4.19: Deflections in the loading cycle No 2 of full scale load testing	101
Table 4.20: Deflections in the loading cycle No 3 of full scale load testing where the loads were sustained for 24 hours	102
Table 5.1: Schedule of openings for house given in Figure 5.1 (ground floor)	124
Table 5.2: Schedule of openings for house given in Figure 5.2 (upper floor)	124
Table 6.1: Cost of soil for different block sizes	147
Table 6.2: Number of blocks per bag of cement and cost of cement per block	148
Table 6.3: Cost of cement stabilised soil blocks for different cement percentages	148
Table 6.4: Cost per 1.0 m ² of wall area with 290 mm x 140 mm x 90 mm blocks	151
Table 6.5: Cost per 1.0 m ² of wall area with 240 mm x 240 mm x 90 mm blocks	151

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 3.1: Variation of average compressive strength of cured blocks with different fines and cement contents	71
Chart 3.2: Average compressive strength of cured and uncured blocks for different fines contents	72
Chart 3.3: Load deformation curve for panels with 6% cement and 25% fines	73
Chart 3.4: Variation of characteristic strength of panels with fines and cement contents	74
Chart 3.5: Variation of characteristic compressive strength of panels with average compressive strength of blocks (fines content < 30%)	75
Chart 3.6: Variation of characteristic compressive strength of panels with average compressive strength of blocks (Fines content 40% - 45%)	76
Chart 3.7: Variation of characteristic compressive strength of panels with average bending strength of blocks (fines content < 30%, cement contents of 2%, 4%, 6% and 8%)	77
Chart 3.8: Variation of characteristic compressive strength of panels with average bending strength of blocks (fines content 40% - 45%, cement contents of 2%, 4%, 6% and 8%)	78
Chart 4.1: Load deflection curves for precast slab panel No 1	112
Chart 4.2: Load deflection curves for precast slab panel No 2	113
Chart 4.3: Load deflection curves for precast slab panel No 3	114
Chart 4.4: Load deflection curves to determine load sharing characteristics with an interior panel loaded (without screed)	115
Chart 4.5: Load deflection curves to determine load sharing characteristics with an edge panel loaded (without screed)	116
Chart 4.6: Load deflection curves to determine load sharing characteristics of an interior panel (with screed)	117
Chart 4.7: Load deflection curves to determine load sharing characteristics of an edge panel (with screed)	118

Chart 4.8: Deflection Vs load increment for the composite slab - loading cycle No 1	119
Chart 4.9: Deflection Vs load increment for the composite slab - loading cycle No 2	120
Chart 4.10: Deflection Vs load increment for the composite slab - loading cycle No 3	121



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk